Chapitre III La QMP est-elle la phéromone centrale de la colonie d'abeilles

Avant propos :

La reine est un élément central de la colonie, elle permet la cohesion de la colonie par l'émission de phéromones, et le maintien de la colonie par sa ponte. Sans reine, plus de cohésion sociale, les ouvrières commencent à développer leurs ovaires, d'autres bâtissent des cellules royales, la colonie se fissure, change de reine ou peut mourir.

La reine est l'individu de la colonie le plus étudié pour ces phéromones. Depuis 50 ans et la découverte de la première phéromone royale, le 9-ODA, la reine a été analysée par de nombreux chercheurs pour identifier d'autres molécules phéromonales. Il y a 20 ans, la QMP, composée de 5 molécules synergiques, dont le 9-ODA, produites dans les glandes mandibulaires de la reine, a été identifiée avec de forts effets physiologiques sur les ouvrières (inhibition des ovaires des ouvrières) et incitateurs (phénomène de cour).

Mais malgré la découverte de nouveaux composés synergiques à la QMP, qui forment la QRP pour l'induction du phénomène de cour, de nombreuses recherches ont été entreprises pour savoir si la QMP est la phéromone centrale de la colonie d'abeilles. Des études suggèrent l'existence de molécules complémentaires à la QMP produites dans la glande de Dufour ou de la glande tergale de la reine.

Dans ce chapitre nous nous sommes intéressés à mettre en évidence un potentiel second système phéromonal chez l'abeille. Ce travail est la prémisse à des travaux ultérieurs pour caractériser de nouvelles phéromones royales.

Dans cette étude, nous avons voulu savoir si la QMP est indispensable à la reine lorsqu'on ôte chirurgicalement ses glandes mandibulaires. Une analyse chimique de ces reines démandibulées a permis de quantifier les différentes molécules de la QMP. Puis, nous avons comparé le comportement et la physiologie des ouvrières en présence et en absence de ces reines démandibulées.

New Insights into Honey Bee (*Apis mellifera*) Pheromone Communication. Is The queen Mandibular Pheromone Alone in Colony Regulation?

Résumé :

Chez les insectes sociaux, la reine est essentielle pour le fonctionnement et l'homéostasie de la colonie. La reine est un élément indispensable de la colonie, elle permet à celle-ci de se renouveler grâce à la ponte de 1500 à 2000 œufs par jour, mais produit également des phéromones permettant la régulation de la société grâce notamment à la QMP (produite dans les glandes mandibulaires). Bien que la QMP ait des effets pléiotropiques sur la régulation de la colonie, cette phéromone n'induit que des effets partiels sur le comportement et la physiologie des ouvrières en comparaison des effets induit par la reine elle-même. Ainsi, la reine semble posséder d'autres composés phéromonaux supplémentaires.

Nous avons testé l'hypothèse d'une redondance phéromonale chez les reines d'abeilles, leur permettant d'avoir plusieurs phéromones pour le même signal. Pour vérifier cette hypothèse, nous avons comparé l'influence des reines avec ou sans glandes mandibulaires sur le comportement et la physiologie des ouvrières.

Les glandes mandibulaires des reines ont été chirurgicalement excisées. Le comportement et la physiologie des ouvrières en présence de reines opérées et de reines intactes ont été étudiés en cagettes et ruches vitrées, comparativement à des abeilles sans reine. Le développement des ovaires des ouvrières, la construction de cire et le phénomène de cour ont été mesurés. Et, pour la première fois, les profils chimiques des reines démandibulées et des reines intactes ont été analysés et comparés.

Nous n'avons pas détecté de 9-ODA, principal composé de la QMP, chez les reines démandibulées. Par contre nous avons trouvé chez ces reines du 9HDA en quantité moindre que chez des reines intactes et les mêmes quantités de HOB. Malgré une différence de production des composés de la QMP, les reines démandibulées contrôlent le comportement (construction de cire et phénomène de cour) et la physiologie (inhibition des ovaires) des ouvrières aussi efficacement que les reines intactes.

Nous avons démontré que la reine utilise d'autres phéromones aussi puissantes que la QMP afin de contrôler la colonie et notamment sa reproduction. Les reines semblent avoir plusieurs composés actifs ayant des fonctions similaires dans la colonie (redondance des phéromones). La colonie possède une syntaxe particulière utilisant plusieurs composés, lui conférant un avantage dans son développement.

New Insights into Honey Bee (*Apis mellifera*) Pheromone Communication. Is The queen Mandibular Pheromone Alone in Colony Regulation?

Alban Maisonnasse^{1§}, Cédric Alaux¹, Dominique Beslay¹, Didier Crauser¹, Christian Gines², Erika Plettner³, Yves Le Conte¹

 ¹ INRA, UMR 406, Abeilles et Environnement, Laboratoire Biologie et Protection de l'Abeille, Site Agroparc, 84914, Avignon, France
² INRA, UMR 408 Sécurité et Qualité des Produits d'Origine Végétale, Site Agroparc, 84914, Avignon, France
³ Department of Chemistry, Simon Fraser University, 8888 University Drive, Burnaby, B.C.

V5A 1S6, Canada

Frontiers in Zoology, Vol 7, Issue 1, 18, 2010

Abstract:

Background: In social insects, the queen is essential to the functioning and homeostasis of the colony. This influence has been demonstrated to be mediated through pheromone communication. However, the only social insect for which any queen pheromone has been identified is the honey bee (*Apis mellifera*) with its well-known queen mandibular pheromone (QMP). Although pleiotropic effects on colony regulation are accredited to the QMP, this pheromone does not trigger the full behavioral and physiological response observed in the presence of the queen, suggesting the presence of additional compounds. We tested the hypothesis of a pheromone redundancy in honey bee queens by comparing the influence of queens with and without mandibular glands on worker behavior and physiology.

Results: Demandibulated queens had no detectable (E)-9-oxodec-2-enoic acid (9-ODA), the major compound in QMP, yet they controlled worker behavior (cell construction and queen retinue) and physiology (ovary inhibition) as efficiently as intact queens.

Conclusions: We demonstrated that the queen uses other pheromones as powerful as QMP to control the colony. It follows that queens appear to have multiple active compounds with similar functions in the colony (pheromone redundancy). Our findings support two hypotheses in the biology of social insects: (1) that multiple semiochemicals with synonymous meaning exist in the honey bee, (2) that this extensive semiochemical vocabulary exists because it confers an evolutionary advantage to the colony.

Background

A remarkable trait of social insect colonies is the assemblage of individuals into a coherent social unit. Members of the society exhibit an organization mainly controlled by a complex pheromonal language (Bell, Cardé, 1984). Behavioral evidence for division of reproduction and labor in the colony indicates the importance of pheromones in both queen-worker and worker-worker interactions, including mediating the regulation of task allocation (Le Conte, Hefetz, 2008). In the case of honey bees, coordination of the different tasks is partly mediated by chemical signals (Le Conte, Hefetz, 2008). In social insects pheromones provide the colony with a rich syntax that is important for the spread of information and the integration of social behavior.

In honey bees, even though some workers can lay eggs, the queen produces most of the eggs and is the progenitor of several thousand bees in a colony. In addition she provides central information that regulates colony homeostasis, growth and reproduction (Winston, 1987). "Queen substance", (E)-9-oxodec-2-enoic acid (9-ODA) is a queen pheromone produced in the mandibular glands and that was the first identified honey bee pheromone with functional roles in the colony (Barbier, Lederer, 1960). Later, in 1988 Slessor *et al.* discovered four other compounds from the mandibular glands that act synergistically with 9-ODA: both enantiomers of 9-hydroxydec-2-enoic acid (9-HDA), methyl p-hydroxybenzoate (HOB) and 4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenylethanol (HVA). These five chemicals constitute QMP, which strongly attracts young workers and stimulates queen tending (feeding, licking and antennating the queen). When these young workers subsequently interact with other bees, the QMP is dispersed throughout the colony by antennation, cuticular contacts and trophallaxis between the workers (Naumann, 1991). In 2003, Keeling et al. discovered four other compounds that synergize with QMP for retinue behavior, in particular in bees that do not respond strongly to QMP with retinue behavior (Keeling *et al.*, 2003).

The other main function of QMP is the inhibition of worker ovary activation (Hoover *et al.*, 2003). Reproductive control is essential to colony stability and functionality since reproductive workers do not work as efficiently as normal worker bees (Dampney *et al.*, 2004). QMP also controls comb construction by stimulating quantitative and qualitative worker-sized cell construction (Ledoux *et al.*, 2001). It inhibits the construction of drone and queen cells (Winston *et al.*, 1989) until colony growth results in a less efficient QMP distribution (Winston *et al.*, 1991). New QMP functions are still being discovered; for example, besides mediating worker behavioral maturation (Pankiw *et al.*, 1998a), QMP also increases resistance to starvation (Fischer, Grozinger, 2008) and affects olfactory learning and memory (Vergoz *et al.*, 2007).

QMP is thus integrated into colony life as a powerful and central systemic regulator. However, QMP does not control the full gamut of behavioral and physiological responses that result from the presence of a queen. For example, Velthuis and Van Es (Velthuis, 1970b; Velthuis, Van Es, 1964), found that queens from which mandibular glands were removed still retained their regulatory functions. Their experiments demonstrated that the mandibular glands are not essential for inhibition of queen cell construction, retinue behavior and inhibition of worker ovary activation. However, it is not clear from their studies whether the demandibulated queens triggered the full worker response that is triggered by intact queens. The effect of demandibulated queens on a colony was not directly compared to colonies headed by intact queens or to queenless colonies. The exception was worker ovary activation, which showed almost the same effect with intact as with demandibulated queens (Velthuis, 1970b). Consequently, others sources of queen pheromone have been proposed including tergal, tarsal and Dufour's glands (Le Conte, Hefetz, 2008; Slessor et al., 2005a). A series of studies demonstrated that Dufour extracts attracted workers (Katzav-Gozansky et al., 2001) and tergal glands affected both ovary activation and retinue behavior (Wossler, Crewe, 1999a; Wossler, Crewe, 1999b). However a queen has ca. 0.5µg (out of ca. 150-200 µg total) of 9-ODA on her cuticle surface (Naumann et al., 1991) and previous studies did not check for the presence of QMP residues in Dufour and tergal gland extracts or in queens without mandibular glands (Katzav-Gozansky et al., 2001; Wossler, Crewe, 1999a; Wossler, Crewe, 1999b). Without a control for QMP residue one could hypothesize that the effects of the different experiments on worker control could be due to those pheromone residues. Thus, the relative contribution of other queen chemicals besides QMP is not well understood and the following question remains unanswered: In addition to the well-known pheromone pleiotropy of the QMP, do queens also use different pheromones that converge on the same function (pheromone redundancy)?

To answer this question, we investigated the importance of additional queen pheromones by surgically removing the mandibular glands from virgin queens and checking for QMP residue on the queen bodies. We then asked whether demandibulated queens were as effective as normal queens in regulating ovary activation, comb construction and retinue behavior. A regulatory control as effective as a normal queen would demonstrate that additional queen chemicals might be as important as QMP in regulating colony functionality and thus support the hypothesis of pheromone redundancy.

Methods

Honey bee queen rearing

Experiments were performed in Avignon (France) in 2005, 2007 and 2009 with local colonies derived from populations of a mixture of European subspecies of *Apis mellifera* (*A. m. ligustica* and *A. m. mellifera*). Queen rearing was performed according to standard beekeeping

methods (Laidlaw, Page, 1997). One day before hatching, queen cells were removed from their hive and placed individually in cages in an incubator (34°C, 60% RH) with 10 day-old workers. They were fed *ad libitum* with water, candy (30% honey from the source colonies, 70% powdered sugar) and pollen. One-day-old bees were obtained from honey combs containing last-stage pupae removed from 3 source colonies. In each replicate, queens originated from the same colony to reduce genetic variation and thus potential pheromone variation (Pankiw *et al.*, 1996; Plettner *et al.*, 1997).

Dissection of mandibular glands

Mandibular gland excision was performed using a method modified from Gary (Gary, 1961a) when queens were one or two-days old, since mandibular glands do not secrete chemicals outside the body until 3 days after emergence (Nedel, 1960). Experimental queens were narcotized lightly with CO_2 (~15 seconds) and placed under a binocular magnifying glass (× 8), kept on the back between the thumb and forefinger in order to clear the head. Mandibles were carefully removed with scissors and forceps by cutting the articulation of the mandibles. An opening appeared on both sides of the mouth. Then, the mandibular glands were carefully extirpated from the queen heads with extra fine forceps. After surgery, the demandibulated queens (MG–) were returned to their own cage. One day later, the mandible incisions had healed. Control queens (MG+) were sham operated by the same procedure, except mandibular gland extirpation.

Pheromone analysis

The presence of queen mandibular pheromone components (9-ODA-HOB-HVA-9-HDA) in MG– (n = 17) and MG+ (n = 19) queens was analyzed at the end of the 2009 experiment. Queens were individually stored at -20°C for later chemical analysis of the QMP components. Head, thorax and abdomen were dissected and extracted separately in 200 μ l of methanol and 100 μ l of decanoic acid (250 ng/ μ l; internal standard). Preparations were cooled on ice, body parts were crushed with a glass rod for 2 minutes and centrifuged (2500 × g for 20 min. at 4°C). The supernatant was collected, the total volume of supernatant recorded and a sample (20 μ l) was concentrated under a nitrogen stream and then derivatized with 5 μ l of bistrimethylsilyltrifluoroacetamide (BSTFA). The solution was agitated and left at room temperature for 40 min. The derivatized sample was then diluted in 100 μ l of isohexane and 1 μ l of this solution was injected into a fast gas chromatograph (Shimadzu 2014, Japan) equipped with a split-splitless inlet, a flame ionization detector, and a capillary column

(equity-5; 15m x 0.10mm, 0.10µm film thickness). The samples were injected in split mode. Hydrogen was used as the carrier gas with column flow of 0.52ml min⁻¹. The oven temperature was set at 100°C, then 100°C to 200°C at 40°C min⁻¹ and 200°C to 250°C at 10°C min⁻¹ and held at 250°C for 2 min. Standard solutions of each QMP compounds derivatized with BSTFA were used to calibrate the response of the instrument with respect to the internal standard. Identification and quantification of HOB, 9-ODA, HVA, 9-HDA were based on retention times of synthetic compounds (Sigma-Aldrich, France and PheroTech, Canada) and on the internal standard method. The confirmation of QMP compounds was done by a mass spectrometer (Shimadzu CP2010, Japan). The mass spectrometer was operated in the electron impact mode at 70 eV with continuous scans (every 0.2 sec) from a mass to charge ratio (m/z) of 70 to 400. Data were collected with GC-MS Solution software (Shimadzu, Japan). Compounds were identified by comparison with standards. The variation in QMP amount between the MG– and MG+ queens was statistically determined, compound by compound, using Mann–Whitney U tests (STATVIEW 5.0, SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

Experimental set up

The effect of MG– and MG+ queens on both ovary activation and comb construction was tested in cage experiments. Plastic cages $(11 \times 8.5 \times 5.8 \text{cm})$ (Pain, 1966) were composed of 150 one day-old bees originating from 3 colonies and fed *ad libitum* with water, pollen (to promote ovary activation), and candy. They were kept in an incubator (33°C and 60% RH) during 15 days and were then collected for ovary activation analysis. Ovary activation generally reaches a peak at 14-15 days in cage (Velthuis, 1970a). A piece of wax (5 ×1cm) was stuck on the top of the cage as primer for comb cell construction. Three different groups were tested: cages with a normal queen (MG+: positive control), queenless cages (QL: negative control), and cages with a demandibulated queen (MG–). Since queens emit highly volatile chemicals (Gilley *et al.*, 2006), each group was separated in different incubators with the same environment.

Ovary activation

Twenty bees reared in QL or MG+ or MG– conditions were randomly collected from each cage for ovary activation analysis. They were dissected under a binocular microscope, and the level of ovary activation was classified into 5 stages according to Pernal and Currie (2000) as follows: stage 0: no follicle development, ovaries are slender and non-differentiated, referred to undeveloped ovaries, stage 1: slight enlargement, beginnings of differentiation; stage 2:

presence of distinct cells leading to swellings and constrictions, stage 3: egg volume exceeding that of the nutritive follicle, stage 4: presence of fully formed eggs, ovaries are characterized by having mature oocytes and referred to fully formed ovaries. The dissector was *blind* to the treatment identity of bees. One repetition (2009) was performed with 55 cages (MG–: n = 17, MG+: n = 19 and QL: n = 19). The MG–, MG+ and QL effects on worker ovary activation stage was determined using a Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA test followed by Mann–Whitney U post-hoc tests.

Comb construction

At day 15, the comb construction from each cage was collected and the number of cells counted. The mean diameter of 20 cells/cage/treatment was determined and divided into two categories according to their size, worker-sized cells' diameters being from 5 to 5.4mm and drone-sized cells from 6.2 to 6.4mm (Winston, 1987). In addition, the number of royal draft cells, which are conical and elongated, was counted in the different groups. Three repetitions (2005, 2007, and 2009) were performed giving a total of 125 cages (MG–: n = 53, MG+: n = 36 and QL: n = 36). Queen treatments effect on cell number and size were analyzed using a two-way ANOVA (repetitions and treatments) followed by Fisher post-hoc tests. The number of cells was transformed: y'=ln(y+1) to attain variance homogeneity in the 3 groups.

Retinue behavior

The effects of queens MG–, MG+ on retinue behavior were analyzed in two one-frame standardized observation hives containing 3,000 one day-old bees. For each repetition, one day-old bees were collected from the same hives. Each hive was established as similar as possible with one frame containing equivalent proportion of honey, pollen, brood and eggs. Hives were placed in an indoor apiary (25°C) and connected to the outside to allow normal foraging activity. The queens were not allowed to mate and introduced into the hive 20 days after hatching. Two days after queen introduction in the observation hives, a series of 5 pictures were taken twice. The number of workers surrounding the queen was determined and used to estimate retinue behavior. Then the queen was replaced randomly by a new queen MG– or MG+. One repetition (2009) was performed giving a total of 15 replicates for both MG– and MG+ queens. The number of bees performing the retinue behavior was compared by using a Mann–Whitney U test.

Results

Pheromone analysis

Normal amounts of 9-ODA (159±26 µg), HOB ($3.7\pm2.5\mu$ g) and 9-HDA ($150\pm34\mu$ g) were found in queen MG+ (Ledoux *et al.*, 2001). As found by Ledoux et al (2001), HVA was not detected in virgin queens. Interestingly, quantities of 9-HDA ($39\pm14\mu$ g) and HOB ($7\pm4\mu$ g) were detected in queen MG–, 9-ODA was not detectable (minimum GC detection equal at 0.47ng of 9-ODA /µL of isohexane) (Fig. 1). As a result, 9-ODA was only found in queen MG+ (Z=-5.05, P<0.0001); 9-HDA was higher in quantity in queen MG+ compared to queen MG– (Z=-3.5, P <0.0005) but there was no significant difference in the amount of HOB between the two queen types (Z=-1.13, P = 0.25).



Ovary activation

We found a significant treatment effect on worker ovary activation (N = 1100, H = 102.1, df = 2, P < 0.0001, fig. 2). Bees reared with queen MG+ or MG– had a significantly lower ovary activation compared to bees from QL cages (MG– vs. QL: Z = -9.34, P < 0.0001; MG+ vs. QL: Z = -9.04, P < 0.0001). However, despite differences in pheromone composition, the effect of queens MG+ and MG– on worker ovary activation did not differ significantly (Z =

-0.737, P =0.5). The percentage of workers in MG–, MG+ and QL cages, respectively, with no ovary activation (range 0-1) was 82%, 81% and 52%, and workers with ovary activation (range of 3-4) was 3%, 4% and 28%.



Comb construction

We found significant treatment and repetition effects on comb construction, but no interaction effect between the two factors (treatment: $F_{2,124}=121.8$, P<0.0001, repetition : $F_{2,124}=12.6$, P<0.0001, treatment x repetition : $F_{4,249}= 1.18$, P=0.32). The comb size (number of cells) significantly increased in the queen presence (MG+, MG–) compared to QL cages (MG+ vs. QL: P<0.0001, MG– vs. QL: P<0.0001), however no differences were detected between the two types of queen (MG+ vs. MG–: P=0.68, Fig. 2). The queen treatment also had an effect on the cell size ($F_{2,124}=130.8$, P<0.0001). This effect did not change between repetitions ($F_{2,124}=1.92$, P=0.15). Workers reared with MG+ and MG– queens built worker-sized cells that did not differ significantly in their diameters (5.13 ± 0.07 and 5.20 ± 0.06 mm; MG+ vs. QL: P<0.0001, MG– vs. QL: P<0.0001).

No royal cell construction was observed in our experimental set-up with either queens MG+ or MG-. However, QL workers constructed one to three royal draft cells per cage (1.3 ± 0.2) .

Retinue behavior

The mean number of workers performing retinue behavior around queens MG– and MG+ reached 10.3 ± 0.5 and 10.7 ± 0.2 , respectively and was not significantly different (Z = -0.38, P =0.7).

Discussion

Previous investigations found that pheromones from mandibular glands have a pronounced effect on colony life (Slessor *et al.*, 2005a). Due to QMP importance, it was expected, that queens from whom mandibular glands were removed would be less effective in regulating worker responses. Our results do not support this hypothesis but show that demandibulated queens retain their full regulatory functions (Table 1), highlighting some redundancy in queen control. Our results are in accordance with the studies of Velthuis and Van Es (Velthuis, 1970b; Velthuis, Van Es, 1964), suggesting that QMP is not responsible by itself for the queen's pheromonal regulation of colony function (worker ovary activation, queen cell construction and retinue behaviour). This phenomenon can now be extended to the regulation of general comb construction (cell number and type) (this paper). In addition, by checking for the first time the effect of mandibular gland removal on the composition of 9-ODA, 9-HDA and HOB, we showed that demandibulated virgin queens were as effective as normal virgin queens in regulating colony function.

`` ,			
	MG+	MG-	QL
Worker ovary inhibition	+	+	—
Retinue behavior	+	+	Ø
Cells construction	+	+	_
Cells type	Ŷ	P	3
Queen cells inhibition	+	+	_

Table 1: Comparative effect of queenless (QL), control queen (MG+), and extirpated queen (MG–) on worker behavior and physiology.

(\bigcirc worker cells construction, \bigcirc drone cells construction, \emptyset not available, + positive, - negative)

Consistent with previous studies, (Pankiw *et al.*, 1996; Slessor *et al.*, 1990) sham-operated queens (MG+) had normal levels of QMP. Moreover in this study, queens from whom mandibular glands had been removed (MG–) had a similar levels of HOB, lower levels of 9-

HDA and no detectable 9-ODA. This confirms that 9-ODA is uniquely produced and stored in the queen mandibular glands (Naumann *et al.*, 1991) and suggests the existence of another source of production of HOB and 9-HDA as found by Whiffler and Hepburn (1991) in *A. m. capensis* and *A. m. scutellata* queens.

Queens produce a blend of 9 compounds (Queen Retinue Pheromone, QRP) that, in concert, elicit almost the full queen retinue behavior from honey bee workers. Pure 9-ODA can elicit weak queen retinue behavior, whereas the other compounds act synergistically with 9-ODA and do not elicit a retinue response by themselves (Keeling *et al.*, 2003; Slessor *et al.*, 1988). This pheromone blend is composed of QMP, coniferyl alcohol produced in the mandibular glands and 3 other compounds, methyl oleate, hexadecan-1-ol and linolenic acid, produced in the body of the queen (Keeling *et al.*, 2003). Contrary to our expectation, and despite no 9-ODA detectable, MG– queens had a similar number of workers performing retinue behavior (around 10) compared to the sham-operated control queens (between 8 to 12 workers (Free, 1987; Winston, 1987). Therefore, as methyl oleate, hexadecan 1-ol and the linolenic acid are not produced in the mandibular gland (Keeling *et al.*, 2003) and 9-HDA and HOB are found in MG– queens, those compounds might play a role together or with other, as yet non-identified, components in eliciting retinue behavior.

Our results confirm that the two types of virgin queen, MG– and MG+, partially inhibit ovary activation in workers. Thus, other queen-produced substances have the potential to substitute for 9-ODA. Recently, a volatile compound, $E-\beta$ -ocimene, was found to be produced by mated queens (Gilley *et al.*, 2006) and larval brood (Maisonnasse *et al.*, 2009), and this compound has been found to inhibit ovary activation in workers (Maisonnasse *et al.*, 2009). But $E-\beta$ -ocimene was not found in 3 day-old virgin queens (Gilley *et al.*, 2006). In our experiment virgin queens were 5 to 20 days old, thus complementary experiments are needed to know if virgin queens older than 3 days could produce this compound or if mating is required to increase the production of this compound, as is the case for HVA (Pankiw *et al.*, 1996). Furthermore, virgin and mated queens produce esters (Keeling, Slessor, 2005), such as ethyl palmitate (EP), which have the potential to suppress ovary activation in workers (Mohammedi *et al.*, 1998). EP works efficiently at 5400 ng per bee and the queen produces only 330 ng of EP, thus EP emission by the queen could act in addition to larval EP production or other queen chemicals but is unlikely to act alone in mediating ovary inhibition. Tergal gland extracts can also partially regulate ovary activation in workers (Wossler, Crewe, 1999a), but

the presence of 9-ODA on the queen's cuticle (Naumann, 1991) might be involved. In addition, the effect of 9-HDA and HOB together or separately was not tested on worker ovaries, however their inhibitory action in the QMP blend has been documented. It is possible that E- β -ocimene, ethyl palmitate, compounds from tergal glands, HOB and 9-HDA act in synergy to provide a full worker response similar to normal queens.

Interestingly, workers with a MG– queen produced worker-sized cells, and built a large number of cells, as in the MG+ queen condition, in contrast to the QL condition in which workers constructed a small number of cells that were drone-sized. Thus, our results indicate that comb construction is also regulated by queen chemicals other than QMP (Ledoux *et al.*, 2001). In the absence of the queen, *A. m. capensis* workers, who reproduce via thelytokous parthenogenesis and *A. m. scutellata*, who reproduce via arrhenotokous parthenogenesis build only worker or drone cells, respectively, but queenless hybrid colonies produce both cell types or only worker cells (Neumann *et al.*, 2000). This would support the idea that comb construction can be regulated by chemicals other than QMP that are also produced by the workers. However, since *A. m. capensis* workers develop QMP-profiles with a high amount of 9-ODA (Simon *et al.*, 2001), the construction of worker cells in those queenless colonies could also be due to the QMP.

This study used virgin queens, however mating in honey bee queens causes dramatic changes in queen behavior and physiology (Kocher *et al.*, 2008). For example, the queen pheromone blend is modulated by the reproductive status of the queens. Virgin and newly mated queens produce the same QMP signal (Kocher *et al.*, 2009) while a different QMP blend is produced by the mature mated queen (Pankiw *et al.*, 1996). Therefore, whether demandibulated mated queens keep their regulatory functions, like virgin MG– queens, remains to be tested.

The evidence for multiple, active queen compounds with similar effects raises the question of why such redundancy? An answer to this question may be found in the theoretical analysis of communication in social insects. Two opposing theories can potentially explain the evolution of pheromone communication between the queen and workers. On one hand it is believed that the queen pheromone acts as a reliable and honest signal, to which workers respond by restraining themselves from reproducing in order to increase their inclusive fitness, but on the other hand, queen pheromones could be used to control and manipulate worker reproduction. (Heinze, d'Ettorre, 2009; Keller, Nonacs, 1993) This dishonest control over reproduction by

the queen would be evolutionarily unstable, because workers would be selected to overcome her inhibitory effect. As a consequence, workers would be selected for a reduced sensitivity to specific queen chemicals, to which the queen would develop an alternative pheromone source. In that case, queen pheromone would evolve towards a multi-component blend, as opposed to a relatively simple, honest single-component signal (Heinze, d'Ettorre, 2009; Keller, Nonacs, 1993). The redundancy of multiple, active queen compounds might be the result of competition between queens and workers over reproduction (Katzav-Gozansky, 2006; Katzav-Gozansky et al., 2004; Strauss et al., 2008). Differences in sensitivity to QMP between colonies (Pankiw et al., 1994) and evidence of workers being able to lay eggs that can survive, despite the inhibitory presence of a queen (Martin et al., 2002; Oldroyd et al., 1994), are both found in nature. This shows that workers have the capacity to bypass queen pheromonal control of reproduction. Since, A. m. capensis parasitic workers, who reproduce despite the presence of a queen, develop a QMP-profile (Dietemann et al., 2007; Dietemann et al., 2006; Simon et al., 2001) to compete pheromonally with the host queen or workers, it would be interesting to determine whether they have also developed multiple, redundant queen chemicals other than QMP-like.

A second and alternative explanation to the pheromone redundancy hypothesis would be that the presence of multiple queen pheromones might fine-tune the regulation of colony homeostasis. The different queen chemicals may have redundant functions, but their efficiency may differ and depend on the context, their transmission (Slessor *et al.*, 2005a) and the variability in their production. In summary, each chemical may not be effective by itself, but altogether, they enable the queen to develop a complex and precise chemical "syntax" during the colony life-cycle. In addition, worker behavior and physiology is regulated by multiple hormone signaling pathways (e.g. juvenile hormone, vitellogenin, insulin) (Ament *et al.*, 2008; Bloch *et al.*, 2002; Nelson *et al.*, 2007), so it is possible that the different but redundant queen chemicals each act on different targets of the worker hormonal system.

Conclusion

Queen-worker communication is essential to colony homeostasis. For the past 20 years, 9-ODA, and consequently QMP, were described as the main regulatory system of worker behavior and physiology. Now, our results demonstrate that other queen chemicals as powerful as 9-ODA and QMP are involved in worker regulation. Now the next challenge is to find the secondary queen pheromonal system and test for its effects on the hormonal system. In honey bees, pheromone signaling systems have pleiotropic effects as regulators of colony functionality. The signal redundancy originating from the same individual now adds another level of complexity to the already intricate language of the colony.

Acknowledgements

We thank Horyia Amaach, Geoffrey Montes and Thomas[†] and Martin Le Conte, for their laboratory and field assistance, Axel Brockmann, Marion Ellis, Cynthia McDonnell and three anonymous referees for comments and English editing that improved the manuscript. Funds were provided by Human Frontier Science Program (RGP0042/2007-C101) for AM, YLC and EP laboratory research and CA was supported by an INRA young researcher position (INRA SPE department).

Transition :

La reine utilise plusieurs phéromones pour réguler la colonie (Fig. 13). La QMP est une phéromone majeure mais d'autres phéromones royales existent. Malgré ces actions pleiotropiques, la QMP peut être substituée par d'autres phéromones pour l'inhibition des ovaires des ouvrières, le phénomène de cour et la construction de cire dans la colonie. Une redondance phéromonale existe dans la communication phéromonale de la reine.

Chez le couvain, une phéromone a des effets pleiotropiques sur les ouvrières : la BEP. Comme l'indiquent les résultats précédents, il est possible que d'autres phéromones soient émises par le couvain en addition de la BEP. Les deux articles suivants décrivent si le couvain émet des phéromones volatiles avec des effets redondants de la BEP mais pouvant atteindre tous les individus de la colonie.

