## TABLE DES MATIÈRES

RÉSUMÉ ..... II

ABSTRACT ..... IV

TABLE DES MATIÈRES ..... VI

LISTE DES TABLEAUX ..... XIII

LISTE DES FIGURES ..... XV

REMERCIEMENTS ..... XVIII

CHAPITRE 1 ..... 19

INTRODUCTION GENERALE ..... 19

1.1. IMPORTANCE DE L'ÉPINETTE NOIRE POUR LA FORÊT BORÉALE CANADIENNE ..... 19

1.2. UNE TECHNIQUE SYLVICOLE ÉPROUVÉE POUR RÉPONDRE AUX BESOINS D'AUJOURD'HUI : L'ÉCLAIRCIE COMMERCIALE ? ..... 20

1.3. OUVERTURE DU PEUPLEMENT ET RÔLE DES RACINES ..... 22

1.4. DU GAIN DE CROISSANCE À LA QUALITÉ DU BOIS : VERS LA VALEUR AJOUTÉE ..... 23

1.5. LE TERRITOIRE ÉTUDIÉ ..... 25

1.6. HYPOTHÈSES ET OBJECTIFS ..... 26

1.7. RÉFÉRENCES ..... 30

CHAPITRE 2 ..... 38

RADIAL GROWTH RESPONSE OF BLACK SPRUCE ROOTS AND STEMS TO COMMERCIAL THINNING IN THE BOREAL FOREST ..... 39

2.1. ABSTRACT ..... 39

2.2. INTRODUCTION ..... 40

2.3. METHODS ..... 42

*2.3.1. Study Area* ..... 42

*2.3.2. Data* ..... 43

*2.3.3. Dendrochronology* ..... 44

*2.3.4. Radial Growth* ..... 44

*2.3.5. Competition Index* ..... 45

*2.3.6. Stastitical analyses* ..... 46

2.4. RESULTS ..... 47

*2.4.1. Stem Growth Response* ..... 47

*2.4.2. Root Response* ..... 48

*2.4.3. Comparison between stem and Root Radial Growth* ..... 49

2.5. DISCUSSION ..... 50

*2.5.1. Growth Response* ..... 50

*2.5.2. Variables Influencing Response to Thinning* ..... 51

*2.5.3. Roots and Stem Growth* ..... 52

2.6. CONCLUSIONS ..... 54

2.7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS ..... 54

2.8. REFERENCES ..... 56

CHAPITRE 3 ..... 72

YIELD OF BLACK SPRUCE STANDS FOLLOWING COMMERCIAL THINNING: A WAY TOWARDS FULFILLING NEW MANAGEMENT EXPECTATIONS ..... 73

3.1. ABSTRACT ..... 73

3.2. INTRODUCTION ..... 74

3.3. MATERIAL AND METHODS ..... 76

*3.3.1. Study area* ..... 76

*3.3.2. Sampling and volume calculation* ..... 77

*3.3.3. Statistical analysis* ..... 79

3.4. RESULTS ..... 79

*3.4.1. Individual tree volume growth* ..... 80

*3.4.2. Stand increment* ..... 81

3.5. DISCUSSION ..... 82

*3.5.1. Individual tree volume growth* ..... 82

*3.5.2. Stand increment* ..... 83

*3.5.3. Yield allocation and implications for forest ecosystem-based management* ..... 85

3.6. CONCLUSION ..... 86

3.7. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS ..... 87

3.8. REFERENCES ..... 88

CHAPITRE 4 ..... 102

**HOW DOES COMMERCIAL THINNING INFLUENCE PROFILE SHAPE IN PICEA MARIANA: A CASE-STUDY IN QUÉBEC'S BOREAL FOREST. ..... 103**

**4.1. ABSTRACT ..... 103**

**4.2. INTRODUCTION ..... 104**

**4.3. MATERIAL AND METHODS ..... 105**

*4.3.1. Study area ..... 106*

*4.3.2. Sampling ..... 107*

*4.3.3. Radial growth and relative height ..... 108*

*4.3.4. Stem shape and taper calculation ..... 108*

*4.3.5. Other parameters influencing taper and stem form ..... 109*

*4.3.6. Statistical analysis ..... 110*

**4.4. RESULTS ..... 111**

*4.4.1. Radial growth response along stem, taper and stem shape variation ..... 111*

*4.4.2. Parameters influencing taper and stem shape ..... 112*

**4.5. DISCUSSION ..... 112**

**4.6. CONCLUSION ..... 116**

**4.7. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS ..... 116**

**4.8. REFERENCES ..... 118**

**CHAPITRE 5 ..... 132**

VARIATION IN BLACK SPRUCE (*PICEA MARIANA* (MILL.) BSP) WOOD QUALITY AFTER THINNING ..... 133

5.1. ABSTRACT ..... 133

5.2. INTRODUCTION ..... 134

5.3. MATERIALS AND METHODS ..... 136

*5.3.1. Study area* ..... 136

*5.3.2. Sampling* ..... 137

*5.3.3. Sample analysis* ..... 138

*5.3.4. Statistical analysis* ..... 138

5.4. RESULTS ..... 139

*5.4.1. Growth variation* ..... 139

*5.4.2. Ring density variation* ..... 140

*5.4.3. MOE variation with thinning* ..... 141

*5.4.4. Stem height influence on MOE and average RD* ..... 141

5.5. DISCUSSION ..... 141

*5.5.1. Variation in wood characteristics due to thinning* ..... 142

*5.5.2. Variation in wood characteristics with tree height* ..... 144

5.6. CONCLUSION ..... 146

5.7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS ..... 146

5.8. REFERENCES ..... 148

CHAPITRE 6 ..... 162

**DOES TIMING MAKE THE DIFFERENCE ON THE PROFITABILITY OF COMMERCIAL THINNING IN THE BOREAL FOREST? ..... 163**

**6.1. ABSTRACT ..... 163**

**6.2. INTRODUCTION ..... 164**

**6.3. STUDY AREA ..... 166**

**6.4. DATA ..... 167**

**6.5. METHODS ..... 167**

*6.5.1. Prediction of products recovery ..... 167*

*6.5.2. Economic analysis ..... 168*

*6.5.3. Influence of lumber price fluctuations ..... 170*

*6.5.4. Retrospective analysis ..... 170*

*6.5.5. Statistical analyses ..... 171*

**6.6. RESULTS ..... 171**

*6.6.1. Lumber products recovery ..... 171*

*6.6.2. NPV prediction ..... 172*

*6.6.3. Retrospective analysis ..... 173*

**6.7. DISCUSSION ..... 173**

*6.7.1. Products recovery ..... 173*

*6.7.2. Thinning profitability ..... 174*

*6.7.3. Limits analysis ..... 174*

**6.8. CONCLUSION ..... 176**

**6.9. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS ..... 177**

6.10. LITERATURE ..... 178

CHAPITRE 7 ..... 191

CONCLUSION GENERALE ..... 191

7.1. IMPLICATIONS SYLVICOLES ..... 191

*7.1.1. Éclaircie commercial et croissance des peuplements* ..... 191

*7.1.2. Éclaircie commerciale et qualité du bois* ..... 192

*7.1.3. Implication économique* ..... 193

7.2. CONTRIBUTION SCIENTIFIQUE ET ORIGINALITÉ DE L'ÉTUDE ..... 194

7.3. PERSPECTIVES DE RECHERCHES ..... 196

7.4. RÉFÉRENCES ..... 198

Rapport-Gratuit.com

# LISTE DES TABLEAUX

Table 2.1: Stand characteristics ..... 64

Table 2.2 : Effects from the analysis of variance (ANOVA) for A) log(γ), where γ is the mean growth increment since thinning year for stems, roots 25 (R25) and roots 70 (R70), treatments (thinning and controls) are compared; and B) log(γt), where γt is the annual growth increment, temporal analysis is presented. Data are transformed in logarithmic value when necessary ..... 65

Table 2.3: Regression coefficients for independent variables: diameter at breast high (dbh), Competition Index at Thinning Year (CITY), Stump Competition Index (CIS), tree height (height) and crown length. Data are transformed in logarithmic value when necessary ..... 66

Table 2.4: A) Stepwise regression for the model of log(γ), B) Whole model of the multivariate regression resulting from the stepwise analysis and C) Independent variables. Data are transformed into logarithmic values when necessary ..... 67

Table 3.1: Stands characteristics ..... 94

Table 3.2: Stands volume (V) and average volume per stem (AVS) at thinning year (TY) and 10 years after treatment (f) ..... 95

Table 3.3: Selected trees characteristics and environment ..... 96

Table 3.4: Effects from A) analysis of variance (ANOVA) for $V_{10}$ and B) the analysis of variance (ANOVA) for volume increment by stand ..... 97

Table 3.5: $R^2$, $p$ and correlation coefficients ($a$) between A) $V_{10}$ (decennial volume increment, %) and selected trees characteristics and competitors (alive and stumps) characteristics; and B) individual tree volume growth ($V_{cum}$) and selected trees characteristics and competitors (alive and stumps) characteristics ..... 98

Table 4.1: Stands characteristics ..... 124

Table 4.2: Effects from the analysis of variance (ANOVA) for log(γ), where γ is the radial growth increment (γ = mean growth before/mean growth after). Hr is relative height. Blocks and sites are random factors, sites are nested within blocks and trees are nested within sites ..... 125

Table 4.3: Effects from the analysis of variance (ANOVA) for A) log(ASEC) and B) log(Taper). Blocks and sites are random factors, sites are nested within blocks and trees (#) are nested within sites ..... 126

Table 4.4: Stepwise regression, whole model of multivariate regression resulting from the stepwise analysis, and independent variables for A) ASEC and B) Taper. Ch = Crown height, h = total height, Dbh = diameter at breast height and Td = Thinning density.... 127

Table 5.1: Stand Characteristics ..... 154

Table 5.2: Ring characteristics and MOE variation by stand. ..... 155

Table 5.3: ANOVA for treatment and temporal effects on a) ring width (RW), b) early-wood width (EWW), c) latewood width (LWW), d) ring density (RD), e) average ring density (average RD) and f) modulus of elasticity (MOE)..... 156

Table 5.4: Effect from analysis of variance (ANOVA) for a) average ring density (Average RD) and b) modulus of elasticity (MOE) before and after thinning: analysis with tree height. ..... 157

Table 6.1: Stands characteristics..... 182

Table 6.2: Stands characteristics and parameters, used to calculate commercial thinning (CT) and final harvest (FINAL) costs and incomes..... 183

Table 6.3 : Mean of historical prices from 1981 to 2009 for commercial thinning (CT) and final harvest (FINAL) costs ($C_{CT}$, $C_{FINAL}$), stumpage fees, transformation costs, incomes ($I_{CT}$, $I_{FINAL}$) and Net Present Value (NPV) in $CAN2009, CT data are discounted to fit in NPV calculation. Costs and Incomes are given by hectare for each stand. ..... 184

Table 6.4 : A) Stepwise regression for the model of $VAN_{CT}$, B) whole model of the multivariate regression resulting from the stepwise analysis and C) independent variables. ..... 185

Table 6.5: A) Stepwise regression for the model of $VAN_C$, B) whole model of the multivariate regression resulting from the stepwise analysis and C) independent variables. ..... 186

Table 6.6 : Percentiles of prices combinations observed during the last 30 years for a 10-year lag period between CT and final harvest (FINAL). Grey case correspond to "winning conditions" as defined it the text ($NPV_{CT} > NPV_C$)..... 187

Rapport-gratuit.com
LE NUMERO 1 MONDIAL DU MÉMOIRES

# LISTE DES FIGURES

Figure 2.1: Radial growth increments (γ) over time of stems (S), built with all thinned stands and compared with controls. B) Radial growth increments of roots R25 and R70 over time, built with all thinned stands and compared with controls. C) Root and stem growth increment pattern over time for thinned stands. D) Root and stem growth increment pattern over time for control stands. Time 0 = year of thinning, negative numbers correspond to years before treatment and positive ones to years after thinning. Asterisks mean significant treatment*time interactions. C = Control stands and CT = Commercial thinning ..... 68

Figure 2.2: A) Stem growth increment (γ) by thinning (CT) stands (black); comparison with control (C) stands (white). B) Roots R25 growth increment by thinning stands; comparison with control stands. C) Roots R70 growth increment by thinning stands; comparison with control stands. For each graph, stand (first number) is grouped with its control (second number) by location ..... 69

Figure 2.3: Percentage of trees within stands as a function of their growth increment (γ) after thinning year for thinned stands (left part on each graph) and control stands (last part of each graph, arrows). Different colours correspond to the five growth response classes described in the methods..... 70

Figure 2.4: Relation between stem growth increment (γ) after thinning and root growth classes after thinning for all thinned stands (levels not connected by same letter are significantly different) ..... 71

Figure 3.1: Stem distribution (%) following Dbh class (cm). Stems from control (white) and thinned (black) stands are represented as well as stumps from thinned (grey) stands.....99

Figure 3.2: Decennial volume increment, V10 (%), by block. Comparison between thinned (black) and control (white) stands..... 100

Figure 3.3: Stand volume increment (%), by block. Comparison between thinned (black) and control (white) stands.....101

Figure 4.1: Map showing the locations of study stands around the St-Jean Lake, Quebec, Canada. Black squares = thinned stands, white squares = control stands ..... 128

Figure 4.2: Mean of relative radial growth (α) ten years before (solid line) and ten years after (dash line) the treatment along the bole for thinned (black) and control (grey) stands. Data have been transformed into relative values so are thus without unit. A) α for thinned stands before and after treatment; B) α for control stands before and after treatment; C) α before treatment, comparison between thinned and control stands; D) α after treatment comparison between thinned and control stands ..... 129

Figure 4.3: Radial growth increment (γ) along relative height (hr) for thinned (dash line) and control (solid line) trees. Stars mean significant treatment*hr interactions. C = Control and CT = Commercial thinning ..... 130

Figure 4.4: Relative diameter (Drel) along relative height (hr) for 4 five-year periods around thinning year. Arrows indicate start of each five-year period: 10 years before thinning year, 5 years before thinning year, 5 years after thinning year and 10 years after thinning year. C = Control (dashed grey line) and CT = Commercial Thinning (blac line) ..... 131

Figure 5.1: Change before and after thinning year (time = 0) in A) ring width (RW, mm) B) early-wood width (EWW, mm) and C) latewood width (LWW, mm) for both thinned (CT, black circle) and control (C, white triangle) stands..... 158

Figure 5.2: Change before and after thinning year (time = 0) in A) ring density (RD, kg/m³) and B) average ring density (Average RD, kg/m³) for both thinned (CT, black circle) and control (C, white triangle) stands..... 159

Figure 5.3: Variation in modulus of elasticity (MOE, GPa) for both thinned (CT, black circle) and control (C, white triangle) stands..... 160

Figure 5.4: Change along the first four metres of stem in A) average ring density (Average RD) for thinned stands, B) Average RD for control stands, C) modulus of elasticity (MOE) for thinned stands and D) MOE for control stands, before (black square) and after (white square) thinning year. ..... 161

Figure 6.1: Individual stem value according to their Dbh classes for the five percentiles of prices (PP). A) When final harvested, B) when thinned. Thinned values were discounted. ..... 188

Figure 6.2: PRIBEC series ($CAN2009) from 1981 to 2009 embraced with percentile of prices. The five horizontal lines correspond to the five selected percentile from 10th

(bottom solid line) to the 90th (upper dash line) and including 25th, 50th and 75th percentile of prices. ..... 189
Figure 6.3 : Net Present Value (NPV, left-hand side) estimated for CT ($NPV_{CT}$ solid line) and control stands ($NPV_C$, dash line) for different percentile of prices combinations... 190

## REMERCIEMENTS

Simon, j'espère que tu pourras bientôt prendre ta retraite ;-)

Un grand merci à Cornelia Krause, sans qui je n'aurais pu réaliser cette thèse. Merci de m'avoir fait confiance, et de m'avoir soutenue durant ces 5 années.

Ce travail est le fruit de plusieurs collaborations, Tony Zhang, Ahmed Koubaa, Hubert Morin et Jean-Martin Lussier ont chacun, grandement contribué aux différents chapitres constituant ce document. Je vous en suis très reconnaissante.

Merci encore aux professionnels et aux étudiants qui ont permis la réalisation de ce projet par leur travail sur le terrain ou au laboratoire d'écologie végétale.

Mais cette thèse est une histoire d'à-côtés et de rencontres qui m'ont apporté autant si ce n'est plus que les journées passées devant l'ordinateur. Andres, Cléo qui étiez là lorsque je suis arrivée, merci d'être encore là aujourd'hui. Merci JF pour ta générosité et tes conseils. Merci Pierre-Luc, Pascal, Boris, pour toutes les aventures. Merci Julie aussi, pour ton énergie et pour m'avoir montré comment voir les choses différemment.

Merci Marie-Eve, Pascale, Véro, et tous les autres qui vous cachez derrière ce travail.

# CHAPITRE 1

# INTRODUCTION GENERALE

## 1.1. IMPORTANCE DE L'ÉPINETTE NOIRE POUR LA FORÊT BORÉALE CANADIENNE

Les forêts boréales couvrent 14.5 pour cent du territoire terrestre (Melillo *et al.*, 1993), formant du nord au sud, une ceinture continue de 1000 km de largeur. Elles s'étendent en Amérique du Nord, en Europe et en Asie (Encyclopédie Canadienne, 2009). Élément de la biodiversité mondiale, l'épinette noire (*Picea mariana* (Mill.) BSP), domine largement la forêt boréale de l'est du continent Nord-Américain (Gagnon et Morin, 2001). Présente uniquement en Amérique du Nord (Gagnon, 1988; Viereck *et al.*, 1990), elle est de par son abondance et ses propriétés, une essence très importante économiquement. La qualité des ses fibres en fait une espèce très appréciée autant dans la fabrication du papier que dans celle du bois d'œuvre (Gagnon et Morin, 2001; Zhang et Koubaa, 2008).

Pourtant, ces dernières années, les attentes sociétales envers l'aménagement sylvicole en forêt boréale ont évolué. Les forestiers doivent désormais relever autant de défis que la protection de la diversité et de la qualité environnementale, l'intégration du style de vie des premières nations, le maintien des activités récréotouristiques et la production de matériel renouvelable (Côté et Bouthillier, 1999). Ainsi, la réduction de la disponibilité en bois de sciage recommandée en 2004 par la Commission Coulombe (Coulombe *et al.*, 2004), associée à l'augmentation de la compétition mondiale au sein de l'industrie forestière, implique la mise en place de nouvelles stratégies sylvicoles pour augmenter le volume de bois produit sur un territoire limité, tout en permettant l'atteinte

des objectifs sociétaux à grande échelle. Contrairement aux traitements sylvicoles associés à la régénération des peuplements tels que la coupe à blanc ou les coupes progressives, l'éclaircie commerciale est généralement considérée comme un moyen d'éduquer les peuplements au même titre que l'élagage ou le débroussaillage (Doucet *et al.*, 2009). Cependant, les coupes partielles, dont font partie les éclaircies, apparaissent selon certains auteurs comme un choix judicieux pour atteindre les contraintes de développement et de mondialisation du marché tout en conservant une bonne qualité de production (Zhang *et al.*, 2006; Thorpe et Thomas, 2007; Thorpe *et al.*, 2007).

## 1.2. UNE TECHNIQUE SYLVICOLE ÉPROUVÉE POUR RÉPONDRE AUX BESOINS D'AUJOURD'HUI : L'ÉCLAIRCIE COMMERCIALE ?

L'éclaircie commerciale est en vigueur depuis plusieurs décennies dans les forêts mélangées et feuillues du sud du Québec, aux États-Unis et en Europe (Worthington et Staebler, 1961 ; Bella et De Franceschi, 1974). Plus récemment, et de façon moins documentée, les compagnies forestières ont réalisé des traitements d'éclaircie commerciale dans les peuplements naturels d'épinettes noires en forêt boréale. L'intervention consiste en une coupe partielle effectuée dans un peuplement qui n'est pas arrivé à maturité afin de stimuler la croissance des tiges résiduelles tout en récoltant une certaine quantité de bois (Doucet *et al.* 2009). Théoriquement, il existe différentes manières de réaliser une éclaircie (Doucet *et al.* 2009), soit :

— l'éclaircie par le bas : on enlève les tiges dans l'étage dominé afin de favoriser celles de l'étage dominant;

— l'éclaircie par le haut : on élimine les dominants les moins prometteurs afin de stimuler la croissance des autres tiges de ce même étage;

— l'éclaircie jardinatoire : on enlève les tiges dominantes pour favoriser celles des étages codominants et intermédiaires;

— l'éclaircie libre : on intervient dans plusieurs étages à la fois;

— l'éclaircie systématique : on laisse un espacement constant entre les tiges résiduelles.

La pratique d'éclaircie commerciale est préconisée dans les peuplements moyennement âgés pour augmenter la disponibilité en bois de sciage, réduire la période de révolution des peuplements (Curtis et Marshall, 2002) et leurs coûts d'aménagement (Cameron, 2002). Cependant, les objectifs varient suivant le type de peuplement (plantation ou naturel) dans lequel elle est réalisée (Gouvernement du Québec, 2003). En effet, par le choix d'un scénario d'éclaircies, le sylviculteur peut façonner en grande partie l'évolution du peuplement et atteindre les objectifs de production prédéterminés (Thiffault *et al.*, 2003). Les effets de l'éclaircie commerciale ont ainsi été étudiés sur différents peuplements de pin gris (*Pinus banksiana* Lamb., Bella et De Franceschi, 1974 ; Schneider *et al.*, 2008), de pin sylvestre (*Pinus sylvestris* L., Mäkinen et Isomäki, 2004b ; Mäkinen *et al.*, 2005) ou d'épinette de Norvège (*Picea abies* (L.) Karst., Jaakola *et al.*, 2005). Les auteurs s'accordent généralement sur le fait que l'éclaircie régularise l'accroissement et la croissance d'une forêt (Prégent, 1998 ; Petrás, 2002). Plus particulièrement, les éclaircies par le bas peuvent favoriser la sélection des meilleurs phénotypes et accélérer le temps de récolte en haussant l'âge auquel l'accroissement annuel moyen culmine (O'Neil, 1998 ; Cameron, 2002). L'effet réel sur le gain en volume reste cependant controversé. Alors que Stinson (1999) observe un accroissement du volume total de la récolte dans les peuplements de sapin Douglas (*Pseudotsuga*

*menziessii* (Mirb.) Franco) 50 ans après le traitement, Mäkinen et Isomäki (2004a), qui travaillent sur des peuplements d'épinette de Norvège, 27 ans après le traitement, constatent que l'accroissement total du volume et le volume marchand produit par hectare sont plus importants chez les peuplements témoins. Lussier (2007), qui étudie des pessières noires 40 ans après le traitement, pourrait «réconcilier» ces auteurs puisqu'il suggère qu'alors qu'une éclaircie commerciale modérée ne se justifie pas pour augmenter le volume marchand à courte échéance; à longue échéance une éclaircie de forte intensité pourrait apporter un gain en volume en raison de l'augmentation de la vigueur du peuplement.

### 1.3. OUVERTURE DU PEUPLEMENT ET RÔLE DES RACINES

Pourtant, l'ouverture du peuplement peut entraîner des conséquences néfastes sur la stabilité des arbres. Ainsi, l'éclaircie commerciale peut entraîner des dommages conséquents à la charge de la neige sur les branches ou encore rendre les peuplements plus susceptibles aux chablis (Cameron, 2002). D'après Cremer *et al.* (1982) ou Savill (1983), les dommages causés par la neige et la chute des arbres lors des tempêtes pourraient ainsi augmenter du fait de la plus faible stabilité des arbres lors de l'ouverture des milieux et entraîner une perte économique significative dans les peuplements éclaircis. Parce que la stabilité des individus dépend du développement adéquat du système racinaire (Fayle, 1975), certains auteurs ont étudié le développement des racines et son rôle sur la stabilité du peuplement après ouverture de la canopée (Stokes *et al.*, 1995 ; Nicoll et Dunn, 2000 ; Ruel *et al.*, 2003 ; Bergeron *et al.*, 2009). Nicoll et Dunn (2000) ont démontré une croissance adaptée à la pression du vent favorisant la distribution de la croissance des racines proches de la base des tiges d'épinette de Sitka

Rapport-Gratuit.com

(*Picea sitchensis* (Bong.) Carr.). D'autres études ont porté sur la compétition pour l'eau et les nutriments (Mitchell *et al.*, 1993). Pourtant, alors que le système racinaire semble être un très bon indicateur sur la croissance de l'arbre et la qualité du bois (Böhm, 1979 ; Bernier *et al.*, 1995), peu d'études concernant le développement des racines en réaction à l'éclaircie ont jusqu'alors été réalisées (Mitchell, 2000 ; Valinger *et al.*, 2000).

### 1.4. DU GAIN DE CROISSANCE À LA QUALITÉ DU BOIS : VERS LA VALEUR AJOUTÉE

La qualité est une notion subjective qui doit être précisée dans chaque contexte. Josza et Middleton (1997) définissent la qualité du bois en fonction des caractéristiques qui le valorisent dans le cadre d'une utilisation donnée. Les caractéristiques déterminant la qualité d'un bois peuvent être inhérentes à l'espèce ou dépendre des conditions de croissance.

Plusieurs auteurs ont démontré qu'une augmentation de croissance chez les conifères induisait généralement un rehaussement de la largeur du bois initial par rapport à celle du bois final et l'allongement du temps de transition entre le bois juvénile et le bois mature (Barbour *et al.*, 1994; Zhang *et al.*, 1996; Koga et Zhang, 2002). Les résineux répondraient donc à l'éclaircie en produisant du bois de densité plus faible (Carter *et al.*, 1986; Barbour *et al.*, 1992; Debell *et al.*, 2004; Kang *et al.*, 2004). Bien que l'évaluation du gain en volume demeure une priorité, le fait que l'accroissement radial induit par les traitements puisse entraîner une diminution des propriétés mécaniques du bois (Zhang *et al.*, 1998) est un élément important à prendre en considération. De plus, Viens (2001), Pape (1999) ou encore Barbour *et al.* (1992) ont démontré que les premières années suivant le traitement, la forme de la tige, notamment son défilement,

pouvait être modifiée. Cameron (2002) a observé que les arbres résiduels produisaient plus de bois de réaction, ce qui diminue la qualité de la fibre.

Enfin, le poids spécifique, considéré par certains auteurs comme le meilleur indice pratique de la qualité du bois (Shepard et Shottafer, 1990; Szymanski et Tauer, 1991) semble diminuer après le traitement. Or, les propriétés des produits forestiers dépendent fortement des caractéristiques du matériau bois. En particulier, la densité du bois joue un rôle important sur le rendement, la qualité et la valeur des matériaux composites et solides produits (Shi *et al.*, 2007). Reliée aux autres propriétés du matériau, résistance mécanique, retrait, rendement en pâte à papier et propriété de cette pâte (Josza et Middleton, 1997); elle est couramment utilisée comme indicateur de la qualité du bois et les variations annuelles de la densité de cerne déterminent souvent, l'utilisation du bois à des fins spécifiques (Echols, 1972; Koubaa *et al.*, 2002).

Un autre indicateur de qualité du bois est son module d'élasticité (MOE). L'épinette noire est ainsi l'une des principales essences utilisées pour la classification mécanique du bois ("Machine Stress Rated", MSR) qui dépend essentiellement du module d'élasticité (Tong *et al.*, 2009).

Quelques études ont porté sur la relation entre le taux de croissance et la qualité du bois chez différentes essences commerciales mais les résultats sont contradictoires (Pneuvmaticos *et al.*, 1979; Kellogg et Warren, 1984; Castéra *et al.*, 1996; Koga et Zhang, 2002; Zhang *et al.*, 2002). Chez le pin gris, comme chez le sapin baumier (*Abies balsamea* L.) Barbour *et al.* (1994) et Kang *et al.* (2004), ont observé une réduction de la densité du bois après une éclaircie commerciale. Bendtsen (1978), a trouvé que les effets de l'accélération de croissance sur les propriétés du bois étaient négligeables par rapport

Rapport-gratuit.com
LE NUMERO 1 MONDIAL DU MÉMOIRES

à la différence entre le bois mature et le bois juvénile. Zobel et Van Buijetenen (1989) quant à eux, ont conclu que la relation négative entre le taux de croissance et la densité du bois était commune pour certaines espèces d'*Abies*, mais que cette généralisation présentait de nombreuses exceptions. Pourtant, la plupart de ces études ont été réalisées pour évaluer l'impact de la densité initiale de peuplement sur la valeur des produits transformés (Koga et Zhang, 2002; Zhang *et al.*, 2002; Alteyrac *et al.*, 2005) et peu d'entre elles discutent des variations des propriétés mécaniques du bois après une éclaircie commerciale dans les peuplements naturels d'épinettes noires.

Finalement, les propriétés mécaniques du bois varient aussi en fonction de la position dans l'arbre des échantillons testés (Larson, *et al.*, 2004; Alteyrac *et al.*, 2005). Le besoin est grand de développer une stratégie d'optimisation d'utilisation des billots en fonction de leur emplacement dans la tige pour maximiser la valeur de la ressource (Shi *et al.*, 2007). Plusieurs auteurs mentionnent en effet un retard de la recherche sur l'effet des traitements sylvicoles sur la valeur des produits de deuxième transformation. Celle-ci trainant derrière la recherche sur la croissance et le rendement et créant une déconnexion au niveau de la chaine de valeur ajoutée, l'industrie forestière ne possédant pas les informations de base liant la croissance des arbres à la valeur des produits (Briggs et Fight, 1992; Kang *et al.*, 2004).

## 1.5. LE TERRITOIRE ÉTUDIÉ

L'étude a été réalisée dans la région 02 du Saguenay-Lac-Saint-Jean. Au total 10 sites éclaircis entre 1995 et 1997 ont été échantillonnés. Tous ces sites à l'exception d'un seul (MM96) ont pu être associés à un site témoin présentant des caractéristiques d'âges, d'essences et de structures similaires. Bien qu'inclus dans les chapitres 2 et 4, le site

MM96 a été exclu des chapitres 3, 5 et 6 pour simplifier les analyses statistiques. Pour les sites localisés dans les Monts Valins (MV) et dans Hebertville (HB), un témoin a été sélectionné et associé à plusieurs sites éclaircis. Au total donc 17 sites ont été échantillonnés, 17 étudiés aux chapitres 2 et 4 et 16 pour les chapitres suivants. Tous les peuplements étudiés sont des peuplements naturels purs d'épinette noire, non éduqués.

## 1.6. Hypothèses et Objectifs

L'éclaircie commerciale étant à l'origine d'une ouverture de la canopée et d'un gain d'espace pour les arbres résiduels, l'hypothèse de recherche sous-jacente à ce projet est la suivante : L'éclaircie commerciale induira un gain de croissance des tiges résiduelles associé au développement particulier de celles-ci. Chez les résineux, une augmentation de croissance peut se traduire par une diminution de la proportion du bois final par rapport à celle du bois initial (Koga et Zhang, 2002). La qualité du bois, en termes de résistance mécanique et de densité, des arbres résiduels peut donc diminuer. Le principal objectif de cette thèse est donc de déterminer l'impact des éclaircies commerciales sur la croissance d'épinettes noires et les conséquences sur la qualité du bois produit après traitement d'éclaircie. À ce titre, l'intensité de l'éclaircie ne sera pas un facteur étudié dans la réponse au traitement. L'hypothèse posée pour appuyer ce choix est qu'après avoir intégré le circuit de deuxième transformation, aucune distinction n'est faite entre les types ou les intensités d'éclaircies réalisées dans le peuplement. L'effet du traitement à l'échelle du peuplement et de l'individu sera étudié. Un objectif plus spécifique est de déterminer quels sont les facteurs qui influencent l'intensité de la réaction des arbres au traitement. Si nos hypothèses sont confirmées, la valeur du peuplement résiduel pourrait augmenter après l'éclaircie par rapport à un peuplement non

traité (lors de l'éclaircie commerciale, les tiges de moins bonne qualité sont prélevées, de plus la qualité des tiges résiduelles augmente après l'éclaircie). Le dernier objectif de la thèse est d'évaluer la rentabilité d'une éclaircie commerciale et les facteurs affectant cette rentabilité par rapport à une unique coupe finale.

Suite à cette introduction, les chapitres 2, 3, 4, 5 et 6 présentent les résultats de la thèse en répondant chacun à des objectifs spécifiques. Ces chapitres sont présentés en anglais sous forme d'articles. Les chapitres 2 et 3 traitent de l'impact sur la croissance des arbres et de l'ensemble du peuplement.

Le chapitre 2 considère de plus la réaction des racines au traitement et les paramètres influençant la croissance de chaque individu. Certaines études ont démontré une variation de l'accroissement radial inter- et intra-site suite au traitement (Zarnovican *et al.*, 2001 ; Skovsgaard, 2009). Nous posons l'hypothèse que, au sein d'un peuplement, les caractéristiques des tiges (diamètre, compétiteurs, hauteur) vont jouer un rôle dans l'accroissement relatif des arbres résiduels. L'objectif est alors de déterminer quels sont ces caractéristiques et de modéliser leur effet sur la variation de croissance observée après le traitement.

Le troisième chapitre se place dans un contexte d'aménagement sylvicole. Il présente les variations observées en termes de surface terrière et de volume de peuplement. Dans cette étude une première analyse de la structure du peuplement résiduel est réalisée. L'hypothèse testée est que l'accroissement radial observé peut se traduire par un gain en surface terrière et en volume. L'objectif est de quantifier ce gain de croissance.

Les chapitres 4 et 5 concernent la qualité du bois.

Au chapitre 4 l'évolution de la forme de la tige et son défilement sont étudiés. L'hypothèse testée dans ce chapitre est que l'accroissement radial est localisé sur la tige entrainant une variation de la forme de la tige. L'influence de certaines caractéristiques des tiges sur ces paramètres est étudiée.

Le chapitre 5 concerne les propriétés physiques et mécaniques des tiges traitées. Le module d'élasticité et la densité sont les deux paramètres étudiés. L'hypothèse sous-jacente est que l'augmentation du taux de croissance observée après le traitement, se traduisant par des cernes plus larges, va entrainer une diminution de la densité du bois (Zhang *et al.*, 1996). Cette diminution peut se refléter au niveau du module d'élasticité. L'analyse porte sur la variation avant et après le traitement et sur la variation entre tiges traitées et témoins.

Finalement, le chapitre 6 concerne les retombées économiques du traitement. L'éclaircie commerciale est souvent critiquée car les coûts de récolte associés au traitement dépassent les revenus liés au gain de croissance des arbres. Pour que l'éclaircie commerciale soit une opération profitable, il faut que le gain de valeur du peuplement résiduel soit supérieur au coût net de l'éclaircie. Par contre l'éclaircie permet d'améliorer la valeur finale du peuplement. Or la valeur sur pied des arbres varie en fonction de leur dhp et des fluctuations de prix. Nous avons posé l'hypothèse qu'en période de faible prix, seuls les arbres les plus gros avaient une valeur positive, les arbres les plus petits ayant une valeur négative. Dans ce cas, la présence de petits arbres réduit la valeur de la récolte totale. Si ces mêmes arbres sont prélevés par une éclaircie commerciale, la valeur de la récolte totale peut être accrue. Pour que ce soit financièrement intéressant, il faut que la récolte des petits bois par éclaircie se fasse pendant une période de prix élevés (pour

réduire les coûts de l'éclaircie) et que la récolte finale se fasse en période de prix faible. Il faut donc évaluer si cette combinaison de conditions se réalise et selon quelle probabilité.

## 1.7. RÉFÉRENCES

Alteyrac, J., Zhang, S.Y., Cloutier, A., Ruel, J.C., 2005. Influence of stand density on ring width and wood density at different sampling heights in black spruce (*Picea mariana* (Mill.) BSP). Wood Fiber Sci. 37, 83-94.

Barbour, R.J., Bailey, R.E., Cook, J.A., 1992. Evaluation of relative density, diameter growth, and stem form in a red spruce (*Picea rubens*) stand 15 years after precommercial thinning. Can. J. For. Res. 22, 229-238.

Barbour, R.J., Fayle, D.C.F., Chauret, G., Cook, J., Karsh, M.B., Ran, S.K., 1994. Breast-height relative density and radial growth in mature jack pine (*Pinus banksiana*) for 38 years after thinning. Can. J. For. Res. 24, 2439-2447.

Bella, I.E., De Franceschi, J.P., 1974. Commercial Thinning Improves Growth of Jack Pine. In: Information Report NOR-X-112. Canadian Forestry Service, Northern Forest research Center, Edmonton, Canada. 23 p.

Bendtsen, B.A., 1978. Properties of wood from improved and intensively managed trees. Forest Prod. J. 28, 61-72.

Bergeron, C., Ruel, J.C., Elie, J.G., Mitchell, S.J., 2009. Root anchorage and stem strength of black spruce (*Picea mariana*) trees in regular and irregular stands. Forestry 82, 29-41.

Bernier, P.Y., Lamhamedi, M.S., Simpson, D.G., 1995. Shoot:Root Ratio is of Limited Use in Evaluating the Quality of Container Conifer Stock. Tree Planters' Notes 46, 102-106.

Böhm, W., 1979. Methods of Studying Root Systems. Springer, Verlagz, Berlin. 188p.

Briggs, D.G., Fight, R.D., 1992. Assessing the effects of silvicultural practices on product quality and value of coast douglas-fir trees. Forest Prod. J. 42, 40-46.

Cameron, A.D., 2002. Importance of early thinning in the development of long-term stand stability and improved log quality : a review. Forestry 75, 25-35.

Carter, R.E., Miller, I.M., Klinka, K., 1986. Relationships Between Growth Form and Stand Density in Immature Douglas-fir. Forest. Chron., 440-445.

Castéra, P., Faye, C., El Ouadrani, A., 1996. Prevision of the bending strength of timber with a multivariate statistical approach. Ann. For. Sci. 53, 885-898.

Côté, M.A., Bouthillier, L., 1999. Analysis of the relationship among stakeholders affected by sustainable forest management and forest certification. Forest. Chron. 75, 961-965.

Coulombe, G., Huot, J., Arsenault, J., Bauce, E., Bernard, J.-T., Bouchard, B., Liboiron, M.-A., Szaraz, G., 2004. Commission d'étude sur la gestion de la forêt publique québécquoise, Québec, Canada. 314 p.

Cremer, C.K., Borough, C.J., McKinnell, F.H., Carter, P.R., 1982. Effects of stocking and thinning on wind damage in plantations. New. Zeal. J. For. Sci. 12, 244-268.

Curtis, R.O. and Marshall, D.D., 2002. Levels of growing stock cooperative study in Douglas-fir: report no. 14 - Stampede Creek: 30-year results. USDA For. Serv. Pap. PNW-RP-543. 77 p.

Debell, D.S., Singleton, R., Gartner, B.L., Marshall, D.D., 2004. Wood density of young-growth western hemlock: relation to ring age, radial growth, stand density, and site quality. Can. J. For. Res. 34, 2433-2442.

Doucet, R., Ruel, J.-M., Jutras, S., Lessard, G., Pineau, M., Prégent, G., Thiffault, N., 2009. "Sylviculture appliquée". In: OIFQ (Ed.), *Manuel de foresterie,* 2$^{e}$éd. Ouvrage collectif, Éditions Multimondes, Québec, pp. 1147-1186.

Echols, R.M., 1972. Products suitability of wood determined by density gradients across growth rings. In: Research Note PSW-273. USDA Forest Service, Berkeley, CA. 6 p.

Encyclopédie canadienne, 2009. "Forêt Boréale". Fondation Historica 2010. Novembre 2009. http://www.thecanadianencyclopedia.comForêt boréale.

Fayle, D.C.F., 1975. Distribution of Radial Growth During the Development of Red Pine Root Systems. Can. J. For. Res. 5, 608-625.

Gagnon, R., 1988. La dynamique naturelle des peuplements équiennes d'épinette noire. In : Colloque "Les mécanismes de régénération naturelle de l'épinette noire: applications pratiques en aménagement", Chicoutimi, Québec, Canada. pp. 1-11.

Gagnon, R., Morin, H., 2001. Les forêts d'épinettes noires du Québec: dynamique, perturbations et biodiversité. Le Naturaliste Canadien 125, 26-35.

Gouvernement du Québec. 2003. Manuel d'aménagement forestier. Ministère des Ressources naturelles, de la Faune et des Parcs, Québec. 245 p.

Jaakkola, T., Makinen, H., Saren, M.P., Saranpaa, P., 2005. Does thinning intensity affect the tracheid dimensions of Norway spruce? Can. J. For. Res. 35, 2685-2697.

Jozsa, L.A. and Middleton, G.R., 1997. Les caractéristiques déterminant la qualité du bois: nature et conséquences pratiques. In: Publication speciale SP-34F. Forintek Canada corp. (Ed), Sainte-Foy, Québec, Canada. 42 p.

Rapport-Gratuit.com

Kang, K.Y., Zhang, S.Y., Mansfield, S.D., 2004. The effects of initial spacing on wood density, fibre and pulp properties in jack pine (*Pinus banksiana* Lamb.). Holzforschung 58, 455-463.

Kellogg, R.M., Warren, W.G., 1984. Evaluating Western Hemlock Stem Characteristics in Terms of Lumber Value. Wood Fiber Sci. 16, 583-597.

Koga, S., Zhang, S.Y., 2002. Relationships between wood density and annual growth rate components in balsam fir (Abies balsamea). Wood Fiber Sci. 34, 146-157.

Koubaa, A., Zhang, S.Y.T., Makni, S., 2002. Defining the transition from early wood to late wood in black spruce based on intra-ring wood density profiles from X-ray densitometry. Ann. For. Sci. 59, 511-518.

Larson, D., Mirth, R., Wolfe, R., 2004. Evaluation of small-diameter ponderosa pine logs in bending. Forest Prod. J. 54, 52-58.

Lussier, J.M., 2007. Regard sur l'éclaircie commerciale en pessière noire : 40 ans plus tard. L'éclaircie 31, 2p.

Mäkinen, H., Hynynen, J., Isomäki, A., 2005. Intensive management of Scots pine stands in southern Finland: First empirical results and simulated further development. For. Ecol. Manag. 215, 37-50.

Mäkinen, H., Isomäki, A., 2004a. Thinning intensity and growth of Norway spruce stands in Finland. Forestry 77, 349-364.

Mäkinen, H., Isomäki, A., 2004 b. Thinning intensity and growth of Scots pine stands in Finland. For. Ecol. Manag. 201, 311-325.

Melillo, J.M., McGuire, A.D., Kicklighter, D.W., Moore, B., Vorosmarty, C.J., Schloss, A.L., 1993. Global Climate-Change and Terrestrial Net Primary Production. Nature 363, 234-240.

Mitchell, R.J., Zutter, B.R., Green, T.H., Perry, M.A., Gjerstad, D.H., Glover, G.R., 1993. Spatial and temporal variation in competitive effects on soil moisture and pine response. Ecol. Appl. 3, 167-174.

Mitchell, S.J., 2000. Stem growth responses in Douglas-fir and Sitka spruce following thinning: implications for assessing wind-firmness. Forest Ecol. Mang. 135, 105-114.

Nicoll, B., Dunn, A.J., 2000. The effect of wind speed and direction on radial growth of structural roots In: The supporting roots of trees and woody plants: form, function and physiology. Stokes, A. (Ed.). Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Netherlands, pp. 219-225.

O'Neil, M., 1998. Tendances mondoales et incidences sur les pratiques forestières au Canada. Forest. Chron. 6, 834-838.

Pape, R., 1999. Effects of Thinning Regime on the Wood Properties and Stem Quality of Picea abies. Scand. J. For. Res. 14, 38-50.

Petrás, R., 2002. Age and diameter classes or growth stages as criteria for the implementation of thinning. J. For. Sci. 48, 8-15.

Pnevmaticos, S.M., Corneau, Y., Kerr, R.C., 1979. Yield and productivity in processing treelength softwoods in Quebec. Can. Forest Ind. 99, 37-&.

Prégent, G., 1998. L'éclaircie des plantations In: Mémoire de recherche forestière no 133. Gouvernement du Québec, Ministère des Ressources Naturelles, Forêt Québec (Ed). Direction de la recherche forestière, Sainte-Foy, Québec, Canada. 38 p.

Rapport-gratuit.com
LE NUMERO 1 MONDIAL DU MÉMOIRES

Ruel, J.-C., Larouche, C., Achim, A., 2003. Changes in root morphology after precommercial thinning in balsam fir stands. Can. J. For. Res. 33, 2452-2459.

Savill, P.S., 1983. Silviculture in windy climates. For. Abstr. 44, 473-488.

Schneider, R., Zhang, S.Y., Swift, D.E., Begin, J., Lussier, J.M., 2008. Predicting selected wood properties of jack pine following commercial thinning. Can. J. For. Res. 38, 2030-2043.

Shepard, R.K., Shottafer, J.E., 1990. Effect of early release on specific gravity and wood yield of black spruce. Forest Prod. J. 40, 18-20.

Shi, J.L., Riedl, B., Deng, J., Cloutier, A., Zhang, S.Y., 2007. Impact of log position in the tree on mechanical and physical properties of black spruce medium-density fibreboard panels. Can. J. For. Res. 37, 866-873.

Skovsgaard, J.P., 2009. Analysing effects of thinning on stand volume growth in relation to site conditions: A case study for even-aged Sitka spruce (*Picea sitchensis* (Bong.) Carr.). Forestry 82, 87-104.

Stinson, S.D., 1999. 50 years of low thinning in second growth Douglas-fir. Forest. Chron. 75, 401-405.

Stokes, A., Fitter, A.H., Coutts, M.P., 1995. Responses of young trees to wind and shading: effects on root architecture. J. Exp. Bot. 46, 1139-1146.

Szymanski, M.B., Tauer, C.G., 1991. Loblolly pine provenance variation in age of transition from juvenile to mature wood specific gravity. In, Forest Sci., pp. 160-174.

Thiffault, N., Roy, V., Prégent, G., Cyr, G., Jobidon, R., Ménétrier, J., 2003. La sylviculture des plantations résineuses au Québec. Nat. Can. 127, 63-80.

Thorpe, H.C., Thomas, S.C., 2007. Partial harvesting in the Canadian boreal: Success will depend on stand dynamic responses. Forest. Chron. 83, 319-325.

Thorpe, H.C., Thomas, S.C., Caspersen, J.P., 2007. Residual-tree growth responses to partial stand harvest in the black spruce (*Picea mariana*) boreal forest. Can. J. For. Res. 37, 1563-1571.

Tong, Q.J., Fleming, R.L., Tanguay, F., Zhang, S.Y., 2009. Wood and lumber properties from unthinned and precommercially thinned black spruce plantations. Wood Fiber Sci. 41, 168-179.

Valinger, E., Elfving, B., Mörling, T., 2000. Twelve-year growth response of Scots pine to thinning and nitrogen fertilisation. Forest. Ecol. Manag. 134, 45-53.

Viens, É., 2001. Effets de l'éclaircie commerciale sur la croissance et la forme de la tige du pin gris (*Pinus banksiana* Lamb.) en Abitibi, Québec. In: Université du Québec à Chicoutimi, Mémoire de maîtrise, Chicoutimi, Québec, Canada. 63 p.

Viereck, L.A., Johnston, F.B., R. M., Honkala, B., 1990. *Picea mariana* (Mill.) B.S.P. Black spruce. In: Agriculture Handbook 654, Silvics of North America Vol. 1. USDA Forest Service, Washington DC, pp. 227-237.

Worthington, N.P., Staebler, G.R., 1961. Commercial thinning of Douglas-fir in the Pacific Northwest. In: Technical Bulletin No 1230. USDA Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Forest and range Experiment Station, Washington, US. 119p.

Zarnovican, R., Lussier, J.M., Laberge, C., 2001. Coupe préparatoire et croissance en surface terrière d'une sapinière de seconde venue à la forêt modèle du Bas-Saint-Laurent, Québec. Forest. Chron. 77, 685-695.

Zhang, S.Y., Chauret, G., Ren, H.Q.Q., Desjardins, R., 2002. Impact of initial spacing on plantation black spruce lumber grade yield, bending properties, and MSR yield. Wood Fiber Sci. 34, 460-475.

Zhang, S.Y., Chauret, G., Swift, E., Duchesne, I., 2006. Effects of precommercial thinning on tree growth and lumber quality in a jack pine stand in New Brunswick, Canada. Can. J. For. Res. 36, 945-952.

Zhang, S.Y., Corneau, Y., Chauret, G., 1998. Impact of precommercial thinning on product quality and value in balsam fir. In: Forintek Canada Corp. Project No 1108, Canadian Forest service No. 39, Forintek, Quebec, Canada. 74 p.

Zhang, S.Y., Koubaa, A., 2008. Softwoods of Eastern Canada: their silvics, characteristics, manufacturing and end-uses. Special publication SP-526E. FPInnovations-Forintek division, Québec, Canada.

Zhang, S.Y., Simpson, D., Morgenstern, E.K., 1996. Variation in the relationship of wood density with growth in 40 black spruce (Picea mariana) families grown in New Brunswick. Wood Fiber Sci. 28, 91-99.

Zobel, B., Van Buijtenen, J., 1989. Wood variation; its causes and control. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York.

# CHAPITRE 2

## RADIAL GROWTH RESPONSE OF BLACK SPRUCE ROOTS AND STEMS TO COMMERCIAL THINNING IN THE BOREAL FOREST

Manon VINCENT*, Cornelia KRAUSE, S.Y. ZHANG

*Département des sciences fondamentales, Université du Québec à Chicoutimi, 555 boul. de l'Université, Chicoutimi (Québec), CANADA, G7H 2B1.*

**(Article publié dans *Forestry* : Vincent, M., Krause, C., Zhang, S., 2009. Radial growth response of black spruce roots and stems to commercial thinning in Boreal forest. Forestry 82, 557-571)**

**Keywords:** Commercial thinning, black spruce, roots, stem, radial growth response, competition index.

# CHAPITRE 2

# RADIAL GROWTH RESPONSE OF BLACK SPRUCE ROOTS AND STEMS TO COMMERCIAL THINNING IN THE BOREAL FOREST

## 2.1. ABSTRACT

Black spruce is one of the most important boreal tree species in Canada. In the current ecosystem-based management context, commercial thinning (CT) could be a sound choice for attaining sustainable forest management while still achieving maximum returns on competitive timber markets. Through stand density regulation, CT aims to increase tree growth and enhance stand productivity, but the pattern and level of treatment responses are still unknown. This study examined the radial growth response of roots and stems to commercial thinning in 10 thinned stands and their controls. A split-plot unbalanced model was developed to describe growth variations over time. The study shows that CT leads to an increase in the radial growth of stems and roots for at least 10 years following the treatment. The 10-year post-treatment radial growth increment of stems is from 20 to 100 per cent higher than the pre-treatment 10-year mean growth. Response depends upon tree diameter and competition, with the biggest trees exhibiting the lowest response to the treatment. Nevertheless, these variables only explain a fraction of the response ($R^2 = 0.0511$), suggesting that much of the observed variation may be due to variability between the stands and between trees within a stand. Moreover, stem growth response is correlated with, but lags behind root growth response. This study suggests that CT results may be enhanced by the selection of retained trees based on initial diameter at breast height.

## 2.2. INTRODUCTION

The Boreal forest biome covers much of the landmass of the northern hemisphere and stores most of the global carbon stock (Melillo *et al.*, 1993; Dixon, *et al.*, 1994). Society's expectations of forest management in the boreal forest have evolved in recent years. Foresters now face challenges such as protecting biodiversity and environmental quality, integrating First-Nation traditional lifestyles, maintaining recreational activities and procuring renewable material. This called for strategies to optimize timber growth without removing the entire canopy (Côté and Bouthillier, 1999). Silvicultural practices such as thinning could be a sound choice for attaining sustainable development within global market constraints while still achieving maximum value (Zhang *et al.*, 2006).

Black spruce (*Picea mariana* (Mill.) BSP) is one of the most important boreal tree species in Canada (Parent and Fortin, 2008; Zhang and Koubaa, 2008). Its abundance and properties make it a valuable resource for the timber industry. The impacts of silvicultural treatments such as commercial or pre-commercial thinning (CT) have already been studied for different eastern species, including jack pine (*Pinus banksiana* Lamb., Bella and De Franceschi, 1974; Schneider *et al.* 2008), Norway spruce (*Picea abies* (L.) Karst.) and Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris* L., Mäkinen and Isomäki, 2004a, b), but the effects of CT still have to be studied in natural black spruce stands.

Theoretically, CT aims to regularise the size and growth of a forest to maintain its productivity throughout its life cycle (Prégent, 1998; Petrás, 2002). The main advantages of thinning are to increase saw timber availability, decrease rotation age and management costs, while enhancing stand value and quality (Cameron, 2002). However, results differ depending on authors and studied species. Bella and De Francheschi (1974) on jack pine

and Curtis and Marshall (2002) or Stinson (1999) on Douglas fir (*Pseudotsuga menziessii*) noticed an increase in relative stem volume after treatment. Instead, Mäkinen and Isomäki (2004a, b) observed no significant differences between stem volume increments in Norway spruce and Scots pine stands at different thinning intensities, including no thinning. Thinning influences not only tree growth, but also stem shape, tapering (Barbour *et al.*, 1992; Viens, 2001; Koga *et al.*, 2002) and wood properties (Petrás, 2002; Raulier *et al.*, 2003; Zhang *et al.*, 2006). Tasissa's team (Tasissa *et al.*, 1997; Tasissa and Burkhart, 1998), working reforested on a loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda* L.) cutover, observed a significant impact on stem characteristics after a second thinning. However, Viens (2001) studying jack pine, as well as Bouillet and Lefevre (1996) working on khasi pine (*Pinus kesiya* Royle ex Gordon), concluded that thinning did not induce worsening of shape along stems. The disparity observed between stands (Zarnovican *et al.*, 2001) and within stands (Skovsgaard, 2009) may therefore be worth considering.

The risk of uprooting by strong winds is particularly high during clearing of the surroundings (Cremer *et al.*, 1982) and may be responsible for significant economic losses in thinned stands. Because the stability of individual trees depends upon the adequate development of root systems (Fayle, 1975), some authors studied root development and its role on stand stability after opening up the canopy (Stokes *et al.*, 1995; Nicoll and Dunn, 2000; Ruel *et al.*, 2003; Bergeron *et al.*, 2009). Nicoll and Dunn (2000) demonstrated adaptive growth to wind loading favouring the allocation of growth to roots near the base of Sitka spruce trees (*Picea sitchensis* (Bong.) Carr.). Other studies focused on root adaptation to competition for water and nutrients (Mitchell *et al.*, 1993). But while the root system is supposed to be a very good indicator of tree growth and

wood quality (Böhm, 1979; Bernier *et al.*, 1995), its role in radial growth increment after commercial thinning has been less studied and little information is available on root growth response to thinning in black spruce stands.

In this study we investigate the 10-year pattern of stem and root increment in response to various thinning intensities in operationally thinned stands. Our first hypothesis was that trees showing an increase in radial diameter growth after treatment will also show increased root growth preceding the stem growth response as an adaptation to environmental changes after thinning. A second hypothesis contends that thinning response will vary depending on tree characteristics and their competitors.

## 2.3. METHODS

### *2.3.1. Study Area*

This study was based on 10 commercially thinned stands and their control stands in the boreal forest of Quebec, Canada. Latitudes ranged from 47.9 °N to 49 °N, longitudes from 70.5 °W to 72.7 °W and altitudes from 210 to 671 m (Table 2.1). The region is characterized by cold winter temperatures and short vegetation periods. Over the past 30 years, the average minimum temperature for this region was -18.4 °C during the coldest month, and the average maximum was 17.9 °C during the warmest month. Average annual precipitations vary from 920 cm to 1187 cm in the studied stands (Environment Canada, 2000). Essentially pure, unmanaged natural black spruce stands were selected. The mean age of the stands at the time of treatment varied from 47.8 years to 99.1 years. Other than CT, no silvicultural treatment has been applied. Basal area density, evaluated by number and basal diameter of all trees within a 20 x 20 m quadrat, was between 800 and 3900 trees per hectare (Table 2.1). The herbaceous and moss layers

Rapport-Gratuit.com

are mainly composed of *Pleurozium schreberi* (Brid.) Mitt., *polytrichum sp.*, *Ptilium crista-castrensis* (Hedw.) De Not., *Ledum groenlandicum* Oeder, *Vaccinium angustifolium* Ait. and *Kalmia angustifolia* L.. As thinning is still a recent silvicultural treatment applied to boreal forests, no standard methods have yet been developed and no information is available about thinning types and techniques. Stand selection was thus based on two main criteria: (i) thinning was done 10-12 years before sampling in unmanaged natural stands; (ii) all stands had to have similar site characteristics and stand densities before thinning. Whenever possible, a nearby unthinned natural black spruce stand with similar characteristics was selected as a control. In two instances, the same control stand was used for comparison with more than one nearby thinned stand where all stands had the same environmental characteristics (Table 2.1).

Due to the large number of selected stands, the following nomenclature was adopted: the initial letters refer to stand location, followed by numbers representing the thinning year or the letter C for control stands. Stands were also numbered from 1 to 16 to simplify figure headings (Table 2.1).

*2.3.2. Data*

In order to specify the number of samples required, an *a priori* power analysis was conducted at each site to allow for estimating the variance of the population. It was determined that at least 35 trees per stand were required to cover stand variation and increase the statistical power of the analysis. Consequently, quadrats comprising at least 35 black spruces (Dbh > 9 cm) were selected. At one site, LJC, only 25 trees were selected because of environmental constraints (Table 2.1). The stand characteristics of each quadrat, such as vegetation composition, topography and depth to mineral soil or woody debris, were determined. Each tree in the quadrat was measured for height,

diameter at breast height (d.b.h.), at stump (diameter at stump height), and stem height at the lowest living branch (Table 2.1). Tree positions within the quadrat were recorded using a measuring tape and plotted onto a map. Stump circumferences and their positions within the quadrat were also determined.

An increment core was taken from each tree at 25 cm above the ground along the north-south axis and two other cores along one randomly chosen main horizontal root, at about 25 cm (R25) and 70 cm (R70) from the stump. Root increment cores were taken vertically from the upper side of the roots.

*2.3.3. Dendrochronology*

A dendrochronogical analysis was done on the stem and root increment samples of each tree. Preparation, measurements and sample analyses were conducted following standard dendroecology techniques (Stokes and Smiley, 1968; Krause and Morin, 1995). The cores were sanded using up to 400-grit paper, ring width was measured to 0.01 mm using a manual Henson micrometer, and semi-automatic Windendro™ width measuring machine (Guay *et al.*, 1992). Tree-ring series were then cross-dated on a light table and verified statistically (COFECHA, Holmes, 1983). Ring series for each root were calculated and cross-dated with the corresponding stem curve and between different root cores. Root cross-dating was also verified using COFECHA, but visual dating was more often used than statistical dating, due to the short series length of the root samples. As a consequence of the core state, ~ 5 per cent of the cores per site were rejected for interdatation. Final index chronologies were developed for individual trees based on raw data, using ARSTAN (Cook and Holmes, 1986).

*2.3.4. Radial Growth*

Rapport-gratuit.com
LE NUMERO 1 MONDIAL DU MÉMOIRES

Radial growth in the thinned and control stands was evaluated based on average 10-year index values before treatment. Mean growth increments since the year of thinning were then calculated with the average of all years after treatment equation (1). An annual growth increment was also calculated for each year after treatment equation (2).

$$\gamma = \frac{\sum_{t=TY}^{t=i} \alpha_t \Big/ (i - TY)}{\sum_{t=TY-10}^{t=TY} \alpha_t \Big/ 10} \times 100 \qquad (1)$$

$$\gamma_t = \frac{\alpha_t}{\sum_{t=TY-10}^{t=TY} \alpha_t \Big/ 10} \times 100 \qquad (2)$$

where $\gamma$ is the radial growth increment (per cent), *TY* is the thinning year, *i* is the sampling year (*i* = 2006 or 2007) and *t* = time (year); α is the growth index (dimensionless).

Five radial growth increment classes were determined: class 1 ($\gamma \leq 80$ (per cent)), class 2 ($80 < \gamma \leq 120$), class 3 ($120 < \gamma \leq 150$), class 4 ($150 < \gamma \leq 200$), and class 5 ($\gamma >$ 200). This classification was chosen arbitrarily since no classification standard was available in the literature and is used for stem and root growth increment in both thinned and control stands.

To determine the relative growth between stem and roots, the ratio of root growth to stem growth was calculated according to the following equations (3 and 4):

$$\gamma_{R25/S} = \gamma_{R25} / \gamma_S \,, \qquad (3)$$

$$\gamma_{R70/S} = \gamma_{R70} / \gamma_S \,. \qquad (4)$$

*2.3.5. Competition Index*

Based on the work of Mailly *et al.* (2003), the competition index (CI) for each tree within a given quadrat was calculated using Hegyi's diameter-distance CI in equation (5):

$$\mathrm{CI} = \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\frac{D_j}{D_i} \times \frac{1}{DIST_{ij}}\right), \qquad (5)$$

where $D_i$ is the d.b.h. of subject tree $i$; $D_j$ is d.b.h. of the competitor tree $j$; $DIST_{ij}$ is the distance between subject tree $i$ and competitor $j$. Studied trees belongs to $R$, the search radius (= 3.5 x mean crown radius of canopy trees, 4 m in our study).

Two different Hegyi's CI types were calculated: (1) the stump competition index ($CI_S$) and (2) competition index at thinning year ($CI_{TY}$) of the remaining trees (alive trees). $CI_S$ characterizes the influence of tree harvesting on the growth of remaining trees, whereas $CI_{TY}$ illustrates the competition among remaining trees after thinning. $CI_{TY}$ was calculated using data collected during field work and then corrected after dendrochronology analysis to fit with the thinning year.

*2.3.6. Statistical Analyses*

Data were compiled using an analysis of variance (ANOVA) multifactor model with a Restricted Maximum Likelihood procedure (mixed model). A 10-block, unbalanced, split-plot design was used for radial growth increment and root growth increment with time as the main plot level, and with treatment (thinning/control) at the subplot level. ANOVAs were performed using JMP software (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC). Post-hoc one factor ANOVAs on data were used to extract the evolution of stem and root growth along the time-release treatment.

To determine the influence of independent variables on radial growth increment, simple regression tests were conducted between radial growth increment ($\gamma$) and $CI_S$,

$CI_{TY}$, d.b.h., tree height, and crown length. A multiple regression model was used to determine which variable has the most influence on $\gamma$ after thinning. In all cases, the confidence level was 95 per cent.

## 2.4. RESULTS

As shown in Table 2.1, the treated stands had a mean age of 62 years at thinning. For control stands, the mean age was 55 years. Based on the initial basal area, thinning intensity in the different stands varied from 17 to 62 per cent (Table 2.1) and can be classified as light to heavy thinning.

### *2.4.1. Stem Growth Response*

Pre- and post-thinning comparison of the radial growth index of chronology values based on all stands together showed a significant increase in stem radial growth due to treatment ($p = 0.0199$ for interaction treatment × time, Figure 2.1A, Table 2.2B). The radial growth index is similar in the control and thinned stands before treatment, but after the thinning, the radial growth index values increase immediately in the thinned stands (Figure 2.1A).

By comparing the thinned stands with the control stands, most of the treated stands show significantly higher radial growth index values ($p = 0.0081$, Table 2.2A). The radial index values between the thinned and control stands vary from 20.4 to 170.5 per cent. However, two stands (HEB95, LB95) show post-thinning radial growth increments which are lower than their corresponding control stands (Figure 2.2A).

Response at the tree scale is heterogeneous within each stand (Figure 2.3A). For the thinned stands, the percentage of trees with positive growth response (classes 3, 4 and 5 together) varies from 67.6 to 97.4 per cent, with a mean of 81.6 per cent. On the

contrary, these three classes represent less than 50 per cent of trees in the control stands. Two types of thinned stands can be defined (Figure 2.3) based on tree response. In six of these stands (1, 2, 8, 4, 6 and 11), ~ 60 per cent of the trees belonging to classes 3-5 showed growth responses, in the remaining three stands, ~ 90 per cent of trees had growth responses above 120 per cent. Stand LBC seems to be an exception for the control stands, with 41 per cent of trees showing class 5 growth increments after 1995.

Variability in tree growth response, apart from their own characteristics, could be explained by the influence of the number and characteristics of tree competitors on thinning efficiency. When all independent variables are computed separately, only $CI_S$ and d.b.h. have a significant effect on post-treatment stem growth increment. A negative correlation between d.b.h. and $\gamma$ was found, and a positive one between $CI_S$ and $\gamma$ (Table 2.3). Significant correlation was found between tree heights and crown lengths, but none between $CI_{TY}$ and $\gamma$. Yet, fitting $CI_S$, d.b.h. and $CI_{TY}$ using the stepwise forward procedure and the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) as a selection criterion (Akaike, 1974; Mac Nally, 2000) resulted in a significant model ($p = 0.0042$, Table 2.4) explaining ~ 5 per cent of the $\gamma$ variance after thinning. d.b.h. was the most significant predictor (std $\beta = -0.2223$), indicating that trees with larger diameters have lower relative radial growth (Table 2.4).

*2.4.2. Root Response*

CT also has a positive effect on root growth (Figure 2.1B), with a significant increase over time due to treatment ($p < 0.0001$ for interaction treatment×time for both R25 and R70, Table 2.2B).

Comparing the thinned and control stands shows that thinning has a positive effect on root growth (Table 2.2A, $p = 0.0005$ and $p = 0.0059$ for Roots R25 and R70 respectively). Roots R25 show a 51.1 per cent mean increase in radial growth index values after treatment, varying from 4.4 to 183 per cent, depending on site (Figure 2.2B). The same trend can be observed for roots R70 (Figure 2.2B), with growth increments ranging from -11.3 to 59.3 per cent, and a mean of 37.7% for the thinned stands. In the control stands, radial growth index values for R25 and R70 after the thinning year vary from -4.5 to 26.1 per cent and from -16.3 to 33.4 per cent, respectively.

*2.4.3. Comparison between Stem and Root Radial Growth*

A significant relationship between stem and root growth after treatment was found, where increased root growth is associated with increased stem growth (Likelihood ratio and Pearson test both $< 0.0001$ for roots R25 and R70, data not shown). A Student's *t*-test shows that tree root growth classes are significantly linked with stem response (Figure 2.4, $p < 0.0001$).

Stem and root responses occur at different times after thinning. The effect of treatment appears first and stronger in the roots (Figure 2.1C). Four years after thinning a stabilization of root growth index is observed, whereas stem growth index values continue to increase (Figure 2.1C). A 1-year delay after treatment can be observed before stem growth starts to increase compared with roots (Figure 2.1A and B). Indeed, after 1 year, $\gamma_1$ is ~ 135.6 per cent for roots, which is an increase of 27 per cent compared with $\gamma_{TY}$. For stems, $\gamma_1$ is equal to 113.79 per cent, a decrease of 0.3 per cent compared with $\gamma_{TY}$. A similar 27 per cent growth increase is observed for roots 2 years after thinning ($\gamma_2$ =163 per cent), whereas stem growth increases by 18 per cent (Figure 2.1C).

Nevertheless, statistical analysis does not show any significant difference in root and stem growth between the control and thinned stands.

## 2.5. Discussion

### *2.5.1. Growth Response*

It is well known that increased light penetration to the forest floor of thinned stands can increase the temperature of the surface soil layers and thus accelerate nitrogen mineralization (Thibodeau *et al.*, 2000). This effect can contribute to increased short-term tree growth and could become an important benefit, particularly in cold climates such as those in the boreal forest zone (Pothier, 2002). The increase in stem radial growth, in our 10 stands, varied from 20 to 99 per cent, 10 years after thinning. This range of growth increment after thinning is similar to the one observed for other tree species in North America. Radial growth after thinning increases by 30 to 70 per cent in jack pine (*Pinus banksiana* Lamb.) 15 years after treatment (Bella and De Franceschi, 1974), and by 41 per cent in balsam fir (*Abies balsamea* (L.) Mill.) 3 years after thinning (Pape 1999). Aussenac and Granier (1988) observed that radial growth increases by 100 per cent 5 years after treatment in a 19-year-old Douglas fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii* var. *menziesii* Engelm.) plantation. When thinning is coupled with a fertility treatment this increase can reach 115 per cent in Scots pine stands (*Pinus sylvestris* L.) 25 years after thinning (Mäkinen and Isomäki, 2004b).

Interestingly, despite a generally positive response to thinning, the radial growth increments in two stands (LB95 and HEB95) are lower than those of the corresponding control stands (Figure 2.2). Considering the equation (2) used, the negative difference observed between thinned and control stands may be due to the slower growth

of control trees before treatment. This low growth for both thinned and control stands before thinning may have been due to the spruce budworm outbreak, which occurred in the region in the late 1970s (Morin *et al.*, 2000). Several studies have examined the interaction between spruce budworm outbreaks and CT (Bergeron *et al.*, 1995; Bauce, 1996; Pothier, 1998) or pre-CT (Thibault *et al.*, 1995; Tremblay, 2006). However, none of them deal with thinning conducted on a stand defoliated by spruce budworm a few years earlier. The percentage of dead trees resulting from a spruce budworm outbreak could have an impact on stand density and on tree response to treatment. According to Pothier (1998), mortality rate after an outbreak could be comparable to self-thinning. Moreover, if only vigorous trees were kept alive in a stand after treatment, the thinning effect could be attenuated. Figure 2.2 shows that LB95 roots strongly reacted to thinning, as opposed to LBC roots, which may imply that root growth was not reflected by stem growth. The age of LB95 trees at thinning may also be an explanation for this low stem growth reaction following thinning (Table 2.1).

### *2.5.2. Variables Influencing Response to Thinning*

Large variations in growth response to treatment were noted between stands. Given the experimental design, it is assumed that this variation is representative of thinning in natural boreal forest black spruce stands. Moreover, variations within stands have also been noticed, which contribute to the success of the treatment (the percentage of trees reacting positively to the treatment within a stand). Among five variables studied, only three (d.b.h., $CI_S$ and $CI_{TY}$) are significantly correlated with stem growth increment (Table 2.3 and Table 2.4). These results confirm the findings of Larson (1969, in Pape 1999), that intermediate and suppressed trees should respond better to thinning, in terms of relative growth, than dominant trees which already have large crowns. Similarly,

Hamilton (1969) on Sitka spruce and Medhurst *et al.* (2001) on *Eucalyptus nitens* also reported that dominant trees with large crowns showed minimal response to thinning, which merely helps them to maintain their rapid growth rate. Observations by Pape (1999) on Norway spruce could explain this phenomenon, as he noted that light thinning from below did not have any direct effect on radial growth increment. Indeed, only heavy thinning that removed a total of 40 per cent of the basal area considerably enhanced diameter growth. A large number of competitor characteristics also influence tree growth (diameter, distance, height, crowding, shading, species, etc.) (Canham *et al.*, 2004). These are not all considered in this study and may also explain the poor influence of competitors on growth response (Table 2.4).

The influence of tree characteristics on thinning response should play a part in the selection of thinning type. Specifically, the production of lumber with high nominal dimensions largely depends on the d.b.h. of harvested trees (Duchesne and Swift, 2009). Since small-diameter trees seem to respond better to thinning, not only harvesting damaged trees and trees with undesirable attributes for paper, but also bigger trees, may enhance the financial return of the first harvest while maintaining the positive effects of thinning on tree growth rate.

### *2.5.3. Roots and Stem Growth*

Comparison between root and stem growth increments in the first years following thinning suggests that root growth increases more and sooner than stem growth. For thinned stands, stem growth increase becomes significant 4 years after treatment, whereas root growth increase starts to be significant as early as 3 years after thinning (Figure 2.1A and B). Similar results have been found by Urban *et al.* (1994), for white spruce (*Picea glauca* (Moench) Voss) after the removal of neighbouring trees, and Ruel *et al.* (2003) in

Rapport-Gratuit.com

balsam fir following pre-CT. Kneeshaw *et al.* (2002), reported that this latency period observed after partial cutting would result in stem growth decrease just after thinning, to the benefit of root growth in lodgepole pine (*Pinus contorta* Dougl. ex Loud.) and Douglas fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii* (Mirb.) Franco) seedlings. Different mechanisms can explain this delay in stem growth response to thinning.

Root growth increment can be beneficial in terms of tree stability (Urban *et al.*, 1994; Ruel *et al.*, 2003), as thinning increases wind penetration into the stand, which in turn induces tree swaying. Nicoll and Dunn (2000) demonstrated that, in these conditions, adaptive growth favoured the allocation of roots near the base of Sitka spruce trees.

On the other hand, canopy release will influence photosynthetic and respiration rates. With wider spacing, the higher wind penetration into the stand and greater light intensity increase the transpiration rate (Whitehead *et al.*, 1983; Pothier and Margolis, 1990; Pothier and Margolis, 1991) either by increasing boundary layer conductance, or increasing the amount of sunlit leaf area. Root systems contribute largely to flow resistance (Running, 1980; Sperry *et al.*, 1998). To counterbalance this higher transpiration rate, the uptake of water and its transport from roots to leaves must increase, which is supported by the increase in radial root growth all along the main root (R25 and particularly R70, Figure 2.1B). Roots close to the stem ensure the stability of the tree, whereas the more distant roots secure the transport of water and nutrients and store assimilates (Krause and Eckstein, 1993). The smaller number of trees left after thinning increases the availability of soil moisture through a reduction in transpiration on a per-hectare basis, due to decreased root competition and rainfall interception (Fayle, 1983; Brix and Mitchell, 1986). Moreover, immediately after opening up of the canopy, growth

conditions become less light limited, which could lead to an increase in the carbon balance (Kneeshaw *et al.*, 2002). Indeed, radial increments along the root system are an indicator of resource allocation (Krause and Morin, 1995). Nevertheless, taking cores in just one position at the base of the stem may not only reflect changes in allocation of radial growth increment along the stem but also increases in ring thickness resulting from more wood production than naturally occurs at the base of the stem (Larson, 1960; Jozsa and Middleton, 1997).

## 2.6. Conclusions

This study put the usually positive effects of CT on tree growth into perspective. The numerous thinned and control stands used in the research yielded a more realistic perception of the impact of CT on boreal forest species. CT leads to increased radial growth of roots and stems for at least 10 years after treatment, but response varies within stands and from stand to stand. Based on our results, trees with the smallest diameters respond better (in terms of relative growth) to thinning. This could explain some negative results observed after thinning from below. It would therefore be interesting to study stand response after thinning from above and compare this with thinning from below, which is more commonly used in commercial conifer stands (Pape, 1999). Furthermore, the impacts on wood quality of radial growth increase after CT need to be studied more closely to enhance our knowledge.

## 2.7. Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Mireille Boulianne for lab assistance; Jean François Boucher, Mathieu Cusson, Boris Dufour, Pierre-Yves Plourde, Pascal Tremblay and Denis Walsh for statistical advice and helpful discussions; and Maxime Blackburn,

Rapport-gratuit.com
LE NUMERO 1 MONDIAL DU MÉMOIRES

Mireille Boulianne, Charles-Augustin Déry-Bouchard, Audrey Lemay, Boris Luszcynski, Émilie Pamerleau, Pierre-Yves Plourde, Germain Savard and Marc-André Valiquette for field assistance. The authors also thank both reviewers and the editor for their part in reviewing this work and offering constructive advice. Funding was provided by FQRNT (2007-FO-118063) through a research grant. The Consortium de Recherche sur la Forêt Boréale Commerciale provided the additional support.

## 2.8. References

Akaike, H., 1974. A new look at the statistical model identification. IEEE T. Automat. Contr. 19, 716-723.

Aussenac, G. and Granier, A., 1988. Effects of thinning on water stress and growth in Douglas-fir. Can. J. For. Res. 18, 100-105.

Barbour, R.J., Bailey, R.E., Cook, J.A., 1992. Evaluation of relative density, diameter growth, and stem form in a red spruce (*Picea rubens*) stand 15 years after precommercial thinning. Can. J. For. Res. 22, 229-238.

Bauce, É., 1996. One and two years impact of commercial thinning on spruce budworm feeding ecology and host tree foliage production and chemistry. Forest. Chron. 72, 393-398.

Bella, I.E. and De Franceschi, J.P., 1974. Commercial Thinning Improves Growth of Jack Pine. In: Information Report NOR-X-112. Canadian Forestry Service, Departement of the environment, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada. 23 p.

Bergeron, C., Ruel, J.C., Elie, J.G., Mitchell, S.J., 2009. Root anchorage and stem strength of black spruce (*Picea mariana*) trees in regular and irregular stands. Forestry 82, 29-41.

Bergeron, Y., Leduc, A., Morin, H., Joyal, C., 1995. Balsam fir mortality following the last spruce budworm outbreak in northwestern Quebec. Can. J. For. Res. 25, 1375-1384.

Bernier, P.Y., Lamhamedi, M.S., Simpson, D.G., 1995. Shoot:Root Ratio is of Limited Use in Evaluating the Quality of Container Conifer Stock. Tree Planters' Notes 46, 102-106.

Böhm, W., 1979. Methods of Studying Root Systems. Springer, Verlagz, Berlin. 188p.

Bouillet, J.P., Lefevre, M., 1996. Influence des éclaircies sur la forme du tronc de *Pinus kesiya*. Bois For. Trop. 248, 17-30.

Brix, H., Mitchell, A.K., 1986. Thinning and nitrogen fertilization effects on soil and tree water stress in a Douglas-fir stand. Can. J. For. Res. 16, 1334-1338.

Cameron, A.D., 2002. Importance of early thinning in the development of long-term stand stability and improved log quality: a review. Forestry 75, 25-35.

Canham, C.D., Lepage, P.T., Coates, K.D., 2004. A neighborhood analysis of canopy tree competition: effect of shading versus crowding. Can. J. For. Res. 34, 778-787.

Cook, E.R. and Holmes, R.L., 1986. Users manual for program ARSTAN. In: Tree-ring chronologies of western North America: California, eastern Oregon and northern Great Basin. Chronology Series VI. Holmes RL, Adams RK, Fritts HC (Eds). Laboratory of Tree-Ring Research, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ, pp. 50 — 60.

Côté, M.A., Bouthillier, L., 1999. Analysis of the relationship among stakeholders affected by sustainable forest management and forest certification. Forest. Chron. 75, 961-965.

Cremer, C.K., Borough, C.J., McKinnell, F.H., Carter, P.R., 1982. Effects of stocking and thinning on wind damage in plantations. New. Zeal. J. For. Sci. 12, 244-268.

Curtis, R.O. and Marshall, D.D., 2002. Levels of growing stock cooperative study in Douglas-fir: report no. 14 - Stampede Creek: 30-year results. USDA For. Serv. Pap. PNW-RP-543. 77p.

Dixon, R.K., Brown, S., Houghton, R.A., Solomon, A.M., Trexler, M.C., Wisniewski, J., 1994. Carbon Pools and Flux of Global Forest Ecosystems. Science 263, 185-190.

Duchesne, I. and Swift, D.E., 2009. L'effet de l'éclaircie commerciale sur la qualité du bois de pin gris. In: Les Colloques du SCF-CFL le 22 janvier 2009 partenariat Québec. Service Canadien des Forêts — Centre de Foresterie des Laurentide, Q. (Ed.), Québec, Canada.

Environment Canada, 2000. Normales et moyennes climatiques 1971-2000. Québec. Service météorologique, Ottawa, Canada.

Fayle, D.C.F., 1975. Distribution of radial growth during the development of red pine root systems. Can. J. For. Res. 5, 608-625.

Fayle, D.C.F., 1983. Differences between stem and root thickening at their junction in red pine. Plant Soil Environ. 71, 161-166.

Gay, R., Gagnon, R., Morin, H., 1992. A new automatic and interactive tree ring measurement system based on a line scan camera. Forest. Chron. 69, 138-141.

Hamilton, G.J., 1969. The dependence of volume increment of individual trees on dominance, crown dimensions, and competition. Forestry 42, 133-144.

Holmes, R.L., 1983. Computer assisted quality control in tree-ring dating and measurement. Tree-Ring Bulletin 43, 69-78.

Jozsa, L.A. and Middleton, G.R., 1997. Les caractéristiques déterminant la qualité du bois: nature et conséquences pratiques. In : Publication speciale SP-34F. Forintek Canada corp. (Ed), Sainte-Foy, Québec, Canada. 42 p.

Kneeshaw, D.D., Williams, H., Nikinmaa, E., Messier, C., 2002. Patterns of above- and below-ground response of understory conifer release 6 years after partial cutting. Can. J. For. Res. 32, 255-265.

Koga, S., Zhang, S.Y., Bégin, J., 2002. Effects of precommercial thinning on annual radial growth and wood density in balsam fir (*Abies balsamea*). Wood Fiber Sci. 34, 625-642.

Krause, C., Eckstein, D., 1993. Dendrochronology of roots. Dendrochronologia 11, 9-23.

Krause, C., Morin, H., 1995. Changes in radial increment in stems and roots of balsam fir [*Abies balsamea* (L.) Mill.] after defoliation by spruce budworm. Forest. Chron. 71, 747-754.

Larson, P.R., 1960. A physiological consideration of the spring-wood summer wood transition in red pine. For. Sci. 6, 110-122.

Mac Nally, R., 2000. Regression and model-building in conservation biology, biogeography and ecology: The distinction between – and reconciliation of – 'predictive' and 'explanatory' models. Biodivers. Conserv. 9, 655-671.

Mailly, D., Turbis, S., Pothier, D., 2003. Predicting basal area increment in a spatially explicit, individual tree model: a test of competition measures with black spruce. Can. J. For. Res. 33, 435-443.

Mäkinen, H., Isomäki, A., 2004a. Thinning intensity and growth of Norway spruce stands in Finland. Forestry 77, 349-364.

Mäkinen, H., Isomäki, A., 2004 b. Thinning intensity and growth of Scots pine stands in Finland. For. Ecol. Manag. 201, 311-325.

Medhurst, J.L., Beadle, C.L., Neilsen, W.A., 2001. Early-age and later-age thinning affects growth, dominance, and intraspecific competition in *Eucalyptus nitens* plantations. Can. J. Forest. Res. 31, 187-197.

Melillo, J.M., McGuire, A.D., Kicklighter, D.W., Moore, B., Vorosmarty, C.J., Schloss, A.L., 1993. Global Climate-Change and Terrestrial Net Primary Production. Nature 363, 234-240.

Mitchell, R.J., Zutter, B.R., Green, T.H., Perry, M.A., Gjerstad, D.H., Glover, G.R., 1993. Spatial and temporal variation in competitive effects on soil moisture and pine response. Ecol. Appl. 3, 167-174.

Morin, H., Krause, C., Jardon, Y., Parent, S., Deslauriers, A., Gionest, F., Simard, I., Levasseur, V., Desjardins, O., 2000. Dynamique spatio-temporelle des épidémies de la tordeuse des bourgeons de l'épinette (tbe) dans la zone boréale de l'est de l'Amérique du Nord (Ont., Qué., T.N. et N.B.). In : Réseau sur la gestion durable des forêts, Project report 2000-38, Final project report, Québec, Canada. 30 p.

Nicoll, B. and Dunn, A.J., 2000. The effect of wind speed and direction on radial growth of structural roots In: The supporting roots of trees and woody plants: form, function and physiology. Stokes, A. (Ed.). Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Netherlands, pp. 219-225.

Pape, R., 1999. Influence of thinning and tree diameter class on the development of basic density and annual ring width in *Picea abies.* Scand. J. For. Res. 14, 27-37.

Parent, B., Fortin, C., 2008. Ressources et Industries Forestières — Portrait Statistique Édition 2008. Ministère des Ressources Naturelles et de la Faune, direction du développement de l'industrie des produits forestiers. Québec G. d. (Ed). Québec, Canada. 513 p.

Petrás, R., 2002. Age and diameter classes or growth stages as criteria for the implementation of thinning. J. For. Sci. 48, 8-15.

Pothier, D., 2002. Twenty-year results of precommercial thinning in a balsam fir stand. Forest Ecol. Manag. 168, 177-186.

Pothier, D., 1998. Développement de sapinières éclaircies exposées à une épidémie de tordeuse de bourgeons de l'épinette. Forest. Chron. 74, 91-99.

Pothier, D. and Margolis, A., 1991. Analysis of growth and light interception of balsam fir and white birch saplings following precommercial thinning. Ann. Sci. Forest. 48, 123-132.

Pothier, D. and Margolis, H.A., 1990. Changes in the water relations of balsam fir and white birch saplings after thinning. Tree Physiol. 6, 371-380.

Prégent, G., 1998. L'éclaircie des plantations In: Mémoire de recherche forestière no 133. Gouvernement du Québec, Ministère des Ressources Naturelles, Forêt Québec (Ed). Direction de la recherche forestière, Sainte-Foy, Québec, Canada. 38 p.

Raulier, F., Pothier, D., Bernier, P.Y., 2003. Predicting the effect of thinning on growth of dense balsam fir stands using a process-based tree growth model. Can. J. For. Res. 33, 509-520.

Ruel, J.-C., Larouche, C., Achim, A., 2003. Changes in root morphology after precommercial thinning in balsam fir stands. Can. J. For. Res. 33, 2452-2459.

Running, S.W., 1980. Field estimates of root and xylem resistance *Pinus contorta* L. using excision. J. Exp. Bot. 31, 555-569.

Schneider, R., Zhang, S.Y., Swift, D.E., Begin, J., Lussier, J.M., 2008. Predicting selected wood properties of jack pine following commercial thinning. Can. J. For. Res. 38, 2030-2043.

Skovsgaard, J.P., 2009. Analysing effects of thinning on stand volume growth in relation to site conditions: A case study for even-aged Sitka spruce (*Picea sitchensis* (Bong.) Carr.). Forestry 82, 87-104.

Sperry, J.S., Adler, F.R., Campbell, G.S., Comstock, J.P., 1998. Limitation of plant water use by rhizosphere and xylem conductance: result from a model. Plant Cell Environ. 21, 347-359.

Stinson, S.D., 1999. 50 years of low thinning in second growth Douglas-fir. Forest. Chron. 75, 401-405.

Stokes, A., Fitter, A.H., Coutts, M.P., 1995. Responses of young trees to wind and shading: effects on root architecture. J. Exp. Bot. 46, 1139-1146.

Stokes, M.A., Smiley, T.L., 1968. An introduction to tree-ring dating. University of Chicago Press, Chicago. 73p.

Tasissa, G., Burkhart, H.E., 1998. An application of mixed effects analysis to modeling thinning effects on stem profile of loblolly pine. For. Ecol. Manag. 103, 87-101.

Tasissa, G., Burkhart, H.E., Amateis, R.L., 1997. Volume and taper equations for thinned and unthinned loblolly pine trees in cutover, site-prepared plantations. Southern-Journal-of-Applied-Forestry 21, 146-152.

Thibault, D., Bégin, J., Bélanger, L., 1995. Relations entre des indicateurs de croissance du sapin baumier en début d'épidémie et sa vulnérabilité à la tordeuse des bourgeons de l'épinette. Can. J. For. Res. 25, 1292-1302.

Thibodeau, L., Raymond, P., Camiré, C., Munson, A.D., 2000. Impact of precommercial thinning in balsam fir stands on soil nitrogen dynamics, microbial biomass, decomposition, and foliar nutrition. Can. J. Forest Res. 30, 229-238.

Rapport-Gratuit.com

Tremblay, M., 2006. Effets d'éclaircies précommerciales et de la dernière épidemie de la tordeuse des bourgeons de l'épinette (*Choristoneura fumiferana* (Slem.)) sur la croissance d'épinettes et de sapins dans la région du Saguenay-Lac-Saint-Jean. In : Universite du Quebec a Chicoutimi, Memoire de maitrise, Chicoutimi, Québec, Canada. 52 p.

Urban, S.T., Lieffers, V.J., MacDonald, S.E., 1994. Release in radial growth in the trunk and structural roots of white spruce as measured by dendrochronology. Can. J. For. Res. 24, 1550-1556.

Viens, É., 2001. Effets de l'éclaircie commerciale sur la croissance et la forme de la tige du pin gris (*Pinus banksiana* Lamb.) en Abitibi, Québec. In: Université du Québec à Chicoutimi, Mémoire de maîtrise, Chicoutimi, Québec, Canada. 63 p.

Whitehead, D., Sheriff, D.W., Greer, D.H., 1983. The relationship, between stomatal conductance, transpiration rate and tracheid structure in Pinus radiata clones grown at different water vapour saturation deficits. Plant. Cell Environ. 6, 703-710.

Zarnovican, R., Lussier, J.M., Laberge, C., 2001. Coupe préparatoire et croissance en surface terrière d'une sapinière de seconde venue à la forêt modèle du Bas-Saint-Laurent, Québec. Forest. Chron. 77, 685-695.

Zhang, S.Y., Chauret, G., Swift, E., Duchesne, I., 2006. Effects of precommercial thinning on tree growth and lumber quality in a jack pine stand in New Brunswick, Canada. Can. J. For. Res. 36, 945-952.

Zhang, S.Y., Koubaa, A., 2008. Softwoods of Eastern Canada. Their Silvics, Characteristics, Manufacturing and End-Uses. Special publication SP-526E. FPInnovations-Forintek division, Québec, Canada.

**Table 2.1:** Stands characteristics

| Block | Name (#)[1] | Location | Number of trees analysed | Treatment[2] | Thinning year | Density cut by thinning (trees/ha) | Residual density after thinning (trees/ha) | Stand age at thinning time (year) | Annual precipitation (mm) | Temperature | DBH (cm) | Height (m) | Crown length (m) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | HEB96-1 (1) | N48,315 W71,679 | 35 | CT | 96 | 133 | 667 | 58.7 ±9 | 992.9 | -12.1/17.9 °C | 20.6±4.1 | 14.3±2.9 | 9.7±2.8 |
| | HEBC (3) | N48,145 W71,589 | 43 | Ø | Ø | Ø | 2250 | 51.3 ±9 | 992.9 | -12.1/17.9 °C | 16.1±5.2 | 13.6±2.5 | 8.4±2.7 |
| 2 | HEB96-2 (2) | N48,279 W71,683 | 41 | CT | 96 | 600 | 1250 | 53.2 ±8 | 992.9 | -12.1/17.9 °C | 17.3±4 | 13.9±2.6 | 6.8±2 |
| | HEBC (3) | N48,145 W71,589 | 43 | Ø | Ø | Ø | 2250 | 51.3 ±9 | 992.9 | -12.1/17.9 °C | 16.1±5.2 | 13.6±2.5 | 8.4±2.7 |
| 3 | MM96 (4) | N48,054 W71,063 | 37 | CT | 96 | 550 | 2550 | 99.1 ±12 | 893.5 | -17.3/17.2 °C | 13.2±2.4 | 13.3±1.7 | 4.7±2.4 |
| 4 | MV96 (5) | N48,76 W70,551 | 43 | CT | 96 | 1550 | 1225 | 59.5 ±8 | 1187.3 | -16.1/17.5 °C | 16.2±2.4 | 12.3±1.4 | 7.7±1.8 |
| | MVC (7) | N48,764 W70,55 | 47 | Ø | Ø | Ø | 2750 | 52.8 ±12 | 1187.3 | -16.1/17.5 °C | 15±3.8 | 11.9±1.6 | 7.6±2.3 |
| 5 | MV95 (6) | N48,794 W70,544 | 46 | CT | 95 | 1425 | 1200 | 60.9 ±11 | 1187.3 | -16.1/17.5 °C | 16±3.1 | 12.2±2.5 | 6.3±1.3 |
| | MVC (7) | N48,764 W70,50 | 47 | Ø | Ø | Ø | 2750 | 52.8 ±12 | 1187.3 | -16.1/17.5 °C | 15±3.8 | 11.9±1.6 | 7.6±2.3 |
| 6 | HEB95 (8) | N47,887 W71,464 | 46 | CT | 95 | 825 | 1125 | 48.4 ±10 | 992.9 | -12.1/17.9 °C | 16.3±3.7 | 11.6±1.8 | 7±2.7 |
| | HEBC (3) | N48,145 W71,589 | 43 | Ø | Ø | Ø | 2250 | 51.3 ±9 | 992.9 | -12.1/17.9 °C | 16.1±5.2 | 13.6±2.5 | 8.4±2.7 |
| 7 | LB95 (9) | N48,033 W72,33 | 41 | CT | 95 | 675 | 1175 | 81.9 ±27 | 1012.7 | -16.8/17.3 °C | 17.5±3.2 | 15.3±2.4 | 8.3±2.6 |
| | LBC (10) | N48,032 W72,334 | 38 | Ø | Ø | Ø | 950 | 67.1 ±23 | 1012.7 | -16.8/17.3 °C | 15.2±4.4 | 12.9±3.5 | 8.2±3.8 |
| 8 | LC96 (11) | N48,143 W71,879 | 40 | CT | 96 | 1250 | 1050 | 56.3 ±6 | 1036.7 | -11.7/19.3 °C | 17.8±1.8 | 15.5±3.2 | 8.4±3.3 |
| | LCC (12) | N48,143 W71,878 | 32 | Ø | Ø | Ø | 1000 | 54.9 ±13 | 1036.7 | -11.7/19.3 °C | 21±4.8 | 16.6±2.7 | 8.7±4.6 |
| 9 | LJ96 (13) | N48,983 W72,738 | 39 | CT | 96 | 1250 | 1650 | 46.8 ±6 | 919.8 | -18.4/17.6 °C | 13.8±2.5 | 12.8±1.5 | 5.6±1.8 |
| | LJC (14) | N48,983 W72,741 | 25 | Ø | Ø | Ø | 3906 | 53.1 ±7 | 919.8 | -18.4/17.6 °C | 12.7±2.5 | 13.4±1.3 | 4.2±1.4 |
| 10 | SL97 (15) | N48,874 W71,747 | 33 | CT | 97 | 925 | 575 | 57.1 ±7 | 1061.4 | -11.7/18.2 °C | 19.7±4.7 | 16.6±2.4 | 8.4±2.6 |
| | SLC (16) | N48,874 W71,475 | 31 | Ø | Ø | Ø | 1289 | 50.2 ±7 | 1061.4 | -11.7/18.2 °C | 15.8±5.6 | 14.4±2.9 | 7.4±2.4 |
| **Mean for thinned stands** | | | 44 | | 96 | 918 | 1247 | 62 | 1028 | -14.4/17.9 | 16.8 | 13.8 | 7.3 |
| **Mean for control stands** | | | 36 | | | | 2024 | 55 | 1035 | -14.5/18 | 16 | 13.8 | 7.4 |

1, Stands nomenclature: First letters refer to stand location, followed by thinning year or C to indicate control stands. Stands have also been numbered from 1 to 16.

2: CT = Commercial Thinning, Ø = controls

**Table 2.2:** Effects from the analysis of variance (ANOVA) for A) log($\gamma$), where $\gamma$ is the mean growth increment since thinning year for stems, roots 25 (R25) and roots 70 (R70), treatments (thinning and controls) are compared; and B) log($\gamma_t$), where $\gamma_t$ is the annual growth increment, temporal analysis is presented. Data are transformed in logarithmic value when necessary.

| Factor | Source | DF | DFDen | F Ratio | Prob > F |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **A) Variable = log($\gamma$)** | | | | | |
| Stem | Block | 9 | 7.13 | 0.8592 | 0.5932 |
| | Treatment | 1 | 7.13 | 13.1782 | 0.0081 |
| R25 | Block | 9 | 8.901 | 1.5400 | 0.2662 |
| | Treatment | 1 | 8.643 | 28.4747 | 0.0005 |
| R70 | Block | 9 | 8.134 | 2.2104 | 0.1371 |
| | Treatment | 1 | 7.854 | 13.9465 | 0.0059 |
| **B) Variable = log($\gamma_t$) for stem and root growth indexes for roots R25 and R70** | | | | | |
| Stem | Block | 9 | 177.2 | 7.3425 | <.0001 |
| | Time | 19 | 167 | 8.6314 | <.0001 |
| | Treatment | 1 | 168.8 | 6.4298 | 0.0121 |
| | Treatment*time | 19 | 177.9 | 1.8580 | 0.0199 |
| R25 | Block | 9 | 157.8 | 3.5876 | 0.0004 |
| | Time | 19 | 152.6 | 5.9286 | <.0001 |
| | Treatment | 1 | 147.6 | 52.9094 | <.0001 |
| | Treatment*time | 19 | 154.4 | 6.5649 | <.0001 |
| R70 | Block | 9 | 158.4 | 4.0336 | 0.0001 |
| | Time | 19 | 150.8 | 4.2382 | <.0001 |
| | Treatment | 1 | 163.1 | 72.1401 | <.0001 |
| | Treatment*time | 19 | 168.8 | 7.3339 | <.0001 |

**Table 2.3 :** Regression coefficients for independent variables: diameter at breast high (dbh), Competition Index at Thinning Year ($CI_{TY}$), Stump Competition Index ($CI_S$), tree height (height) and crown length. Data are transformed in logarithmic value when necessary.

| Term | Estimate | Std Error | t Ratio | Prob >\|t\| |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Intercept | 5.3012411 | 0.110967 | 47.77 | <.0001 |
| dbh | 0.017583 | 0.006395 | -2.75 | 0.0063 |
| Intercept | 5.0000121 | 0.032415 | 154.25 | <.0001 |
| Log($CI_{TY}$) | 0.002898 | 0.03184 | 0.09 | 0.9275 |
| Intercept | 4.9875598 | 0.030493 | 163.57 | <.0001 |
| Log(CIs) | 0.0662934 | 0.033655 | 1.97 | 0.0499 |
| Intercept | 5.1148595 | 0.132239 | 38.68 | <.0001 |
| height | -0.008365 | 0.009474 | -0.88 | 0.3778 |
| Intercept | 5.0739145 | 0.119619 | 42.42 | <.0001 |
| Crown length | -0.036406 | 0.060453 | -0.60 | 0.5474 |

**Table 2.4:** A) Stepwise regression for the model of log(γ), radial growth increment, B) Whole model of the multivariate regression resulting from the stepwise analysis and C) Independent variables. Data are transformed into logarithmic values when necessary.

**A) Stepwise regression**

| Step | $R^2$ | Sequential SS | P | ΔAIC | Variable added |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 0.0161 | 0.0161 | 0.0411 | 2.212 | $Log(CI_S)$ |
| 2 | 0.0403 | 0.403 | 0.0114 | 4.493 | dbh |
| 3 | 0.0511 | 0.0511 | 0.0901 | 0.923 | $Log(CI_{TY})$ |

**B) Whole Model**

| Source | DF | Sum of Squares | Mean Square | F Ratio |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Model | 3 | 2.931633 | 0.977211 | 4.5114 |
| Error | 258 | 55.884636 | 0.216607 | Prob > F |
| C. Total | 261 | 58.816269 | | 0.0042 |

**C) Independent variables**

| Term | Estimate | Std Error | t Ratio | Prob>\|t\| | Std Beta | VIF |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Intercept | 5.5850384 | 0.197022 | 28.35 | <.0001 | | |
| dbh | -0.03294 | 0.01079 | -3.05 | 0.0025 | -0.2223 | 1.4397139 |
| $LogCI_{TY}$ | -0.075988 | 0.044009 | -1.73 | 0.0854 | -0.11796 | 1.2673534 |
| LogCIs | 0.0298397 | 0.036304 | 0.82 | 0.4119 | 0.053577 | 1.1537206 |

**Note:** Results from stepwise regression using the forward procedure with AIC as indicator, ΔAIC (ΔAIC = AIC before enter- AIC after enter) has to be positive to enter.

$CI_{TY}$ = Competition Index at thinning year

$CI_S$ = Stump competition index




**Figure 2.1**: A) Radial growth increments (γ) over time of stems (S), built with all thinned stands (CT – in black plain line) and compared with controls (C – black dashed line). B) Radial growth increments of roots R25 (blue line) and R70 (grey line) over time built with all thinned stands (plain line) and compared with controls (dashed line). C) Root and stem growth increment pattern over time for thinned stands. D) Root and stem growth increment pattern over time for control stands. Time 0 = year of thinning, negative numbers correspond to years before treatment and positive ones to years after thinning. Asterisks mean significant treatment*time interactions. C = Control stands and CT = Commercial thinning.




**Figure 2.2:** A) Stem growth increment (γ) by thinning (CT) stands (black); comparison with control (C) stands (white). B) Roots R25 growth increment by thinning stands; comparison with control stands. C) Roots R70 growth increment by thinning stands; comparison with control stands. For each graph, stand (first number) is grouped with its control (second number) by location.




**Figure 2.3:** Percentage of trees within stands as a function of their growth increment (γ) after thinning year for thinned stands (left part on each graph) and control stands (last part of each graph, arrows). Different colours correspond to the five growth response classes described in the methods.




**Figure 2.4:** Relation between stem growth increment (γ) after thinning and root growth classes after thinning for all thinned stands (levels not connected by same letter are significantly different).

# CHAPITRE 3

## YIELD OF BLACK SPRUCE STANDS FOLLOWING COMMERCIAL THINNING: A WAY TOWARDS FULFILLING NEW MANAGEMENT EXPECTATIONS

Manon VINCENT*, Cornelia KRAUSE, Hubert MORIN.

*Département des sciences fondamentales, Université du Québec à Chicoutimi, 555 boul. de l'Université, Chicoutimi (Québec), CANADA, G7H 2B1.*

**Keywords:** *partial cutting; boreal forest; Picea mariana, dbh distribution, wood products, basal area.*

*Corresponding author. Tel : +1 418 545-5011 ; Fax : +1 418 545-5012 ; Email : manon_vincent@uqac.ca

Rapport-Gratuit.com

# CHAPITRE 3

## YIELD OF BLACK SPRUCE STANDS FOLLOWING COMMERCIAL THINNING: A WAY TOWARDS FULFILLING NEW MANAGEMENT EXPECTATIONS

### 3.1. ABSTRACT

The effects of commercial thinning on individual and stand volume increment were investigated in nine commercially thinned and control black spruce stands in northern Quebec's commercial boreal forest. Dendrochronological data were used to determine individual volume variations 10 years after treatment. Thinning significantly increased individual volume increment compared to the 10-year period before treatment ($p$ = 0.0442). An average decadal volume increment of 25 per cent for thinned stands and 9 per cent for controls was observed. CT density, number and characteristics of competitors influenced individual response. Analysing tree volume from germination to felling it appeared that total wood volume produced by thinned stands 10 years after treatment was not significantly different from controls. Variability between stands may explain this result. These results are interesting from an ecosystem-management context that may intensify the use CT realization in unmanaged natural black spruce stands.

Keywords: partial cutting; boreal forest; *Picea mariana*, dbh distribution, wood products, basal area.

## 3.2. INTRODUCTION

Black spruce (*Picea mariana* (Mill.) B.) is the most important commercial and reforested species in Eastern Canada (Parent and Fortin, 2008; Zhang and Koubaa, 2009). The traditional harvesting method used in black spruce stands is clear-cutting but society's expectations of forest management in the boreal forest have evolved. In Quebec, the reduced land base for fiber production (Coulombe *et al.,* 2004) coupled with increasing global competition in the forest industry has led to the development of new strategies to increase the volume of wood produced in the remaining forests. Partial cutting such as commercial thinning (CT) could be a sound choice to attain sustainable development within global market constraints while still achieving maximum value (Zhang *et al.*, 2006). Toward this goal CT effects on tree growth, mortality and stand yield have been studied for different softwood species, including jack pine (*Pinus banksiana* Lamb.) (Bella and De Franceschi, 1974; Schneider *et al.*, 2008), Norway spruce (*Picea abies* (L.) Karst.), Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris* L.) (Mäkinen and Isomäki, 2004a, b) and black spruce (Shepard and Shottafer, 1990). Indeed, CT is usually performed in plantations (Gouvernement du Québec, 2003). According to previous studies, diameter at breast height (Dbh), growth rate, tree status (dominant or oppressed) and competition strongly influence response to the treatment (Koga *et al.*, 2002; Pape 1999; Sharma and Zhang, 2004). But, although a radial increase is usually observed at the stem scale (Barbour *et al.,* 1992; Johnstone, 1997; Stinson, 1999), some studies have pointed out that thinning had no effect on the cumulative yield of merchantable volume at stand level (Mäkinen and Isomäki, 2004a, b; Viens, 2001).

Rapport-gratuit.com
LE NUMERO 1 MONDIAL DU MÉMOIRES

However, CT can also be done in natural, unmanaged stands, in unproductive plantations or old managed the stands (Gouvernement du Québec, 2003; OMNR, 1997). In the current ecosystem-based management context, CT of natural unmanaged stands may be particularly attractive since it responds to recent ecological expectations, for forest cover retention and species conservation and to ensure an intermediate harvest during critical supply period. Consequently, CT may to be perceived as a means to accommodate human use while maintaining ecological integrity (Grumbine, 1994; Thorpe and Thomas, 2007). Despite the potential benefits of using CT as an ecosystem management tool in the boreal, most research on the subject has focused on wildlife response (Artman, 2002; Coxson *et al.,* 2003). To the author's knowledge, only a few studies have been conducted on CT in naturally regenerated unmanaged black spruce stands (Hillman and Takyi, 1998; Vincent *et al.*, 2009; Weetman *et al.*, 1980) and few projects have evaluated how residual trees respond (Vincent *et al.,* 2009) to the treatment. Authors have reported large variation in individual-tree radial growth responses, even in the same stand that may contrast with volume increment observed at the stand scale. These differences may be related to processes occurring at small-scales, *e.g.* the neighborhood effects influencing the amount of release in residual trees (Thorpe and Thomas, 2007; Vincent *et al.,* 2009).

In this study, we assumed that CT led to an individual volume increment but that there may be a gap between individual volume increments and volume increment observed at the stand level. The objective of this study was to quantify, based on different thinned stands and controls, individual volume increment after CT and the volume

increment at the stand level. An additional objective was to discuss the implications in an ecosystem-based management context in natural unmanaged black spruce stands.

## 3.3. MATERIAL AND METHODS

### *3.3.1. Study area*

This study was based on 9 CT stands and their controls in the boreal forest of Quebec, Canada. Stands had to be accessible by truck and located close to trails in order to carry field material and samples on foot. As thinning is still a recent silvicultural treatment applied to natural black spruce stands, no standard methods have yet been developed and no information was available about thinning types and techniques. Latitude ranged from 47.9°N to 49°N, longitude from 70.5°W to 72.7°W and altitude from 210 to 671m. The region is characterized by cold winters and short growing season. Over the last 30 years, the average minimum temperature was -18.3 °C during the coldest month and the average maximum was 17.9 °C during the warmest month. Average annual precipitation varied from 920 cm to 1187 cm in the studied stands (Environment Canada, 2008). Essentially pure unmanaged natural black spruce stands were selected. The mean age of the stands at treatment varied between 47.8 and 81.9 years (Table 3.1). No silvicultural treatment other than CT was applied. Basal area density, evaluated by all trees within a 20 x 20 m quadrat, was between 800 and 3900 trees per hectare before treatment. The herbaceous and moss strata were mainly composed of: *Pleurozium schreberi* (Brid.) Mitt., *polytrichum* sp., *Ptilium crista-castrensis* (Hedw.) De Not., *Ledum groenlandicum* Oeder, *Vaccinium angustifolium* Ait. and *Kalmia angustifolia* L.. Stand selection was based on two main criteria: (i) thinning year, thinning treatments were done 10-12 years before sampling; (ii) thinning of unmanaged natural black spruce

stands (Table 3.1). Whenever possible, a nearby unthinned natural black spruce stand with similar characteristics was selected as a control. Control stands selection was mainly based on stand age and location close to thinned stands. In two instances where all stands had the same environmental characteristics, the same control stand was used for comparison with more than one nearby thinned stand.

*3.3.2. Sampling and volume calculation*

In each stand a 20x20 quadrat comprising at least 35 black spruces (Diameter at breast height > 9 cm) was selected. Total tree height (H), diameter at breast height (Dbh), and at stump (Dsh) were measured for each tree in the quadrat. Site quality was estimated using height of dominant trees and production tables (Pothier and Savard, 1998) (Table 3.1), tree Dbh distribution was also evaluated (Figure 3.1).

Merchantable basal area (G) was calculated using thinned tree data collected during field work (1). G was calculated with Dsh. It was then corrected with the ratio Dbh/Dsh for comparison with the theory.

$$G = \frac{\pi \times factor}{40000} \times \sum_{i=1}^{n} Dbh_i^{\,2} \qquad (1)$$

G is the basal area ($m^2$/ha) and factor is the conversion factor for correcting obtained values to the ha scale (Perron *et al.*, 2009). Stems volume was calculated with volume table for black spruce trees, function of stems Dbh and H (Perron, 1985). The average volume per stem (AVS) and the volume by hectare (V) were calculated at thinning year ($AVS_{TY}$, $V_{TY}$, $m^3$, including thinned stems) and at the final harvest year ($AVS_f$, $V_f$, $m^3$) for both thinned and control stands and presented on a per hectare basis (Table 3.2). For the purpose of the analysis, stands were considered ready for the final felling at the year of sampling. Because the studied stands are even-aged, and CT isn't

supposed to modify tree H (Hillman and Takyi, 1998; Viens, 2001), harvested trees H was assessed with residual stems of similar stump diameter within and close to the quadrat.

Six black spruce trees in each thinned stand and three in control stands were randomly selected and felled. Thus, a total of 72 trees were harvested for stem analysis (one tree was excluded because of manipulations errors in the laboratory). Because interpretation of stand response may be limiting by the small number of trees, neighborhood conditions of sample trees were recorded (Table 3.3). A previous study based on the same studied stands presented all collected data by stand such as tree position, tree dimensions, competition and radial growth increment at stump height (Vincent *et al.*, 2009*)*. Neighborhood trees were considered to be competitors when in the search radius (3.5 × mean crown radius of canopy trees, 4 m in our study).

Stems were cut every meter, starting at ground level and moving up to the crown to collect samples for dendrochronological analysis. Each sample disk was dried and sanded. Tree-ring widths were measured from four perpendicular radii using Mac Henson and WinDendro software (Guay *et al.*, 1992) and cross-dated (Krause *et al.*, 2003; Stokes and Smiley, 1968). Dating of rings was checked with the Cofecha program (Holmes, 1983).

The computer program WinStem™ was run to conduct stem analysis. It produced the volume as a function of age, using the Carmean (1972) method. WinStem™ calculates the volume for each tree section as a cylinder (2).

$$V = \frac{1}{3}\pi h(a^2 + ab + b^2) \qquad (2)$$

Where V = volume of a 1 m segment

h = length of the segment

a = radius at the top of the segment

b = radius at the bottom of the segment

The segments were then added to obtain the volume yield of the tree, resulting in an estimate of volume yield at each age ($V_{cum}$). Differences in volume yield for adjacent years resulted in annual volume increment ($V_{inc}$). Cumulative radius, diameter, basal area and height over time were also calculated annually for each tree.

To compare volume growth between trees, decadal volume increment after CT ($V_{10}$) was calculated with WinStem™ data before and after thinning (3).

$$V_{10} = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{t=10} V_{inc,t}}{\sum_{t=-9}^{t=0} V_{inc,t}} \times 100 \qquad (3)$$

*3.3.3. Statistical analysis*

Data were compiled using an ANOVA multifactor model with an REML procedure (mixed model). A seven-block unbalanced split-plot design was used for volume growth increment, with treatment (thinning/control) as the main level. Sites were nested within blocks and trees were nested within sites. Both blocks and sites were random effect factors.

Regression analyses were performed to examine relationships between individual tree growth and tree size, competitors' size and number and stand characteristics.

Statistical analysis ANOVAs were performed using JMP software (SAS Institute Inc. Cary, NC) with a confidence level of 95 per cent.

## 3.4. RESULTS

At year of thinning, thinned stands had a mean age of 58 years while that of controls was 55 years (Table 3.1). Based on the initial basal area, merchantable thinning

intensity in different stands varied from 9.7 to 52.5 per cent, which can be classified as light to heavy thinning.

Moreover, the Dbh ratio of residual and thinned stems at thinning year ($Dbh_{RES}$ at TY/$Dbh_{THI}$ at TY) can be used to highlight different CT types. When $Dbh_{RES}$ at TY/$Dbh_{THI}$ at TY is inferior to one, CT from above was realized (biggest trees thinned), on the other hand when $Dbh_{RES}$ at TY/$Dbh_{THI}$ at TY is superior to one, the CT was from below (Table 3.1). Only LJ96 is considered as a CT from above.

Thinned, residual and control stem distribution for all stands put together is presented in figure 3.1. A student's *t*-test conducted for each Dbh class when appropriate revealed a significant difference between thinned, residual and control stems proportions for Dbh classes 10, 20, 22 and 28 cm (Figure 3.1).

*3.4.1. Individual tree volume growth*

Selected tree environments are presented in Table 3.3. Selected tree Dbh's for stem analysis from thinned stands varied from 9.1 cm to 28.5 with a mean of 16.9 cm, whereas the Dbh of trees selected from control stands varied from 9.5 cm to 23.5 with a mean of 16.1 cm. The mean of selected tree Dbh's from both thinned and control stands was 16.7 cm (Table 3.3).

On average, selected trees from thinned stands presented about 2.2 competitors with a Dbh varying between 11.2 cm and 33.2 cm and a mean Dbh of 17.2 cm. Selected trees from control stands presented an average of about 3.4 competitors with a Dbh varying from 8.8 cm to 25.7 cm and a mean Dbh of 15 cm (Table 3.3).

Selected trees from thinned stands presented about 1.3 competitor stumps around them. Nevertheless, the number of competitor stumps around a selected tree, varied from 0 to 6. Mean Dsh of competitor stumps was 14.2 cm, varying from 8.3 cm to 35 cm.

All thinned stands presented a higher decadal volume increment ($V_{10}$) calculated from selected trees than control stands (Figure 3.2). By stand, $V_{10}$ was about 125 per cent for thinned stands, varying between 115 and 139 per cent, whereas it was about 109 per cent for control stands, with a range of 88 to 130 per cent (Figure 3.2). Statistically, $V_{10}$ for thinned stands was significantly higher than controls ($p = 0.0442$, Table 3.4A).

Linear regression was performed to detect the effect of initial stand density on $V_{10}$ observed for both thinned and control stands. Despite variations between stands before treatment, stand density before thinning did not significantly influence $V_{10}$.

However, regarding selected tree characteristics, a significant negative relationship was found between $V_{10}$ and number of competitors ($p = 0.0383$; $R^2 = 0.055$), whereas a positive one was found between $V_{10}$ and stump number ($p = 0.0300$; $R^2 = 0.067$; Table 3.5A). CT intensity (G thinned, %) also positively influenced $V_{10}$ ($p = 0.0062$; $R^2 = 0.105$).

Regarding individual wood volume produced since germination to felling, CT did not significantly influence individual wood produced ($V_{cum}$, $p = 0.3574$ data not shown) and despite a slight trend between selected tree Dbh and $V_{cum}$ ($p = 0.0589$) no significant relation was found between $V_{cum}$ and selected trees and environmental characteristics (Table 3.5B). On the other hand, G thinned (%) positively influence $V_{cum}$ ($p = 0.0186$; $R^2 = 0.078$).

*3.4.2. Stand increment*

Stand volume at thinning year and 10 years after treatment is presented on a hectare basis in Table 3.2. 10 years after treatment, the volume of CT stands represented about 70 per cent of the volume of control stands. Including the volume thinned, average volume of CT stands was equal to the average volume of controls stands (Table 3.2). On the other hand, regarding only residual stems after thinning, average volume increment by thinned stand was slightly higher than the average volume increment from control stand (respectively 110 per cent and 106 per cent). As a result, no significant influence of treatment on stands volume increment was observed (Table 3.4B).

Considering only residual stem increment, two of the nine stands presented a volume increment after thinning lower than control stands HEB96-1 and LB95. In contrast, three stands presented a stand volume increment after CT, higher than their associated controls: LC96, LJ96 and MV96 (respectively equal to 10.5, 13 and 9.5% of controls stands volume increment, Table 3.2). Merchantable volume increment by stand (volume thinned + residual volume) is presented in figure 3.4. As observed for residual growth increment by stand, merchantable volume increment by stand varied between blocks. Merchantable volume increment varied from 2.9% to 9.4% with a mean of 6.4% for CT stands whereas it varied from 3.3% to 14.5% with a mean of 6.3% for controls stands. Four blocks, LC, LJ, MV and SL presented a merchantable volume increment higher 10 years after treatment for thinned stands than controls.

## 3.5. Discussion

### *3.5.1 Individual tree volume growth*

In the thinned stands, individual tree volume growth increment is significantly higher 10 years after CT than the 10 years before (Table 3.4A). Similar volume increment

Rapport-Gratuit.com

per stem after CT was observed for jack pine and Douglas fir (Bella and De Franceschi, 1974; Curtis and Marshall, 2002; Stinson, 1999). Individual volume increment was significantly affected by the number of competitors (thinned and live) around selected trees (Table 3.5). Different authors demonstrated before that number and size of competitors and selected and thinned trees characteristics may influence individual volume increment (Pape, 1999; Vincent *et al.,* 2009). Moreover, thinning intensity (G thinned, %) explained also a part of individual volume growth variability (Table 3.5). We assume that these parameters affect differently individual volume growth. Indeed, whereas competitors and residual tree characteristics may have an effect at the individual scale, G thinned would probably more affect general intensity of individual volume growth and will be reflected at the stand level. Eventually, initial stand density does not significantly affect individual volume increment.

Eventually, individual wood volume produced 10 years after treatment wasn't affected by CT. Many authors demonstrated in the past that thinning effects depend on tree diameter before treatment (e. g. Burkhart and Bredenkamp, 1989; Newton *et al.,* 2005; Vincent *et al.,* 2009). Indeed, whereas smaller trees may exhibit better relative growth rates, large trees remain large in absolute terms (Morris *et al.,* 1994). Moreover, some studies have reported a large variation in individual-tree growth responses, even among members of the same species in the same stand (Skovsgaard, 2009). These differences underline the importance of using individual-based models to predict stand-level growth responses to CT.

*3.5.2. Stand increment*

No significant variation in stand volume increment after thinning was observed in this study. However, regarding merchantable volume increment, five stands presented a higher increase 10 years after treatment than their associated controls (Figure 3.4). Among the two others blocks, HEB96-1 and LB95 presented a stand volume increment based for residual stems, after CT, lower than their associated controls (Table 3.2). According to field data, both stands were subject to very low thinning intensity (respectively, 9.7 and 13.1 per cent of basal area at thinning year) which may explain the absence of a thinning response. Indeed Weetman *et al.* (1980), demonstrated that for black spruce, light thinning (25%) seemed to have little impact on the growth of single trees from a 65-year old stand, while a 50% thinning caused an increase of 30% in basal area growth rate, 15 years after thinning. Eventually, the results from the block LB may be questionable because LBC presented a $G_{TY}$ almost half low that of LB95 (Table 3.1). It could thus be argued that these two stands were not comparable. However, a previous study working with same field material demonstrated that both LB95 and LBC were affected by spruce budworm (*Choristoneura fumiferana* (Clemens)) outbreaks, which occurred in the region in the late 1970s (Morin *et al.,* 2000; Vincent *et al.,* 2009). Comparing radial growth along time it seems that spruce budworm outbreaks affected LBC longer (about two years) than LB95. Moreover the resumption of radial growth for LBC after the outbreak appeared faster and higher than LB95 (Vincent *et al.,* 2009). The low stand density of LBC at the year of thinning may be a consequence of outbreaks whereas the high stand volume increment observed may correspond to the resumption of growth after it. Apart from Stinson (1999), who found an increase in bole size and total harvested volume in thinned Douglas fir stands, authors generally noticed no increase or

Rapport-gratuit.com
LE NUMERO 1 MONDIAL DU MÉMOIRES

even a decrease in the volume produced by thinned stands compared to controls (Mäkinen *et al.,* 2005; Skovsgaard, 2009).

Important variability between stands was observed in this study. Despite the fact that this variability in CT practices in the studied region, it complicated the analysis of thinning response at the stand level. It is obvious that stand characteristics also interfere with CT response. Thus, it may be argued that initial stand density, which varied between studied stand, may interfere in stand response to CT. A study based on the influence of CT on stand increment following initial stand density may be interesting to conduct. Nevertheless, working with naturally regenerated unmanaged stands implies that initial stand density isn't a controlled parameter.

*3.5.3. Yield allocation and implication for ecosystem-based management*

The main advantage expected from commercial thinning is to increase the diameter of residual trees by decreasing competition for light (Sheedy, 1997; Stiell, 1980; Thiffault *et al.,* 2003) which seems to be the case in natural black spruce stands despite stand variability. A great advantage of increasing individual tree size, over and above improving harvesting efficiency, is the opportunity to produce different products, thereby increasing market flexibility (Morris *et al.,* 1994). Thus, in the case of jack pine for example, commercial thinning may prove economically beneficial by allowing a shift from the pulpwood market to providing a mixture of saw log and round wood material (Morris *et al.*, 1994). Another advantage with the increase of individual tree size is the decrease of wane, a processing defect often responsible for the downgrading of lumber (Zhang *et al.,* 2006).

On the contrary, merchantable stand volume increment after CT seems to be limited. Indeed, within seven blocks, five presented a merchantable volume about 3.3% higher than controls (Table 3.3). Between these stands, one of the best responses at the stand scale was noticed for LJ96. According to stand characteristics CT conducted in this stand was a CT from above (Table 3.1). Moreover at the individual scale it seems that volume increment is inversely influenced by tree Dbh (Pape, 1999). Since CT was not originally designed to emulate natural disturbance, because spruce budworm outbreaks impact has also been showed in evidence in this study it may be interesting to analyze CT effect in the eyes of this boreal perturbation. According to previous studies, it seems that spruce budworm outbreaks essentially affect the biggest and oldest trees in black spruce stands (Lussier *et al.,* 2002). Regarding similarities between spruce budworm outbreaks and CT effect on stand dynamics, and because of the results observed in the present study, CT, may be deemed to be a sound choice in terms of ecosystem management. Indeed, it has been noticed that silvicultural treatments such as clear-cutting tended to create homogenous patterns compared to natural variability observed following natural disturbances (Bergeron *et al.*, 2002), partial cutting such as CT appears like an alternative to usual treatment because it's a mean to retain old forest associated features on managed landscapes.

## 3.6. Conclusion

This paper describes the 10-year thinning results in natural black spruce stands yield and volume. To the authors' knowledge, very few studies have dealt with commercially thinned natural black spruce stands. Black spruce reacted to CT with an increase in volume produced per stem but no significant impact on total volume produced

per stand. According to our results, the number of competitors around a tree negatively influenced individual tree volume growth. On the other hand, initial stand density did not influence individual response to treatment. Disparities between studied stands make difficult a global analysis but allow defining future orientations for this subject. Two types of studies may therefore be undertaken: studies based on stand yield according to stand and treatment characteristics (such as initial stand density) and studies about residual stand characteristics in terms of ecosystem-based management. According to these results however, CT may be relevant in an ecosystem-management context as well as providing intermediate timber supply. Indeed, using commercial thinning in natural stands may contribute to forest cover retention while still increasing residual stems volume increment.

### 3.7. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank Mireille Boulianne for lab assistance; Simon Gaboury, for helpful discussions; and Maxime Blackburn, Charles-Augustin Déry-Bouchard, Audrey Lemay, Boris Luszcynski, Émilie Pamerleau, Pierre-Yves Plourde, Germain Savard and Marc-André Valiquette for field assistance. Funding was provided by FQRNT (2007-FO-118063) through a research grant. The Consortium de Recherche sur la Forêt Boréale Commerciale provided additional financial support.

### 3.8. References

Artman, V.L. 2002. Effect of commercial thinning on breeding bird populations in western hemlock forest. Am. Midl. Nat. 149(1):225-232.

Barbour, R.J., R.E. Bailey, and J.A. Cook. 1992. Evaluation of relative density, diameter growth, and stem form in a red spruce (*Picea rubens*) stand 15 years after precommercial thinning. Can. J. For. Res. 22:229-238.

Bella, I.E., and J.P. De Franceschi. 1974. Commercial Thinning Improves Growth of Jack Pine. Canadian Forestry Service Information Report NOR-X-112. 23p.

Bergeron, Y., A. Leduc, B.D. Harvey, and S. Gauthier. 2002. Natural fire regime: A guide for sustainable management of the Canadian boreal forest. Silva Fenn. 36(1):81-95.

Burkhart, H.-E., and B.-V. Bredenkamp. 1989. Product-class proportions for thinned and unthinned loblolly pine plantations. South. J. Appl. For. 13(4):192-195.

Carmean, W.H. 1972. Site Index Curves for Upland Oaks in the Central States. For. Sci. 18:109-120.

Coulombe, G., J. Huot, J. Arsenault, E. Bauce, J.-T. Bernard, B. Bouchard, M.-A. Liboiron, and G. Szaraz. 2004. Commission d'étude sur la gestion de la forêt publique québécquoise. 314p.

Coxson, D., S. Stevenson, and J. Campbell. 2003. Short-term impacts of partial cutting on lichen retention and canopy microclimate in an Engelmann spruce - subalpine fir forest in north-central British Columbia. Can. J. For. Res. 33(5):830-841.

Curtis, R.O., and D.D. Marshall. 2002. Levels-of-growing-stock cooperative study in Douglas-fir: report no. 14 - Stampede Creek: 30-year results. Research-Paper-Pacific-Northwest-Research-Station, USDA-Forest-Service 543:77p.

Environment Canada. 2008. Canadian Daily Climate Data (CDCD). National Climate Data and Information Archive.

Gouvernement du Québec. 2003. Manuel d'aménagement forestier. Ministère des Ressources naturelles, de la Faune et des Parcs, Québec. 245p.

Grumbine, R.E. 1994. What is ecosystem management. Conserv. Biol. 8(1):27-38.

Guay, R., R. Gagnon, and H. Morin. 1992. A new automatic and interactive tree ring measurement system based on a line scan camera. Forest. Chron. 68(1):138-141.

Hillman, G.R., and S.K. Takyi. 1998. Response of black spruce to thinning and Fertilization in a drained swamp. North. J. Appl. For. 15(2):98-105.

Holmes, R.L. 1983. Computer assisted quality control in tree-ring dating and measurement. Tree-Ring Bulletin 43:69-78.

Johnstone, W.D. 1997. The effect of commercial thinning on the growth and yield of lodgepole pine. P. 13-23 *in* Proceedings of a commercial thinning workshop, Whitecourt, Alberta.

Koga, S., S.Y. Zhang, and J. Bégin. 2002. Effects of precommercial thinning on annual radial growth and wood density in balsam fir (*Abies balsamea*). Wood Fiber Sci. 34(4):625-642.

Krause, C., F. Gionest, H. Morin, and D.A. MacLean. 2003. Temporal relations between defoliation caused by spruce budworm (*Choristoneura fumiferana* Clem.) and growth of balsam fir (*Abies balsamea* (L.) Mill.). Dendrochronologia 21(1):23-31.

Lussier, J.M., H. Morin, and R. Gagnon. 2002. Mortality in black spruce stands of fire or clear-cut origin. Can. J. For. Res. 32(3):539-547.

Mäkinen, H., J. Hynynen, and A. Isomäki. 2005. Intensive management of Scots pine stands in southern Finland: First empirical results and simulated further development. For. Ecol. Manag. 215:37-50.

Mäkinen, H., and A. Isomäki. 2004a. Thinning intensity and growth of Norway spruce stands in Finland. Forestry 77(4):349-364.

Mäkinen, H., and A. Isomäki. 2004 b. Thinning intensity and growth of Scots pine stands in Finland. For. Ecol. Manag. 201:311-325.

Morin, H., C. Krause, Y. Jardon, S. Parent, A. Deslauriers, F. Gionest, I. Simard, V. Levasseur, and O. Desjardins. 2000. Dynamique spatio-temporelle des épidémies de la tordeuse des bourgeons de l'épinette (tbe) dans la zone boréale de l'est de l'Amérique du Nord (Ont., Qué., T.N. et N.B.). Réseau sur la gestion durable des forêts, Project report 2000-38, Final project report. 30p.

Morris, D.M., C. Bowling, and S.C. Hills. 1994. Growth and form responses to Pre-Commercial thinning regimes in aerially seeded jack pine stands - 5th year results. The Forestry Chronicle 70:780-787.

Newton, P.F., Y. Lei, and S.Y. Zhang. 2005. Stand-level diameter distribution yield model for black spruce plantations. Forest Ecol. Manag. 209:181-192.

OMNR. 1997. Silvicultural guide to managing for black spruce, jack pine and aspen on boreal forest ecosites in Ontario. Version 1.1. Ont. Min. Nat. Resour., Queen's Printer for Ontario, Toronto. 3 books. 822p.

Pape, R. 1999. Influence of Thinning and Tree Diameter Class on the Development of Basic Density and Annual Ring Width in *Picea abies.* Scand. J. For. Res. 14:27-37.

Parent, B., and C. Fortin. 2008. Ressources et Industries Forestières — Portrait Statistique Édition 2008. Ministère des Ressources Naturelles et de la Faune-Direction du développement de l'industrie des produits forestiers. 513p.

Perron, J.-Y., M. fortin, C.-H. Ung, P. Morin, L. Blais, G. Blais, J.-P. Carpentier, J. Cloutier, B. Del Degan, D. Demers, R. Gagnon, J.-P. Létourneau, and Y. Richard. 2009. "Dendrométrie et inventaire forestier". P. 567-630 in *Manuel de foresterie*, 2$^{e}$éd., OIFQ (ed.). Ouvrage collectif, Éditions Multimondes, Québec.

Perron, J.Y. 1985. Tarif de cubage general, volume marchand brut. Ministère de l'Energie et des Ressources. 55p.

Pothier, D., and F. Savard. 1998. Actualisation des tables de production pour les principales espèces forestières du Québec. Direction des inventaires forestiers, Ministère des Ressources naturelles, Sainte-Foy 1-183 p.

Schneider, R., S.Y. Zhang, D.E. Swift, J. Begin, and J.M. Lussier. 2008. Predicting selected wood properties of jack pine following commercial thinning. Can. J. For. Res. 38(7):2030-2043.

Sharma, M., and S.Y. Zhang. 2004. Variable-exponent taper equations for jack pine, black spruce, and balsam fir in eastern Canada. For. Ecol. Manag. 198:39-53.

Sheedy, G. 1997. Éclaircie et fertilisation de deux plantations d'épinette (blanche et de Norvège) du centre du Québec: résultats de dix ans. Gouv.Qué., MRN, Direction de la recherche forestière, Note de recherche forestière no.82. 10p.

Shepard, R.K., and J.E. Shottafer. 1990. Effect of early release on specific gravity and wood yield of black spruce. Forest Prod. J. 40(1):18-20.

Skovsgaard, J.P. 2009. Analysing effects of thinning on stand volume growth in relation to site conditions: A case study for even-aged Sitka spruce (*Picea sitchensis* (Bong.) Carr.). Forestry 82(1):87-104.

Stiell, W.M. 1980. Response of white spruce plantation to three levels of thinning from below 1958-1978. Forest. Chron. 56:21-27.

Stinson, S.D. 1999. 50 years of low thinning in second growth Douglas-fir. Forest. Chron. 75(3):401-405.

Stokes, M.A., and T.L. Smiley. 1968. An introduction to tree-ring dating. University of Chicago Press, Chicago.

Thiffault, N., V. Roy, G. Prégent, G. Cyr, R. Jobidon, and J. Ménétrier. 2003. La sylviculture des plantations résineuses au Québec. Nat. Can. 127(1):63-80.

Thorpe, H.C., and S.C. Thomas. 2007. Partial harvesting in the Canadian boreal: Success will depend on stand dynamic responses. Forest. Chron. 83(3):319-325.

Viens, É. 2001. Effets de l'éclaircie commerciale sur la croissance et la forme de la tige du pin gris (*Pinus banksiana* Lamb.) en Abitibi, Québec, Université du Québec à Chicoutimi, Mémoire de maîtrise, Chicoutimi. 63 p.

Vincent, M., C. Krause, and S. Zhang. 2009. Radial growth response of black spruce roots and stems to commercial thinning in the boreal forest. Forestry 82(5):557-571.

Weetman, G.F., M.R. Roberge, and C.H. Meng. 1980. Black spruce - 15-year growth and microbiological response to thinning and fertilization. Can. J. For. Res. 10(4):502-509.

Zhang, S.Y., G. Chauret, E. Swift, and I. Duchesne. 2006. Effects of precommercial thinning on tree growth and lumber quality in a jack pine stand in New Brunswick, Canada. Can. J. For. Res. 36:945-952.

Rapport-Gratuit.com

Zhang, S.Y., and A. Koubaa. 2009. Les résineux de l'Est du Canada: Écologie forestière, caractéristiques, transformation et usages. FPInnovations-Forintek-division. Publication spéciale — SP-526E: 1-28.

**Table 3.1:** Stands characteristics

| Sites | Location | Annual precipitation (mm) | Temperature (average min/average max, °C) | TY | Stand Age at TY | Dbh at TY (cm) | G at TY (RES+THI m²/ha) | Merchantable Thinning intensity (%) | $Dbh_{RES}$ at TY / $Dbh_{THI}$ at TY | Site Index at 50 years |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| HEB95 | N47.887 W71.464 | 992.9 | -12.1/17.9 | 1995 | 48.4 ±10 | 13.0 | 29.2 | 19.6 | 1.4 | 12-15 |
| HEB96-1 | N48.315 W71.679 | 992.9 | -12.1/17.9 | 1996 | 58.7 ±9 | 15.4 | 23.8 | 9.7 | 1.0 | 15-18 |
| HEB96-2 | N48.279 W71.683 | 992.9 | -12.1/17.9 | 1996 | 53.2 ±8 | 16.6 | 41 | 31.8 | 1.0 | 15-18 |
| HEBC | N48.145 W71.589 | 992.9 | -12.1/17.9 | | 51.3 ±9 | 15.8 | 48.3 | | | 15-18 |
| LB95 | N48.033 W72.33 | 1012.7 | -16.8/17.3 | 1995 | 81.9 ±27 | 14.7 | 33.1 | 13.1 | 1.4 | 15-18 |
| LBC | N48.032 W72.334 | 1012.7 | -16.8/17.3 | | 67.1 ±23 | 14.6 | 17.2 | | | 15-18 |
| LC96 | N48.143 W71.879 | 1036.7 | -11.7/19.3 | 1996 | 56.3 ±6 | 15.1 | 44.8 | 39.6 | 1.3 | 18-21 |
| LCC | N48.143 W71.878 | 1036.7 | -11.7/19.3 | | 54.9 ±13 | 20.7 | 35.2 | | | 18-21 |
| LJ96 | N48.983 W72.738 | 919.8 | -18.4/17.6 | 1996 | 46.8 ±6 | 13.6 | 42.3 | 52.5 | 0.8 | 15-18 |
| LJC | N48.983 W72.741 | 919.8 | -18.4/17.6 | | 53.1 ±7 | 12.3 | 48.9 | | | 12-15 |
| MV95 | N48.794 W70.544 | 1187.3 | -16.1/17.5 | 1995 | 60.9 ±11 | 14.1 | 40 | 39.7 | 1.3 | 12-15 |
| MV96 | N48.76 W70.551 | 1187.3 | -16.1/17.5 | 1996 | 59.5 ±8 | 12.9 | 33 | 30.8 | 1.3 | 12-15 |
| MVC | N48.764 W70.55 | 1187.3 | -16.1/17.5 | | 52.8 ±12 | 14.6 | 49.8 | | | 15-18 |
| SL97 | N48.874 W71.747 | 1061.4 | -11.7/18.2 | 1997 | 57.1 ±7 | 17.1 | 40 | 37.4 | 1.3 | 18-21 |
| SLC | N48.874 W71.475 | 1061.4 | -11.7/18.2 | | 50.2 ±7 | 15.5 | 34 | | | 18-21 |
| Mean for CT stand | | | | | 58 | 14.7 | 38.5 | 30.5 | 1.2 | |
| Mean for C stands | | | | | 55 | 15.6 | 38.9 | | | |

TY = Thinning Year, Dbh = Diameter at breast high, G = basal area, RES = residual stem, THI = thinned stem.

Grey line = control, ± = standard deviation

Rapport-gratuit.com
LE NUMERO 1 MONDIAL DU MÉMOIRES

**Table 3.2:** Stands volume (V) and average volume per stem (AVS) at thinning year (TY) and 10 years after treatment (f).

| Stands | $G_{TY}$ ($m^2$/ha) | G Thinned (%) | $V_{TY}$ ($m^3$/ha) | Vf ($m^3$/ha) | $AVS_{TY}$ ($m^3$) | $AVS_f$ ($m^3$) | Stand volume increment (%) (only RES stems) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| HEB95 | 29 | 20 | 84 | 70 | 0.073 | 0.10 | 107.8 |
| HEB96-1 | 24 | 10 | 219 | 204 | 0.195 | 0.22 | 103.2 |
| HEB96-2 | 41 | 32 | 248 | 182 | 0.14 | 0.15 | 109.3 |
| HEBC | 48 | | 285 | 306 | 0.127 | 0.14 | 107.3 |
| LB95 | 33 | 13 | 205 | 188 | 0.134 | 0.16 | 107.1 |
| LBC | 17 | | 96 | 110 | 0.101 | 0.12 | 114.5 |
| LC96 | 45 | 4 | 272 | 180 | 0.125 | 0.19 | 114.1 |
| LCC | 35 | | 229 | 237 | 0.255 | 0.26 | 103.5 |
| LJ96 | 42 | 53 | 240 | 125 | 0.084 | 0.08 | 118.0 |
| LJC | 49 | | 284 | 298 | 0.073 | 0.08 | 105.1 |
| MV95 | 40 | 40 | 191 | 125 | 0.079 | 0.11 | 109.4 |
| MV96 | 33 | 31 | 157 | 120 | 0.068 | 0.10 | 114.0 |
| MVC | 50 | | 269 | 280 | 0.098 | 0.10 | 104.4 |
| SL97 | 40 | 37 | 264 | 184 | 0.162 | 0.22 | 108.9 |
| SLC | 34 | | 218 | 225 | 0.14 | 0.15 | 103.3 |
| Mean for CT stands | 36.4 | 30,5 | 209 | 153 | 0.12 | 0.15 | 110.2 |
| Mean for C stands | 38.9 | | 230 | 243 | 0.13 | 0.14 | 106.3 |

CT = Commercial Thinning, C = controls, G = basal area.

Grey line = control

**Table 3.3:** Selected trees characteristics and environment

| Sites | Number of selected trees | Mean of selected trees Dbh | Mean of selected trees H | Average number of alive competitors | Mean of alive competitors Dbh | Average Number of stumps competitors | Mean of stumps competitors Dbh |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| HEB95 | 6 | 17.1±3.4 | 12.5±1.4 | 1.5±1.5 | 13.4±1.8 | 1.3±1 | 11.6±3.7 |
| HEB96-1 | 6 | 17.2±3.1 | 14.4±2.1 | 2.2±1 | 19.7±2.7 | 0.7±1.2 | 18.8±2.5 |
| HEB96-2 | 6 | 19.4±3.2 | 16.3±2.3 | 2.7±0.8 | 15.2±2.1 | 0.8±0.4 | 18.3±6.2 |
| HEBC | 3 | 18.9±4.5 | 16±2.3 | 3.3±1.2 | 14.4±3.2 | 0 | 0 |
| LB95 | 6 | 16.7±2.9 | 16.1±2.6 | 3±2.5 | 17.5±1.1 | 1.7±1.2 | 18±4.6 |
| LBC | 3 | 16.9±6.1 | 14.1±3.6 | 2.7±0.6 | 16.5±0.8 | 0 | 0 |
| LC96 | 6 | 22.5±5.6 | 18.2±1.9 | 1.8±0.8 | 19.2±2.2 | 2.5±2.2 | 11.2±0.7 |
| LCC | 3 | 17.9±3.4 | 16.6±0.6 | 2.3±1.2 | 23.4±2 | 0 | 0 |
| LJ96 | 6 | 12.3±2 | 13.2±0.9 | 2.2±0.8 | 13.2±0.8 | 1.7±1.2 | 12.8±2.9 |
| LJC | 3 | 12.2±2.4 | 14±1.6 | 6±1.7 | 11.1±0.6 | 0 | 0 |
| MV95 | 6 | 15.1±3.6 | 13±1.7 | 2.5±1.6 | 15.8±1 | 0.7±0.8 | 12.7±1.2 |
| MV96 | 5 | 14.4±1.6 | 12.7±0.7 | 2±1.6 | 15±1.1 | 1.6±1.8 | 9.8±0.2 |
| MVC | 3 | 16.2±1.6 | 12.7±0.7 | 2.3±2.1 | 12.1±1.3 | 0 | 0 |
| SL97 | 6 | 17±3.2 | 16±1.5 | 1.8±1 | 25.2±7.3 | 0.8±1.3 | 15±1.7 |
| SLC | 3 | 14.4±3.5 | 13.9±2.7 | 3.7±2.1 | 11.5±2.4 | 0 | 0 |
| Mean for CT stands | 6 | 16.9±1.2 | 14.7±0.5 | 2.2±0.6 | 17.2±2 | 1.3±0.5 | 14.2±1.9 |
| Mean for C stands | 3 | 16.1±3.6 | 14.5±1.9 | 3.4±1.5 | 14.8±1.7 | 0 | 0 |

Dbh = Diameter at breast high, H = high

Grey line = Control stands, ± = standard deviation

**Table 3.4:** Effects from A) the analysis of variance (ANOVA) for $V_{10}$, and B) the analysis of variance (ANOVA) for volume increment by stand.

| Source | DF | DfDen | F Ratio | Prob > F |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| A) ANOVA $V_{10}$ | | | | |
| Blocks | 5 | 8.801 | 0.6019 | 0.7009 |
| Treatment | 1 | 12.96 | 4.9633 | 0.0442* |
| B) ANOVA Volume increment by stand | | | | |
| Blocks | 5 | 4.628 | 0.3432 | 0.8662 |
| Treatment | 1 | 4.734 | 2.3930 | 0.1858 |

**Table 3.5**: $R^2$, $p$ and correlation coefficients ($a$) between A) $V_{10}$ (decennial volume increment, %) and selected trees characteristics and competitors (alive and stumps) characteristics; and B) individual tree volume growth ($V_{cum}$) and selected trees characteristics and competitors (alive and stumps) characteristics.

| $n = 71$ | A) $V_{10}$ | | | B) $V_{cum}$ | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | $R^2$ | $a$ | $p$ | $R^2$ | $a$ | $p$ |
| Selected tree Dbh | 0.001 | -0.276 | 0.786 | 0.051 | 1.155 | 0.0589 |
| Number of competitors | 0.062 | -5.41 | 0.0383* | 1,639e-5 | 0.053 | 0.9735 |
| Mean of competitors Dbh | 0.055 | -1.48 | 0.0669 | 0.009 | -0.455 | 0.4526 |
| Number of stumps | 0.067 | 6.74 | 0.03* | 0.026 | 2.253 | 0.1835 |
| Mean of stumps Dsh | 0.002 | -0.32 | 0.796 | 0.061 | -0.985 | 0.1599 |
| G thinned (%) | 0.1 | 0.63 | 0.0062* | 0.078 | 0.33 | 0.0186* |
| Density after thinning | 0.05 | -0.83 | 0.0709 | 1.92e-5 | -0.010 | 0.9712 |

***Significant at $p < 0.05$**




**Figure 3.1**: Stem distribution (%) following Dbh class (cm). Stems from control (white) and thinned (black) stands are represented as well as stumps from thinned (grey) stands.




**Figure 3.2:** Decennial volume increment, $V_{10}$ (%), by block. Comparison between thinned (black) and control (white) stands.




**Figure 3.3:** Stand volume increment (%), by block. Comparison between thinned (black) and control (white) stands.

# CHAPITRE 4

## HOW DOES COMMERCIAL THINNING INFLUENCE PROFILE SHAPE IN PICEA MARIANA: A CASE-STUDY IN QUÉBEC'S BOREAL FOREST.

Manon VINCENT*, Cornelia KRAUSE, Ahmed KOUBAA.

*Département des sciences fondamentales, Université du Québec à Chicoutimi, 555 boul. de l'Université, Chicoutimi (Québec), CANADA, G7H 2B1.*

**(Article soumis dans *Scandinavian Journal of Forest research*, 2010)**

**Keywords:** *Commercial thinning, black spruce, taper, stem shape, radial growth.*

Rapport-Gratuit.com

# CHAPITRE 4

# HOW DOES COMMERCIAL THINNING INFLUENCE PROFILE SHAPE IN PICEA MARIANA: A CASE-STUDY IN QUÉBEC'S BOREAL FOREST.

## 4.1. ABSTRACT

Based on 10 thinned stands and their controls, this paper appraised the impacts of commercial thinning on stem shape of black spruce (*Picea mariana* (Mill.) B.). A statistical mixed model was developed to describe growth variations up the stem depending on treatment and shape variations 10 years after treatment. Three parameters were examined in this paper, growth location along the stem, taper and stem shape after thinning and compared with the control. The study showed that commercial thinning led to a radial growth increment in the lower part of the stem. On the contrary, control trees had higher radial growth at the top. Growth increment in thinned trees appears to occur at the expense of natural radial growth in the upper part of the stem. However, no significant variation in taper or stem shape was noticed. More precisely, stem shape seems to be mainly affected by living crown height and tree height whereas taper seems to be affected by competition and diameter at breast height. These results support the theory of an increase in timber volume after thinning.

## 4.2. INTRODUCTION

The boreal forest covers much of the landmass of the northern hemisphere and stores most of the global carbon stock (Melillo *et al.*, 1993; Dixon *et al.*, 1994). In Eastern Canada, black spruce (*Picea mariana* (Mill.) B.) is one of the most widespread commercial and reforested species (Parent and Fortin, 2008; Zhang and Koubaa, 2009). The traditional harvesting method in black spruce stands is clear-cutting but society's expectations from forest management in the boreal forest have evolved to more diversified goals. Foresters face such challenges such as protecting biodiversity and environmental quality, maintaining recreational activities and procuring renewable material (Côté and Bouthillier, 1999). In Quebec, the reduced land base for fiber production (Coulombe *et al.*, 2004), coupled with increasing global competition in the forest industry, has led to the development of new strategies to optimize timber growth without removing the entire canopy (Côté and Bouthillier, 1999). Partial cutting such as thinning may be a sound choice to attain sustainable development within global market constraints while achieving maximum value (Zhang *et al.*, 2006; Thorpe and Thomas, 2007; Thorpe *et al.*, 2007). The impacts of silvicultural treatents such as commercial (CT) or pre-commercial (PCT) thinning have already been studied for different species, including jack pine (*Pinus banksiana* Lamb.) (Bella and De Franceshi, 1974; Schneider *et al.*, 2008), Norway spruce (*Picea abies* (L.) Karst.) and Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris* L.) (Mäkinen and Isomäki, 2004a, b). Studies point out that thinning influences not only tree growth, but also stem shape (Barbour *et al.*, 1992; Viens, 2001; Koga *et al.*, 2002), and wood properties (Petrás, 2002; Raulier *et al.*, 2003; Zhang *et al.*, 2006). According to Tasissa and Burkhart (1998), thinning modifies stem shape on the lower bole on Loblolly

Rapport-gratuit.com
LE NUMERO 1 MONDIAL DU MÉMOIRES

pine (*Pinus taeda* L.). On Scots pine, stand density after thinning has a significant effect on stem shape (Ulvcrona *et al.*, 2007).

For the timber industry, stem shape is an important quality attribute under several aspects. It can be used to predict wood volume (Garber and Maguire 2003, Newnham 1988, Kozak, 1988) and lumber yield (Barbour *et al.*, 1994; Zhang *et al.*, 2006). Indeed, two stems having the same volume with different tapers will produce two different lumber volumes. Forester require thus more accurate volume information and many attempts have been made to improve stem shape equations over the last century and thereby reduce the need for destructive sampling (Forslund, 1991; Bouillet and Lefevre, 1996; Lejeune, 2004; Sharma and Zhang, 2004).

Stem shape equations for black spruce have been recently developped by Sharma and Zhang (2004) but still few attempts have been made to determine which factors affected stem shape after thinning.

This study was undertaken to test the hypothesis that thinning treatments induce a change in radial growth along the stem. This variation may lead to a change of taper and stem shape. In this context stem shape refers to the geometric shape of the stem. Stem shape is assumed to be a neiloid in the lower bole area, a paraboloid in the middle and a cone in the living crown (Newnham, 1988). Taper will refers to the rate of decrease in diameter with increasing height up the stem (Newnham, 1992). The main objective of the study is therefore to evaluate the impact of commercial thinning on stem shape and stem taper in natural black spruce stands. A second objective is to examine factors influencing thinning impact on stem taper.

## 4.3. MATERIAL AND METHODS

*4.3.1. Study area*

This study was based on 10 commercially thinned and control stands in the boreal forest of Quebec, Canada. Stands had to be accessible by truck and located close to the trails in order to carry field material and samples on foot. As thinning is still a recent silvicultural treatment applied to natural black spruce stands, no standard methods have yet been developed and no information was available about thinning types and techniques. The main information we have is the data collected and analyzed during field work. Stands were selected according to two main criteria: the thinning treatment was performed 10–12 years before sampling, and thinning was performed in naturally regenerated unmanaged natural black spruce stands (Table 4.1). Whenever possible, a nearby unthinned natural black spruce stand with similar characteristics was selected as a control (Table 4.1). Control stands were selected mainly for stand age and location close to a thinned stand. In two instances where all stands had the same environmental characteristics, the same control stand was used for comparison with more than one nearby thinned stand. Latitudes ranged from 47.9°N to 49°N, longitudes from 70.5°W to 72.7°W and altitude from 210 to 671m (Table 4.1, Figure 4.1). The region is characterized by cold winter temperatures and a short growing season. Over the past 30 years, the average minimum temperature in the region was -18.4 °C during the coldest month and the average maximum temperature 17.9 °C during the warmest month. Average annual precipitation varies from 920 cm to 1187 cm in the studied stands (Environment Canada, 2008). The mean age of stands at treatment varied from 47.8 years to 99.1 years. Basal area density, evaluated by total number of trees within a 20 x 20 m quadrat, was between 800 and 3900 trees $ha^{-1}$ (Table 4.1). The herbaceous and moss

layers were mainly composed of: *Pleurozium schreberi* (Brid.) Mitt., *polytrichum* sp., *Ptilium crista-castrensis* (Hedw.) De Not., *Ledum groenlandicum* Oeder, *Vaccinium angustifolium* Ait. and *Kalmia angustifolia* L..

*4.3.2. Sampling*

A 20x20 quadrat comprising at least 35 black spruce trees (diameter at breast height > 9 cm) was selected in each stand. Total tree height (H), diameter at breast height (Dbh), diameter at stump height (Dsh) and stem height at the lowest living branch were measured for each tree in the quadrat. At one site, LJC, only 25 trees were selected because of environmental constraints (Table 4.1). Tree positions within the quadrat were recorded using a measuring tape and plotted onto a map. Stump circumferences and their positions within the quadrat were also determined.

Six black spruce trees in each thinned stand and three in control stands were randomly selected and felled, for a total of 72 trees harvested for stem analysis (one tree was excluded due handling errors in the laboratory). Stems were cut at every meter, starting at ground level and moving up the crown. Each sample disk was dried and sanded. Tree ring widths were measured from four perpendicular radii using Mac Henson and WinDendro software (Guay *et al.*, 1992) and cross-dated (Krause *et al.*, 2003). Dating of rings was checked with the Cofecha program (Holmes, 1983).

Stem analysis was conducted by running the computer program WinStem™, which allows the data produced by the WinDendro file to be visualized.

WinStem™ produced the mean radius, diameter and area for all stem disks as well as tree height and volume as a function of age by using Carmean's method (1972). Radius for each year and top and bottom of each section were obtained by summing the ring widths up to that year. The height was then estimated at each age, using the annual

ring width measurements. In this way, the radii and lengths were reconstructed for each tree at each age. Cumulative radius, diameter, basal area and height over time were also calculated annually for each tree (Krause *et al.,* 2009).

*4.3.3. Radial growth and relative height*

To compare trees of different height and size, relative height and growth values were used following Assman's methodology (Assman, 1970 in Viens, 2001). The relative height ($y$) of all selected trees was calculated as the ratio between $h$ and H, where h is the height where the measurements have been taken and $H$ the total height (equation 2),

$$y = \frac{h}{H} \ . \qquad (2)$$

Relative growth ($\alpha_{rel}$) was expressed based on $y$, for the 10 years before (equation 3) and the 10 years after treatment (equation 4).

$$\alpha_{rel-before} = \frac{\sum_{t=-9}^{t=0} \alpha_y}{\sum_{t=-9}^{0} \alpha_{1.3/H}}, \qquad (3)$$

$$\alpha_{rel-after} = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{t=10} \alpha_y}{\sum_{t=-9}^{t=0} \alpha_{1.3/H}}, \qquad (4)$$

where $t$ is the time (year, t = 0 at thinning year) and $\alpha$ the radial growth (mm) at the relative height $y$; $H$ is the total height. $\alpha_{rel}$ was measured for every disc taken along the stem.

For statistical analyses the ratio $\gamma$ between $\alpha_{rel\text{-}after}$ and $\alpha_{rel\text{-}before}$ was calculated.

*4.3.4. Stem shape and taper calculation*

A common approach to study stem shape is to assume a tree is made of three segments with form being constant within a segment and different between them. Each segment is described by a continuous function with an exponent. The limit between segments is commonly called the inflexion point. Different studies have suggested that

the inflexion point ranges from 20 to 25% of total height from the ground (Demaershalk and Kozak, 1977; Perez *et al.*, 1990) and that its relative height is relatively constant within a species regardless of tree size (Kozak, 1988). Thus, to calculate stem shape characteristics, stem diameters were taken at two relative positions along the bole following Morris and Forslund (1992): one located at 0.2 and the other at 0.7 of the total height. These positions were fixed for the tree height at the time of harvest.

Taper was calculated by determining the change in stem diameter divided by the length of the stem between the two diameter measurements (Morris and Forslund, 1992), (5).

$$T = \frac{D_{y=0.2} - D_{y=0.7}}{L}, \qquad (5)$$

stem shape was calculated using the equation suggested by Forslund (1991) (6)

$$A_{SEC} = \frac{\ln((1-0.2)/(1-0.7))}{\ln(D_{y=0.2}/D_{y=0.7})}, \qquad (6)$$

where T is the taper and $A_{SEC}$, stem shape. $D_y$ is diameter at relative height $y$ and $L$ the length between the two relative heights. Profile shape is defined as the geometrical shape of the stem profile between the two diameter measurements and is quantified by the exponent A in the power function. Stem shape values equaling 2 represent paraboloids, whereas values of 1 represent cones (Morris and Forslund, 1992).

Taper and stem shape ($A_{SEC}$) have been calculated for every ten years prior to treatment and every ten years following it.

*4.3.5. Other parameters influencing taper and stem form*

The last part of this work identified the various factors affecting taper and profile shape. Simple regression tests were conducted between taper and stem shape ($A_{SEC}$) and selected variables, such as Competition Index (CI), diameter at breast height (Dbh), tree

height (H), crown length (Ch), tree age, thinning density (Td) and stand density after thinning (d).

According to Mailly *et al.* (2003), the competition index (CI) for each tree within a quadrat was calculated using Hegyi's diameter-distance CI in equation (7):

$$\mathrm{CI} = \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\frac{D_j}{D_i} \times \frac{1}{DIST_{ij}}\right), \qquad (7)$$

where $D_i$ is the Dbh of subject tree $i$; $D_j$ is Dbh of the competitor tree $j$; $DIST_{ij}$ is the distance between subject tree $i$ and competitor $j$.

Two different Hegyi's CI types were calculated: (1) the stump competition index ($CI_S$) and (2) competition index at thinning year ($CI_{TY}$) of the remaining trees. $CI_S$ characterizes the influence of tree harvesting on the growth of remaining trees, whereas $CI_{TY}$ illustrates the competition among remaining trees after thinning. $CI_{TY}$ was calculated using data collected during field work and then corrected after dendrochronological analysis to fit the thinning year.

*4.3.6. Statistical analysis*

Data were compiled by multifactor analysis of variance (ANOVA) using restricted maximum likelihood (mixed model). A 7-block unbalanced design was used for radial growth increment, with relative height at the main plot level and treatment (thinning/control) as subplots. Trees were nested inside blocks and blocks were a random effect factor. The same type of model has been used to study taper and stem shape variation with time at main plot level and treatment at subplot. Post-hoc one factor ANOVAs on data were used to extract the evolution of radial growth increment along the time-release treatment. This methodology allowed variability between blocks inherent to

such an important design to be taken into account. Nevertheless, it induces a statistical Type I error that may be corrected with the Bonferroni procedure. Thus significance level to control Type I error rates is adjusted and each comparison is tested at $\alpha/c$ where $\alpha$ is the nominated significance level and $c$ is the number of comparisons in the family (Quinn and Keough, 2002).

A multiple regression model was performed to determine which variable has the most influence on taper and stem shape after thinning. ANOVA analyses were performed using JMP software (SAS Institute Inc. Cary, NC) with a 95% confidence level.

## 4.4. Results

### *4.4.1. Radial growth response along stem, taper and stem shape*

Decadall relative radial growth ($\alpha_{rel}$) was compared between controls and thinned stands following trees relative height (Figure 4.2). According to figure 4.2A, it appears that $\alpha_{rel}$ increased slightly after thinning. This increase is not observed for control stands (Figure 4.2B). $\alpha_{rel}$ before treatment is similar for thinned and control stands (Figure 4.2C), but an increase occurred after treatment in the lower part of the stem for thinned stands (Figure 4.2D). Control stands presented a growth increment at the top of the stem after the thinning year (Figure 4.2D).

Thinning leads to a significantly different variation of relative growth increment ($\gamma$) along the stem ($p < 0.0001$ for interaction treatment*hr, Table 4.2, Figure 4.3). Beyond 0.6 relative height there is no significant difference of $\gamma$ due to treatment (Figure 4.3).

Figure 4.4 shows relative diameter variations up the stem during four 5-year periods. Very little difference between thinned and control stands is observed. Growth increase occurs mainly during the second 5-year period after treatment, first at the top of

the stem. Despite these qualitative observations, there is no significant variation over time of stem shape ($A_{SEC}$) and taper due to the treatment (Table 4.3). However, thinned trees showed a higher $A_{SEC}$ than controls. On the contrary, taper is significantly higher for controls than thinned trees (Table 4.3).

### *4.4.2. Parameters influencing taper and stem shape*

When all independent variables were computed separately, only height (H), crown length (Ch) and Dbh showed a significant correlation with $A_{SEC}$. Yet, fitting H and Ch using the stepwise forward procedure resulted in a significant model ($p = 0.004$, Table 4.4A) explaining approximately 14% of the $A_{SEC}$ variance. Trees with a short living crown showed higher $A_{SEC}$ (paraboloidal shape). From the regressions results, $CI_{TY}$, $CI_S$ and Dbh are correlated with taper variation. When combined in a multiple linear regression using stepwise forward procedure, $CI_{TY}$, Dbh, H and Td explained about 25% ($p = 0.0002$, Table 4.4B) of taper variance. H, $CI_{TY}$ and Dbh contributed to the taper variation in the model (Table 4.4B).

## 4.5. Discussion

It is recognized for different species that commercial thinning leads to an increase in the radial growth of residual stems (Bella and De Franceschi, 1974; Aussenac and Granier, 1988; Pape, 1999; Mäkinen and Isomäki, 2004b, c; Vincent *et al.*, 2009), but this study showed that radial growth increment occurred primarily in the lower part of stem. Since stem-form theories were first proposed (Larson, 1963), it has been admitted that every silvicultural practice resulting in an alteration in growth is reflected by a change in stem shape. Different authors suggested that the pattern of wood deposition along the stem was affected by a variation in crown size, which in turn was affected by tree

Rapport-Gratuit.com

characteristics, and stand conditions, particularly stand density (Larson, 1963; Tasissa and Burkhart, 1998; Sharma and Zhang, 2004). However, in this study, this growth variation did not lead to a significant change of taper or stem shape 10 years after thinning. Similar results were found by Viens (2001) for jack pine and by Bouillet and Lefevre (1996) who concluded that intensive and early thinning on khasi pine (*Pinus kesiya* Royle ex Gordon) did not induce form variation along the stem. Tong and Zhang (2005), studying pre-commercial thinning effects on jack pine stands, observed that pre-commercial thinning had little effect on diameter growth at the top of tree. For shade-tolerant species such as black spruce, opening the canopy may not necessarily induce an effect on branch development, and by the way on taper or stem shape.

On the other hand, analyzing growth differences along the stem (Figure 4.2) may be done in two different ways: growth variation before and after treatment, and growth variation between control and residual trees after thinning. Observation of Figure 4.2, showed that the difference of growth for residual trees after thinning, is mainly located at the base of the stem and at the top of the stem. Thus, the part of the bole studied for taper and stem shape variations is not affected by growth variation, which may explain that no-significant difference of taper and stem shape variation is observed through time. Comparison of growth location between control and residual trees after thinning underlined that radial growth of residual trees was higher than control trees only below 0.7 total height. This does not imply a change in taper and stem shape. Indeed, radial increment due to treatment appears to be equally distributed in this part of the stem.

Within-stand variability may also explain the lack of significant change of taper and stem shape between control and residual trees after thinning. Indeed, certain

individual trees responded to thinning by an increase in taper but this was not observed for the whole stand. This may be explained by the different tree classes within a stand. In general, dominant trees have the highest taper and very cylindrical suppressed trees frequently increase in basal area growth following release (Larson, 1963).

Different results were obtained by Tasissa's team (Tasissa *et al.*, 1997; Tasissa and Burkhart, 1998), working on loblolly pine reforested cutovers, after second thinning. These authors observed a significant impact of treatment on stem characteristics. Nevertheless, they suggested that variations observed 12 years after treatment could decrease with time. In this study, stand age may explain the response observed in taper and stem shape variations. Indeed, it is recognized that the bole becomes more cylindrical with tree age, thus with advancing stand age tree form becomes more stable and changes in taper that may have occurred after thinning appears lower. This is why studies on pre-commercial thinning impact on stem taper and profile found significant results a few years after treatment (Morris *et al.*, 1994), contrarily to commercial thinning studies. The experimental design studied here is the first established in natural black spruce stands. It should be noted that thinning was done particularly late during the trees' growth cycle. But the timing of the intervention strongly determines the thinning success. A late first thinning may compromise thinning response (Dean and Baldwin, 1993; Petrás, 2002; Thiffault *et al.*, 2003). To be well applied, the results obtained will thus have to take this parameter into account.

The results also demonstrated that individual characteristics influenced stem taper and shape. Taper was thus accentuated on trees with higher Dbh and lower $CI_{TY}$ whereas

Rapport-gratuit.com
LE NUMERO 1 MONDIAL DU MÉMOIRES

the tallest trees with small living crown presented a cylindrical shape. This result confirms that dominant trees may have higher taper than suppressed ones.

Within a yield prediction context, it is essential to define how to take these effects into account. Many authors tested different parameters in different models. Burkhart and Walton (1985) examined the effect of incorporating crown ratio into a segmented-polynomial taper equation for loblolly pine, while Muhairwe *et al.* (1994) studied the effects of adding crown class, crown ratio, site class, Dbh and age to Kozak's variable-exponent taper equation for Douglas fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii* (Mirb.) Franco), western red cedar (*Thuja plicata* Donn), aspen (*Populus tremuloides* Michx.) and lodgepole pine. Both studies found that adding these stand variables to the taper equations did not significantly improve prediction accuracy. The efforts made to improve diameter prediction by incorporating stand level factors into Tasissa and Burkhart's (1998) study also obtained limited success. Furthermore, individual tree characteristics may also influence within stand thinning results (Vincent *et al.*, 2009). It thus seems more judicious to use these characteristics as selection criteria for choosing thinned trees rather than using them as model predictors. Larson (1963) remarked that even if stem taper generally increases with increasing thinning intensity, the disparities are more apparent than real and can be traced to differences in experimental methods and interpretation of results. This comment is particularly relevant in experimental cases or silvicultural trials such as those investigated in this study.

Because the thinning treatment did not accentuate taper or conical stem shape, we may suppose that economic loss during sawmilling will be avoided. On the contrary, the merchantable part of the stem increased significantly at the expense of its upper part.

Nevertheless, the lower and upper stem sections can be used for by-products (biomass, pulp, millwork, etc; Burkhart and Bredenkamp, 1989; Bouillet and Lefevre, 1996). A great advantage in increasing individual tree size, over and above improving harvesting efficiency, is the opportunity to produce different products, thereby increasing market flexibility (Morris *et al.*, 1994). Commercial thinning may allow a shift from a pulpwood market to providing a mixture of saw log and round wood material, and prove to be economically beneficial (Morris *et al.*, 1994). Another advantage from the increase of individual tree size is the decrease of wane, a processing defect often responsible for downgrading lumber (Zhang *et al.*, 2006).

## 4.6. CONCLUSION

The study hypothesis is partially supported since, while commercial thinning significantly increased radial growth in the lower part of stem, profile shape and taper were not significantly affected by the thinning treatment.

Nevertheless, taper and stem shape variations haven been analyzed only on the main commercial section of the stem, i.e. that used for saw logs. Further studies are necessary to throw light on the economic returns from commercial thinning in natural black spruce stands. Moreover, these results reflect the reaction of slow-growing trees under boreal climate conditions and growth rate may influence thinning effect.

## 4.7. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank Mireille Boulianne for lab assistance; Pierre-Luc Dessurault, Simon Gaboury, Veronique Leclerc, and Marc Mazerolle, for statistical advice and helpful discussions; and Maxime Blackburn, Mireille Boulianne, Charles-Augustin Déry-Bouchard, Audrey Lemay, Boris Luszcynski, Émilie Pamerleau, Pierre-

Yves Plourde, Germain Savard and Marc-André Valiquette for field assistance. Funding was provided by FQRNT (2007-FO-118063) through a research grant. The Consortium de Recherche sur la Forêt Boréale Commerciale provided the additional support.

## 4.8. References

Aussenac, G., Granier, A., 1988. Effects of thinning on water stress and growth in Douglas-fir. Can. J. For. Res. 18, 100-105.

Barbour, R.J., Bailey, R.E., Cook, J.A., 1992. Evaluation of relative density, diameter growth, and stem form in a red spruce (*Picea rubens*) stand 15 years after precommercial thinning. Can. J. For. Res. 22, 229-238.

Barbour, R.J., Fayle, D.C.F., Chauret, G., Cook, J., Karsh, M.B., Ran, S.K., 1994. Breast-height relative density and radial growth in mature jack pine (Pinus banksiana) for 38 years after thinning. Can. J. For. Res. 24, 2439-2447.

Bella, I.E., De Franceschi, J.P., 1974. Commercial Thinning Improves Growth of Jack Pine. In: Northern-Forest-Research-Centre (Ed.), Information Report NOR-X-112. Canadian Forestry Service Edmonton, 23p.

Bouillet, J.P., Lefevre, M., 1996. Influence des éclaircies sur la forme du tronc de *Pinus kesiya*. Bois For. Trop. 248, 17-30.

Burkhart, H.-E., Bredenkamp, B.-V., 1989. Product-class proportions for thinned and unthinned loblolly pine plantations. South. J. Appl. For. 13, 192-195.

Burkhart, H.E., Walton, S.B., 1985. Incorporating Crown Ratio Into Taper Equations for Loblolly-pine Trees. For. Sci. 31, 478-484.

Carmean, W.H., 1972. Site Index Curves for Upland Oaks in the Central States. For. Sci. 18, 109-120.

Côté, M.A., Bouthillier, L., 1999. Analysis of the relationship among stakeholders affected by sustainable forest management and forest certification. Forest. Chron. 75, 961-965.

Coulombe, G., Huot, J., Arsenault, J., Bauce, E., Bernard, J.-T., Bouchard, B., Liboiron, M.-A., Szaraz, G., 2004. Commission d'étude sur la gestion de la forêt publique québécquoise. In: CEFPQ (Ed.), Québec, p. 314.

Dean, T.J., Baldwin, V.C., 1993. Using a Density-Management Diagram to Develop Thinning Schedules For Loblolly-Pine Plantations. USDA Forest Service Southern Forest Experiment Station Research Paper 275, 12p.

Demaerschalk, J.P., Kozak, A., 1977. Whole-Bole System - Conditionned Dual-Equation System for Precise Prediction of Tree Profiles. Can. J. For. Res. 7, 488-497.

Dixon, R.K., Brown, S., Houghton, R.A., Solomon, A.M., Trexler, M.C., Wisniewski, J., 1994. Carbon Pools and Flux of Global Forest Ecosystems. Science 263, 185-190.

Environment Canada, 2008. Canadian Daily Climate Data (CDCD). In. National Climate Data and Information Archive.

Forslund, R.R., 1991. The power function as a simple stem profile examination tool. Can. J. For. Res. 21, 193-198.

Garber, S.M., and D.A. Maguire. 2003. Modeling stem taper of three central Oregon species using nonlinear mixed effects models and autoregressive error structures. For. Ecol. Manag. 179(1-3), 507-522

Guay, R., Gagnon, R., Morin, H., 1992. A new automatic and interactive tree ring measurement system based on a line scan camera. Forest. Chron. 68, 138-141.

Holmes, R.L., 1983. Computer assisted quality control in tree-ring dating and measurement. Tree-Ring Bulletin 43, 69-78.

Koga, S., Zhang, S.Y., Bégin, J., 2002. Effects of precommercial thinning on annual radial growth and wood density in balsam fir (*Abies balsamea*). Wood Fiber Sci. 34, 625-642.

Kozak, A., 1988. A Variable-Exponent Taper Equation. Can. J. For. Res. 18, 1363-1368.

Krause, C., Gionest, F., Morin, H., MacLean, D.A., 2003. Temporal relations between defoliation caused by spruce budworm (*Choristoneura fumiferana* Clem.) and growth of balsam fir (*Abies balsamea* (L.) Mill.). Dendrochronologia 21, 23-31.

Krause, C., Morin, H., Plourde, P.-Y., 2009. Juvenile growth of black spruce (*Picea mariana* [Mill.] BSP) stands established during endemic and epidemic attacks by spruce budworm (*Choristoneura fumiferana* [Clemens]) in the boreal forest of Quebec, Canada. The Forestry Chronicle 85, 267-276.

Larson, P.R., 1963. Stem Form development of Forest Trees. Forest Science — Monograph, 1-42.

Lejeune, G., 2004. Prédiction du défilement et de la branchaison de l'épinette noire. In, Sciences forestières. Laval, Québec, p. 44.

Mailly, D., Turbis, S., Pothier, D., 2003. Predicting basal area increment in a spatially explicit, individual tree model: a test of competition measures with black spruce. Can. J. For. Res. 33, 435-443.

Mäkinen, H., Isomäki, A., 2004a. Thinning intensity and growth of Norway spruce stands in Finland. Forestry 77, 349-364.

Mäkinen, H., Isomäki, A., 2004 b. Thinning intensity and growth of Scots pine stands in Finland. For. Ecol. Manag. 201, 311-325.

Mäkinen, H., Isomäki, A., 2004c. Thinning intensity and long-term changes in increment and stem form of Norway spruce trees. For. Ecol. Manag. 201, 295-309.

Melillo, J.M., McGuire, A.D., Kicklighter, D.W., Moore, B., Vorosmarty, C.J., Schloss, A.L., 1993. Global Climate-Change and Terrestrial Net Primary Production. Nature 363, 234-240.

Morris, D.M., Bowling, C., Hills, S.C., 1994. Growth and form responses to Pre-Commercial thinning regimes in aerially seeded jack pine stands - 5th year results. The Forestry Chronicle 70, 780-787.

Morris, D.M., Forslund, R.R., 1992. The relative importance of competition, microsite, and climate in controlling the stem taper and profile shape in jack pine. Can. J. For. Res. 22, 1999-2003.

Muhairwe, C.K., Lemay, V.M., Kozak, A., 1994. Effects of Adding Tree, Stand, and Site Variables to Kozaks Variable-Exponent Taper Equation. Can. J. For. Res. 24, 252-259.

Newnham, R.M., 1988. A Variable-Form Taper Function. In: Petawawa-National-Forestry-Institute (Ed.), Information report PI-X-83. Forestry Canada, Chalk River, Ontario, p. 33.

Newnham, R.M., 1992. Variable-Form Taper Functions for 4 Alberta Tree Species. Can. J. For. Res. 22, 210-223.

Pape, R., 1999. Influence of Thinning and Tree Diameter Class on the Development of Basic Density and Annual Ring Width in *Picea abies.* Scand. J. For. Res. 14, 27-37.

Parent, B., Fortin, C., 2008. Ressources et Industries Forestières — Portrait Statistique Édition 2008. In: MRNF (Ed.). Ministère des Ressources Naturelles et de la Faune-Direction du développement de l'industrie des produits forestiers, Québec, p. 513.

Perez, D.-N., Burkhart, H.-E., Stiff, C.-T., 1990. A variable-form taper function for Pinus oocarpa Schiede in central Honduras. For. Sci. 36, 186-191.

Petrás, R., 2002. Age and diameter classes or growth stages as criteria for the implementation of thinning. J. For. Sci. 48, 8-15.

Quinn, G.P., Keough, M.J., 2002. Experimental design and data analysis for biologists. Cambridge University Press, 537.

Raulier, F., Pothier, D., Bernier, P.Y., 2003. Predicting the effect of thinning on growth of dense balsam fir stands using a process-based tree growth model. Can. J. For. Res. 33, 509-520.

Schneider, R., Zhang, S.Y., Swift, D.E., Begin, J., Lussier, J.M., 2008. Predicting selected wood properties of jack pine following commercial thinning. Can. J. For. Res. 38, 2030-2043.

Sharma, M., Zhang, S.Y., 2004. Variable-exponent taper equations for jack pine, black spruce, and balsam fir in eastern Canada. For. Ecol. Manag. 198, 39-53.

Tasissa, G., Burkhart, H.E., 1998. An application of mixed effects analysis to modeling thinning effects on stem profile of loblolly pine. For. Ecol. Manag. 103, 87-101.

Tasissa, G., Burkhart, H.E., Amateis, R.L., 1997. Volume and taper equations for thinned and unthinned loblolly pine trees in cutover, site-prepared plantations. Southern-Journal-of-Applied-Forestry 21, 146-152.

Thiffault, N., Roy, V., Prégent, G., Cyr, G., Jobidon, R., Ménétrier, J., 2003. La sylviculture des plantations résineuses au Québec. Nat. Can. 127, 63-80.

Thorpe, H.C., Thomas, S.C., 2007. Partial harvesting in the Canadian boreal: Success will depend on stand dynamic responses. Forest. Chron. 83, 319-325.

Rapport-Gratuit.com

Thorpe, H.C., Thomas, S.C., Caspersen, J.P., 2007. Residual-tree growth responses to partial stand harvest in the black spruce (*Picea mariana*) boreal forest. Can. J. For. Res. 37, 1563-1571.

Tong, Q.J., Zhang, S.Y., 2005. Impact of initial spacing and precommercial thinning on jack pine tree growth and stem quality. Forest. Chron. 81, 418-428.

Ulvcrona, K.A., Claesson, S., Sahlén, K., T.Lundmark, 2007. The effects of timing of pre-commercial thinning and stand density on stem form and branch characteristics of *Pinus sylvestris.* Forestry 80, 324-335.

Viens, É., 2001. Effets de l'éclaircie commerciale sur la croissance et la forme de la tige du pin gris (*Pinus banksiana* Lamb.) en Abitibi, Québec. In, Ressources Renouvelables. Université du Québec à Chicoutimi, Mémoire de maîtrise, Chicoutimi, p. 63.

Vincent, M., Krause, C., Zhang, S., 2009. Radial growth response of black spruce roots and stems to commercial thinning in Boreal forest. Forestry 82, 557-571.

Zhang, S.Y., Chauret, G., Swift, E., Duchesne, I., 2006. Effects of precommercial thinning on tree growth and lumber quality in a jack pine stand in New Brunswick, Canada. Can. J. For. Res. 36, 945-952.

Zhang, S.Y., Koubaa, A., 2009. Les résineux de l'Est du Canada: Écologie forestière, caractéristiques, transformation et usages. In: FPInnovations (Ed.), Publication spéciale — SP-526E. FPInnovations-Forintek-division, Québec, pp. 1-28.

**Table 4.1:** Stands characteristics

| Block | Name (#)[1] | Location | Number of trees analysed | Treatment[2] | Thinning year | Density cut by thinning (trees/ha) | Residual density after thinning (trees/ha) | Stand age at thinning time (year) | Annual precipitation (mm) | Temperature | DBH (cm) | Height (m) | Crown length (m) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | HEB96-1 (1) | N48,315 W71,679 | 35 | CT | 96 | 133 | 667 | 58.7 ±9 | 992.9 | -12.1/17.9 °C | 20.6±4.1 | 14.3±2.9 | 9.7±2.8 |
| | HEBC (3) | N48,145 W71,589 | 43 | Ø | Ø | Ø | 2250 | 51.3 ±9 | 992.9 | -12.1/17.9 °C | 16.1±5.2 | 13.6±2.5 | 8.4±2.7 |
| 2 | HEB96-2 (2) | N48,279 W71,683 | 41 | CT | 96 | 600 | 1250 | 53.2 ±8 | 992.9 | -12.1/17.9 °C | 17.3±4 | 13.9±2.6 | 6.8±2 |
| | HEBC (3) | N48,145 W71,589 | 43 | Ø | Ø | Ø | 2250 | 51.3 ±9 | 992.9 | -12.1/17.9 °C | 16.1±5.2 | 13.6±2.5 | 8.4±2.7 |
| 3 | MM96 (4) | N48,054 W71,063 | 37 | CT | 96 | 550 | 2550 | 99.1 ±12 | 893.5 | -17.3/17.2 °C | 13.2±2.4 | 13.3±1.7 | 4.7±2.4 |
| 4 | MV96 (5) | N48,76 W70,551 | 43 | CT | 96 | 1550 | 1225 | 59.5 ±8 | 1187.3 | -16.1/17.5 °C | 16.2±2.4 | 12.3±1.4 | 7.7±1.8 |
| | MVC (7) | N48,764 W70,55 | 47 | Ø | Ø | Ø | 2750 | 52.8 ±12 | 1187.3 | -16.1/17.5 °C | 15±3.8 | 11.9±1.6 | 7.6±2.3 |
| 5 | MV95 (6) | N48,794 W70,544 | 46 | CT | 95 | 1425 | 1200 | 60.9 ±11 | 1187.3 | -16.1/17.5 °C | 16±3.1 | 12.2±2.5 | 6.3±1.3 |
| | MVC (7) | N48,764 W70,50 | 47 | Ø | Ø | Ø | 2750 | 52.8 ±12 | 1187.3 | -16.1/17.5 °C | 15±3.8 | 11.9±1.6 | 7.6±2.3 |
| 6 | HEB95 (8) | N47,887 W71,464 | 46 | CT | 95 | 825 | 1125 | 48.4 ±10 | 992.9 | -12.1/17.9 °C | 16.3±3.7 | 11.6±1.8 | 7±2.7 |
| | HEBC (3) | N48,145 W71,589 | 43 | Ø | Ø | Ø | 2250 | 51.3 ±9 | 992.9 | -12.1/17.9 °C | 16.1±5.2 | 13.6±2.5 | 8.4±2.7 |
| 7 | LB95 (9) | N48,033 W72,33 | 41 | CT | 95 | 675 | 1175 | 81.9 ±27 | 1012.7 | -16.8/17.3 °C | 17.5±3.2 | 15.3±2.4 | 8.3±2.6 |
| | LBC (10) | N48,032 W72,334 | 38 | Ø | Ø | Ø | 950 | 67.1 ±23 | 1012.7 | -16.8/17.3 °C | 15.2±4.4 | 12.9±3.5 | 8.2±3.8 |
| 8 | LC96 (11) | N48,143 W71,879 | 40 | CT | 96 | 1250 | 1050 | 56.3 ±6 | 1036.7 | -11.7/19.3 °C | 17.8±1.8 | 15.5±3.2 | 8.4±3.3 |
| | LCC (12) | N48,143 W71,878 | 32 | Ø | Ø | Ø | 1000 | 54.9 ±13 | 1036.7 | -11.7/19.3 °C | 21±4.8 | 16.6±2.7 | 8.7±4.6 |
| 9 | LJ96 (13) | N48,983 W72,738 | 39 | CT | 96 | 1250 | 1650 | 46.8 ±6 | 919.8 | -18.4/17.6 °C | 13.8±2.5 | 12.8±1.5 | 5.6±1.8 |
| | LJC (14) | N48,983 W72,741 | 25 | Ø | Ø | Ø | 3906 | 53.1 ±7 | 919.8 | -18.4/17.6 °C | 12.7±2.5 | 13.4±1.3 | 4.2±1.4 |
| 10 | SL97 (15) | N48,874 W71,747 | 33 | CT | 97 | 925 | 575 | 57.1 ±7 | 1061.4 | -11.7/18.2 °C | 19.7±4.7 | 16.6±2.4 | 8.4±2.6 |
| | SLC (16) | N48,874 W71,475 | 31 | Ø | Ø | Ø | 1289 | 50.2 ±7 | 1061.4 | -11.7/18.2 °C | 15.8±5.6 | 14.4±2.9 | 7.4±2.4 |
| **Mean for thinned stands** | | | 44 | | 96 | 918 | 1247 | 62 | 1028 | -14.4/17.9 | 16.8 | 13.8 | 7.3 |
| **Mean for control stands** | | | 36 | | | | 2024 | 55 | 1035 | -14.5/18 | 16 | 13.8 | 7.4 |

CT = Commercial Thinning,

Ø = Control,

± = standard deviation

**Table 4.2:** Effects from the analysis of variance (ANOVA) for log(γ), where γ is the radial growth increment (γ = mean growth before/mean growth after). Hr is relative height. Blocks and sites are random factors, sites are nested within blocks and trees are nested within sites.

Variant: log(γ)

| Source | DF | DFDen | F Ratio | Prob > F |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| block | 6 | 6.832 | 0.5438 | 0.7621 |
| hr | 9 | 61.56 | 10.7981 | <.0001* |
| treatment | 1 | 11.97 | 4.4170 | 0.0574 |
| treatment*hr | 9 | 61.12 | 7.7530 | <.0001* |

* Significant results. Significance control level adjusted following Bonferroni procedure. Each comparison is tested at $\alpha/c$ where $\alpha$ is the set significance level ($\alpha = 0.05$) and $c$ is the number of comparisons in the family ($c = 10$).

**Table 4.3:** Effects from the analysis of variance (ANOVA) for A) log($A_{SEC}$) and B) log(Taper). Blocks and sites are random factors, sites are nested within blocks and trees (#) are nested within sites.

A) **Variant : log($A_{sec}$)**

| Source | DF | DFDen | F Ratio | Prob > F |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| block | 6 | 12.4 | 1.1087 | 0.4105 |
| time | 19 | 5.13 | 67.7614 | <.0001 |
| treatment | 1 | 12.28 | 4.3360 | 0.0589 |
| treatment*time | 19 | 1 | 0.7112 | 0.7497 |

B) **Variant : log(Taper)**

| Source | DF | DFDen | F Ratio | Prob > F |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| block | 6 | 8.237 | 3.2528 | 0.0612 |
| time | 19 | 1444 | 12.6810 | <.0001 |
| treatment | 1 | 8.043 | 5.6945 | 0.0440 |
| treatment*time | 19 | 1444 | 0.1529 | 1.000 |

**Table 4.4:** Stepwise regression, whole model of multivariate regression resulting from the stepwise analysis, and independent variables for A) $A_{SEC}$ and B) Taper. Ch = Crown height, H = total height, Dbh = diameter at breast height and Td = Thinning density.

**A) Stepwise regression: $A_{SEC}$**

| Step | $R^2$ | Seq SS | P | ΔAIC | Variable added |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 0.0561 | 0.492758 | 0.0394 | 2.386 | Ch |
| 2 | 0.1403 | 0.740136 | 0.0092 | 5.103 | h |

Whole model

| Source | DF | Sum of Squares | Mean Square | F Ratio |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Model | 2 | 1.2212655 | 0.610633 | 5.9405 |
| Error | 74 | 7.6065362 | 0.102791 | Prob > F |
| C. Total | 76 | 8.8278017 | | 0.0040 |

Independent variable

| Term | Estimate | Std Error | t Ratio | Prob>\|t\| | Std Beta | VIF |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Intercept | 1.9412436 | 0.223196 | 8.70 | <.0001 | 0 | . |
| H | -0.035862 | 0.013598 | -2.64 | 0.0102 | -0.2852 | 1.0043644 |
| Ch | 0.0331529 | 0.013881 | 2.39 | 0.0195 | 0.258291 | 1.0043644 |

**B) Stepwise regression : Taper**

| Step | $R^2$ | Seq SS | P | ΔAIC | Variable added |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 0.1216 | 2.939e-5 | 0.0020 | 7.855 | $CI_{TY}$ |
| 2 | 0.1930 | 1.726e-5 | 0.0131 | 4.445 | Dbh |
| 3 | 0.2284 | 8.542e-6 | 0.0735 | 1.404 | h |
| 4 | 0.2536 | 6.095e-6 | 0.1258 | 0.526 | Td |

Whole model

| Source | DF | Sum of Squares | Mean Square | F Ratio |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Model | 4 | 0.00006542 | 0.000016 | 6.5163 |
| Error | 72 | 0.00018071 | 2.51e-6 | Prob > F |
| C. Total | 76 | 0.00024613 | | 0.0002 |

Independent variable

| Term | Estimate | Std Error | t Ratio | Prob>\|t\| | Std Beta | VIF |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Intercept | 0.0081866 | 0.001172 | 6.98 | <.0001 | 0 | . |
| $CI_{TY}$ | -0.000133 | 0.000105 | -1.27 | 0.2095 | -0.1493 | 1.3634998 |
| Dbh | 0.0002561 | 7.473e-5 | 3.43 | 0.0010 | 0.605296 | 3.0586947 |
| H | -0.000244 | 0.000108 | -2.26 | 0.0271 | -0.36753 | 2.6018528 |
| Td | -5.787e-7 | 3.537e-7 | -1.64 | 0.1061 | -0.17199 | 1.0833061 |

**Note:** Results from stepwise regression using the forward procedure with AIC as indicator, (ΔAIC = AIC before enter- AIC after enter) has to be positive to enter.




**Figure 4.1:** Map showing the locations of study stands around the St-Jean Lake, Quebec, Canada. Black squares = thinned stands, white squares = control stands.




**Figure 4.2:** Mean of relative radial growth (α) ten years before (solid line) and ten years after (dash line) the treatment along the bole for thinned (black) and control (grey) stands. Data have been transformed into relative values so are thus without units. A) α for thinned stands before and after treatment; B) α for control stands before and after treatment; C) α before treatment, comparison between thinned and control stands; D) α after treatment comparison between thinned and control stands.




**Figure 4.3**: Radial growth increment (γ) 10 years after thinning along relative height (rh) for thinned (dash line) and control (solid line) trees. Stars mean significant treatment*hr interactions. C = Control and CT = Commercial thinning.




**Figure 4.4**: Relative diameter ($D_{rel}$) along relative height (rh) for 4 five-year periods around thinning year. Arrows indicate the start of each five-year period: 10 years before thinning year, 5 years before thinning year, 5 years after thinning year and 10 years after thinning year. C = Control (dashed grey line) and CT = Commercial Thinning (black line)

# CHAPITRE 5

## VARIATION IN BLACK SPRUCE (*PICEA MARIANA* (MILL.) BSP) WOOD QUALITY AFTER THINNING

Manon VINCENT*, Cornelia KRAUSE, Ahmed KOUBAA.

*Département des sciences fondamentales, Université du Québec à Chicoutimi, 555 boul. de l'Université, Chicoutimi (Québec), CANADA, G7H 2B1.*

**(Article soumis dans *Annals of Forest Science*, 2010)**

**Keywords:** *black spruce; modulus of elasticity; ring density; wood quality; commercial thinning*

Rapport-Gratuit.com

# CHAPITRE 5

# VARIATION IN BLACK SPRUCE (*PICEA MARIANA* (MILL.) BSP) WOOD QUALITY AFTER THINNING

## 5.1. ABSTRACT

Growth rate, ring density and flexural modulus of elasticity (MOE) of trees may change after commercial thinning (CT). Seventy-one trees from nine thinned stands and controls were selected and harvested in Quebec, Canada. Static bending tests and X-ray densitometry were conducted along the first four meters of the stem. Despite a significant increase in ring width due to treatment ($p$ = 0.0001), annual variations in ring density were subtle. No significant variation in average ring density due to CT was observed ($p$ = 0.1977) after treatment, which may be explained by between-stand variability. Treatment showed no significant effect on flexural MOE over a ten-year period. Moreover, variability in average ring density along the stem with tree height was greater than that induced by the treatment. A significant decrease in ring density was observed up the stem (from 490kg.m$^{-3}$ to 463kg.m$^{-3}$ up to the fourth meter, $p$ = 0.0002). Because it induced increased growth without negative effects on mechanical wood properties, thinning is advisable for slowly growing naturally regenerated black spruce stands in the northern boreal region.

## 5.2. INTRODUCTION

The boreal forest biome covers much of the landmass of the northern hemisphere and contains most of the global carbon stock (Melillo *et al.*, 1993; Dixon *et al.*, 1994). Black spruce (*Picea mariana* (Mill.) BSP.) is one of the most widespread boreal tree species in Canada (Parent and Fortin, 2008; Zhang and Koubaa, 2009). Its excellent wood and fibre quality makes it highly valued for pulpwood and lumber production (Zhang and Koubaa, 2009). However, black spruce stems are relatively small in diameter, especially in northern areas, resulting in very low lumber volume recovery per stem volume (Pnevmaticos *et al.*, 1979). Increased light penetration to the forest floor after commercial thinning can raise the temperature of surface soil layers, thereby accelerating nitrogen mineralization (Thibodeau *et al.*, 2000) and increasing the light available to individual trees. This can contribute to increased short-term tree growth, and would be particularly beneficial in a cold climate, as in boreal regions (Pothier, 2002). Partial cutting through thinning could therefore be a sound choice for sustainable development within global market constraints while achieving maximum value (Zhang *et al.*, 2006).

Many studies have reported that commercial thinning (CT) regularized forest size and growth, increased saw timber availability, decreased rotation age and management costs, and enhanced stand value and quality (Prégent, 1998; Cameron, 2002; Petrás, 2002). However, forest product properties also depend strongly on wood characteristics such as ring density, basic density, modulus of elasticity (MOE) and microfibril angle (Downes *et al.*, 2002; Zhang *et al.*, 2005). More specifically, wood density has a major impact on the yield, quality and value of wood-based composites and solid wood products (Shi *et al.*, 2007). Both microfibril angle and intra-ring wood density variation

Rapport-gratuit.com
LE NUMERO 1 MONDIAL DU MÉMOIRES

determine a wood's suitability for specific end uses (Echols, 1972; Koubaa *et al.*, 2002). Numerous studies have investigated the relationship between growth rate and wood quality in many commercial species, with somewhat inconsistent results (Kellogg and Warren, 1984; Castéra *et al.*, 1996; Zhang *et al.*, 2002). Moreover, whereas many studies have evaluated the impact of initial spacing on the wood value recovery chain (Koga and Zhang, 2002; Zhang *et al.*, 2002; Alteyrac *et al.*, 2005), to our knowledge, none have addressed variations in wood mechanical properties after commercial thinning in natural black spruce stands. Nevertheless, black spruce is one of the main species used in machine stress-rated (MSR) lumber production, and MOE is one of the most important lumber bending properties used to determine end uses and MSR grade yield.

Because mechanical wood properties vary with position in the tree (Larson *et al.*, 2004; Alteyrac *et al.*, 2005), there is an increasing need to develop optimal log allocation strategies in order to allocate logs to best uses, maximize the value of the resource and ensure end-product quality (Shi *et al.*, 2007). According to some authors, however, research on the effect of silvicultural practices on the value recovery chain has lagged behind research on growth and yield. The result is a disconnection across the value-added chain, as the forest industry still lacks some basic information linking tree growth to product value (Briggs and Fight, 1992; Kang *et al.*, 2004).

This study tested the hypothesis that thinning treatments are followed by changes in flexural MOE and density of black spruce wood. The objectives were to evaluate the impact of commercial thinning on the lumber quality of nine thinned stands compared to controls and to determine whether this impact varies longitudinally along the first four meters of the stem.

## 5.3. MATERIALS AND METHODS

### *5.3.1 Study Area*

Wood from nine thinned stands and control stands in the boreal forest of Quebec, Canada were investigated. Stands had to be accessible by truck and located close to trails so that field material and samples could be transported by foot. Stands were selected according to two main criteria: thinning treatment was performed 10–12 years before sampling, and thinning was performed in naturally regenerated unmanaged natural black spruce stands (Table 5.1). Whenever possible, a nearby unthinned natural black spruce stand with similar characteristics was selected as a control (Table 5.1). Control stands were selected mainly for stand age and location close to a thinned stand. In two instances where all stands had the same environmental characteristics, the same control stand was used for comparison with more than one nearby thinned stand. To identify the stands, initial letters refer to the stand location followed by a number representing the thinning year or the letter C for control (Table5.1).

Latitude ranged from 47.9°N to 49°N, longitude from 70.5°W to 72.7°W and altitude from 210 to 671m (Table 5.1). The boreal forest is characterized by cold winter temperatures and short vegetation periods. Over the last 30 years, the average minimum temperature for this region was -18.4 °C and average maximum temperature was 19.3 °C. Average annual precipitation varied from 920 mm to 1187 mm in the studied stands (Environment Canada, 2008). Average age of the studied stands at time of treatment varied from 47 to 82 years. Other than CT, no silvicultural treatment had been applied. Basal area at thinning year ranged between 17 and 50 m²/ha (Table 5.1). The herbaceous and moss layers were composed mainly of *Pleurozium schreberi* (Brid.) Mitt.,

*Polytrichum sp.*, *Ptilium crista-castrensis* (Hedw.) De Not., *Ledum groenlandicum* Oeder, *Vaccinium angustifolium* Ait. and *Kalmia angustifolia* L.

*5.3.2 Sampling*

A 20x20 quadrat comprising at least 35 black spruce trees (diameter at breast height > 9 cm) was selected in each stand. Total tree height (H), diameter at breast height (Dbh), diameter at stump height (Dsh, ≈ 10 cm) and stem height at the lowest living branch were measured for each tree in the quadrat. Site quality was estimated using dominant tree height and production tables (Pothier and Savard, 1998) (Table 5.1). Six black spruce trees in each thinned stand, and three in control stands, were randomly selected and felled. A total of 72 trees were thus harvested for stem analysis (one tree was excluded due to handling errors in the laboratory). Stems were cut at every meter, starting at ground level and moving up to the fourth meter, to collect 50-cm-long bolts for mechanical analysis and disks for density analysis.

Disks for density analysis were cut to yield 1.57 mm thick (longitudinal) x 5 mm (tangential) samples in a north–south direction along the pith using a specially designed pneumatic twin-blade saw (FPInnovations, Forintek Division). Sawn strips were extracted with a cyclo-hexane/ethanol (2:1) solution for 24 h and then with hot water for another 24 h to remove extractives. After extraction, strips were air-dried under restraint to prevent warping.

From the sampled bolts, 10 mm (radial) x 10 mm (tangential) x 150 mm (longitudinal) specimens from bark to pith were processed along both northern and southern radial directions. Specimen dimensions were defined so that the outermost samples contained mainly tree rings formed after CT and inner samples had tree rings

formed before CT. Defect-free specimens were then dried under restraint from green to 12% moisture content in a conditioning room (20 °C, 65% HR) and selected for bending tests (see Alteyrac et al., (2006) for a detailed description). These samples were used to test MOE before and after CT.

### *5.3.3 Sample analyses*

Strips were scanned by X-ray densitometry in air-dry conditions. To accommodate a logistic change, densitometry tests were conducted at FPInnovations, Forintek Division., Quebec City, and at UQAT, Rouyn-Noranda, Canada. The same conditions (sample size, preparation and relative humidity) were imposed. However, because X-ray densitometry is a relative measure, density values may vary with the measuring instrument. Reference samples were tested with both densitometers to evaluate the method and verify the coherence of results. Moreover, controls and associated thinned sites were measured with the same instrument. X-ray densitometry provided the radial patterns of several properties, including ring width (RW), early-wood width (EWW), late-wood width (LWW), ring density (RD), maximum ring density (MaxRD), minimum ring density (MinRD), early-wood density (EWD) and late-wood density (LWD). Mean annual RD was calculated for ten years before and after treatment.

Bending tests were performed at UQAC, Chicoutimi, Canada according to ASTM D-143 standard test methods for small clear specimens (ASTM, 2007). Specimens were placed with growth rings horizontal and with a span of 110 mm. The modulus of elasticity (MOE, stiffness) was evaluated using an MTS-Alliance RT/100 material testing system.

### *5.3.4 Statistical analyses*

Data were compiled by multifactor analysis of variance (ANOVA) using restricted maximum likelihood (mixed model). A 6-block unbalanced split-plot design was used for ring characteristics and MOE variations with time at main plot level and with treatment (thinning/control) at subplot level. Stands were nested inside blocks and block was a randomized factor. To evaluate height influence on RD and MOE variation, time was chosen at the plot level height (0, 1, 2 and 3 meters) was chosen at the subplot level and treatment (thinning/control) as sub-subplot level. Simple regression tests were conducted to determine the relationship between independent wood quality variables. All statistical tests were performed using JMP software (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC) with a 95% confidence level.

## 5.4. Results

At the year of thinning, thinned stands had a mean age of 58 years and controls 55 years (Table 5.1). Based on the initial basal area, merchantable thinning intensity varied from 9.7 to 52.5% across stands, classified as light to heavy thinning. Moreover, based on the Dbh ratio of residual and thinned stems at thinning year ($Dbh_{RES}$ at TY/$Dbh_{THI}$ at TY), different CT types can be identified. When this ratio is less than 1, that is, dominant trees are removed to accelerate residual tree growth, CT from above was performed. When the ratio is greater than 1, that is, smallest trees are released to stimulate the biggest trees, CT from below was performed (Table 5.1).

### *5.4.1. Growth variation*

During a 20-year period including the thinning year, RW varied from 0.03 mm to 2.6 mm with a mean of 0.58 mm for wood from control stands and from 0.03 mm to 2.6 mm with a mean of 0.6 mm for wood from thinned stands (Figure 5.1A).

RW varied from 0.57 mm before treatment to 0.62 mm after treatment for thinned stands and from 0.6 mm before thinning to 0.57 mm after thinning for control stands (Figure 5.1A). A 12% increase in RW was observed for thinned stands, whereas a 2% decrease in RW was observed for control stands (Table 5.2). Statistical analysis revealed a significant influence of treatment on RW variation over time ($p < 0.0001$ for interaction time*treatment, Table 5.3a).

Early-wood width (EWW) for thinned stands varied from 0.39 mm before treatment to 0.43 mm after treatment (Figure 5.1B), or a 5 % increase in EW proportion (Table 5.2). For control stands, EWW varied from 0.41 mm before thinning year to 0.38 mm after, or a 4 % decrease in EW proportion (Figure 5.1B, Table 5.2). EWW followed the same pattern as RW over time (Figure 5.1B), and a significant influence of interaction time*treatment on EWW was noted ($p < 0.0001$ for interaction time*treatment, Table 5.3b). In contrast, late-wood width (LWW) varied from an average of 0.21 mm before treatment to 0.22 mm after treatment for thinned stands, or a slight 1% increase in LW proportion (Table 5.2). LWW in control stands varied from 0.21 mm before thinning year to 0.23 mm after thinning year, or a 15% increase in LW proportion. Despite the very small difference between thinned and control stands (Figure 5.1C), treatment significantly influenced LWW (Table 5.3c).

*5.4.2. Ring density variation*

Before treatment, RD of thinned stands was about 504 kg/m³, decreasing thereafter by about 2% to approximately 493 kg/m³. RD for control stands varied from 472 to 471 kg/m³ (Figure 5.2A, Table 5.2). Before treatment, RD for thinned stands was higher than the annual RD for control stands, and decreased after thinning year (time = 0,

Figure 5.2A). The third year after treatment, RD for thinned stands was lower than RD for controls, and continued decreasing thereafter. However, no significant difference was found over time due to treatment ($p$ = 0.1977 for interaction time*treatment, Table 5.3d). Despite a decrease in average RD after treatment for thinned stands (Figure 5.2B), no significant variation due to treatment was noted ($p$ = 0.3116 for interaction time* treatment, Table 5.3e).

*5.4.3. MOE variation with thinning*

MOE for wood from thinned stands varied from 9.16 GPa to 12.14 GPa with a mean of 10.53 GPa, and MOE for wood from control stands varied from 10.53 to 11.16 GPa with a mean of 9.63 GPa (Figure 5.3). Figure 5.3 shows increased MOE for both thinned and control stands after treatment. No significant variation due to treatment over time ($p$ = 0.0627 for interaction time*treatment, Table 5.3f) was seen.

*5.4.4. Stem height influence on MOE and average RD*

Figure 5.4 shows a decrease in average RD with increasing height along the stem for both thinned and control stands ($p$ = 0.0002 for Height, Table 5.4). Average RD varied from 490 kg/m$^3$ at the bottom of the log to 463 kg/m$^3$ in the upper part of the log (Figure 5.4A, B). However, treatment did not influence average RD up the stem ($p$ = 0.9262 for the interaction Treatment*Height*Time; Table 5.4A). On the contrary, a slight increase in MOE with increasing tree height for both thinned and control stands is seen in Figure 5.4C, D. MOE varied from 9.81 GPa at the bottom of the log to 10.33 GPa in the upper part of the log, and Table 5.4 shows significant differences in MOE between thinned and control stands.

## 5.5. Discussion

### *5.5.1. Variation in wood characteristics due to thinning*

CT significantly increased RW, EWW and LWW (Table 5.3). Moreover, EW proportion increased at the expense of LW proportion (Table 5.2) after CT in thinned stands. Because silvicultural treatments such as thinning are mainly used to increase growth rate, many studies have addressed the impact of silvicultural treatments on tree growth (Yang *et al.*, 1988; Yang and Hazenberg, 1994; Tong and Zhang, 2005) and the influence of growth rate on wood anatomical features. A recent study demonstrated that CT led to increased radial growth at the stem base in naturally regenerated black spruce stands (Vincent *et al.*, 2009a). Koga *et al.* (2002) showed that in balsam fir stands, the annual radial growth response to precommercial thinning (PCT) was limited to EWW, whereas LWW showed little response. According to these authors, lightly thinned and control plots had comparable EWW and latewood percentage. In our case, CT influenced both EWW and LWW, with a more pronounced increase in EWW.

Given the decrease in LW proportion after CT, a decrease in RD would be expected. However, despite a slight decrease in RD after the thinning year (Figure 5.2), this variation was not statistically significant (Table 5.3d). No clear relationship between wood density and growth rate was found. Zhang *et al.* (1996) and Zobel and Van Buijtenen (1989) observed that although a negative relationship generally exists between wood density and growth rate in conifer species, there were many exceptions, and a non-significant or even a weak positive relationship between wood density and growth rate can be found in some families. Koubaa *et al.* (2000) reported a significant negative relationship between wood density and growth rate in juvenile black spruce only. In mature wood, this relationship was no longer significant. In jack pine (*Pinus banksiana*

Rapport-Gratuit.com

Lamb.) and balsam fir (*Abies balsamea* L.), Barbour *et al.* (1994) and Kang *et al.* (2004) observed a reduction in wood density after thinning and with increasing initial spacing. Bendtsen (1978) found negligible effects of accelerated growth on wood properties compared with differences in the properties of mature and juvenile wood. The same result was obtained recently in black spruce stands by Tong *et al.* (2009), who found no significant variation in average ring density between control and two precommercial thinning (PCT) intensities. Therefore, treatment intensity may have varying influence on growth ring features. Indeed, in terms of wood anatomy, ring growth increment may be insufficient to lead to decreased RD. This implies that increased growth rate is not necessarily linked to decreased wood mechanical properties. Moreover, external factors may also explain the variable results on mechanical properties. For example, St-Germain and Krause (2008) demonstrated that wood growth features such as RW, EWW and LWW decreased with latitude. The age of the studied trees and the length of the studied period also contributed to explain recorded RD. Koubaa *et al.* (2000) demonstrated a decreasing correlation between RW and RD with increasing age.

Table 5.1 presents between- and within-block differences in terms of treatment influence. Specifically, block LB is questionable because LBC presented a $G_{TY}$ nearly half that of LB95 (Table 5.1). It is therefore arguable that these two stands were not comparable. However, a previous study on the same material demonstrated that both LBC and LB95 were affected by outbreaks of spruce budworm (*Choristoneura fumiferana* (Clemens)) in the late 1970s (Morin *et al.*, 2000; Vincent *et al.*, 2009b). Comparing radial growth over time, these outbreaks appear to have affected LBC longer (by about two years) than LB95 (data not shown). Moreover, radial growth for LBC after

the outbreaks resumed faster and was greater than for LB95 (Vincent *et al.*, 2009b). These variations in tree growth may influence changes in RD and affect the results. In fact, most studies in this area show a predominance of between-stand, inter-tree and intra-tree variability in silvicultural impacts on mechanical wood properties. Dutilleul *et al.* (1998) noted that the correlation between RW, RD and mean tracheid length may be affected by heavy thinning, which would partly explain the contradictory results reported in the literature.

No significant variation in MOE due to treatment was observed in this study (Table 5.3f). MOE differed between thinned and control stands, and increased after thinning year in both thinned and control stands. However, average RD tended to decrease after thinning, with a slight increase in MOE after thinning. Although variations in MOE due to different initial spacings were observed, the influence of CT on MOE was more ambiguous. Zhang *et al.* (2002) studied lumber strength variation following three different initial spacings of black spruce plantations. Compared to natural stand lumber currently processed in eastern Canada, the stiffness of plantation-grown black spruce lumber was 28.9% lower on average. As a result, a high percentage of the plantation-grown lumber did not meet the bending design values (Zhang *et al.*, 2002). In contrast, CT in plantations increased radial growth and did not lead to decreased MOE. From a wood supply standpoint, bigger logs from thinned stands would not be expected to have the lower mechanical properties associated with plantation logs of similar dimensions.

### *5.5.2. Variation in wood characteristics with tree height*

RD and MOE varied significantly with tree height, but no significant effect of thinning with tree height was observed. It was noted previously that logs cut from

Rapport-gratuit.com
LE NUMERO 1 MONDIAL DU MÉMOIRES

different positions in the tree had different wood and fibre characteristics (Larson *et al.*, 2004; Tong *et al.*, 2009). Moreover, studies have revealed variations in growth rate with log position in the stem after silvicultural treatment (Koga *et al.*, 2002; Vincent *et al.*, 2009b). In balsam fir, Koga *et al.* (2002) observed that annual radial growth rate responded positively to PCT, especially in the lower part of the stem. However, sampling height appeared to have a higher impact on density variation than growth rate on RD (Alteyrac *et al.*, 2005). Koga *et al.* (2002) reported that few correlations between RW and wood density components varied significantly with stem position from the stump to the stem top at the inter-tree level. Koga and Zhang (2004) observed a negative correlation between RD and RW in balsam fir, which was significant in the butt log but decreased to insignificance at and above a height of 3 m. The present study is in agreement with these authors (Table 5.4), but more work on the entire stem height is needed to confirm these findings. The rapid decrease in cambial age with tree height may explain changes in ring density up the stem.

Regarding MOE variation with log position, Tong *et al.* (2009) found no significant correlation between lumber MOE and log position in precommercially thinned black spruce plantations when all log positions were considered. However, when butt logs (0–2.5 m) were excluded, MOE decreased steadily with increasing log height. In the present paper, butt logs were included in the analysis, which may explain the unexpected observations: the differing MOE within butt logs may be attributed to the presence of various stages of both unsound and compression wood (Larson *et al.*, 2004; Tong *et al.*, 2009). In fact, Tong *et al.* (2009) recorded a higher percentage of unsound wood in lumber pieces from butts than from other logs. To our knowledge, no study has addressed

the role of the root system close to the stump and its influence on wood properties at stem base. Nevertheless, it is evident that root fibre and stem orientation differ, and that the transition between root and stem is not clearly defined. Microfibril angle variation with stem height may also influence MOE (Barnett and Bonham, 2004).

## 5.6. Conclusion

The main conclusion of this study is that RW significantly increased after CT but no significant variation in RD due to this treatment was observed. Moreover, despite a slight increase observed for thinned stands, MOE did not vary significantly after treatment. These results support the argument for commercial thinning in natural black spruce stands in the boreal forest without concerns about wood quality after treatment. Moreover, the high density associated with lumber stiffness properties of black spruce makes it a good candidate for lumber end uses. However, the large variation in MOE should be taken into account.

Finally, this study showed that mechanical wood properties vary up the stem, and that this variation is greater than the variation due to thinning. These results are of interest, given the increasing need to develop optimal log allocation strategies. As the forest industry shifts towards value-added end uses, it has become important to allocate logs to the best uses to maximize the value of the resource and ensure end-product quality.

Further studies are needed to enhance our knowledge in this area, notably the influence of different wood mechanical properties on MSR lumber yield, which is usually used for saw log classification.

## 5.7. Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Mireille Boulianne and Audrey Lemay for lab and field assistance, Jean Guy Girard for help cutting, Véronique Leclerc and Denis Walsh for statistical advice and helpful discussions, and Maxime Blackburn, Charles-Augustin Déry-Bouchard, Boris Luszcynski, Emilie Pamerleau-Couture, Pierre-Yves Plourde, Germain Savard and Marc-André Valiquette for field assistance. The authors also thank Francis Tanguay and Tony Zhang's team and Ahmed Koubaa's team for X-ray densitometer assistance. Funding was provided by FQRNT (2007-FO-118063) through a research grant. The Consortium de Recherche sur la Forêt Boréale Commerciale provided additional support.

## 5.8. References

Alteyrac, J., Cloutier, A., Ung, C.-H., Zhang, S.Y., 2006. Mechanical properties in relation to selected wood characteristics of Black spruce. Wood Fiber Sci. 38, 229-237.

Alteyrac, J., Zhang, S.Y., Cloutier, A., Ruel, J.C., 2005. Influence of stand density on ring width and wood density at different sampling heights in black spruce (*Picea mariana* (Mill.) BSP). Wood Fiber Sci. 37, 83-94.

ASTM, 2007. D 143 - 94 (Reapproved 2007) - Standard Test methods for Small Clear Specimens of Timber. In: ASTM (Ed.), Wood ASTM. ASTM international, US, p. 32.

Barbour, R.J., Fayle, D.C.F., Chauret, G., Cook, J., Karsh, M.B., Ran, S.K., 1994. Breast-height relative density and radial growth in mature jack pine (Pinus banksiana) for 38 years after thinning. Can. J. For. Res. 24, 2439-2447.

Barnett, J.R., Bonham, V.A., 2004. Cellulose microfibril angle in the cell wall of wood fibres. Biological Reviews 79, 461-472.

Bendtsen, B.A., 1978. Properties of wood from improved and intensively managed trees. Forest Prod. J. 28, 61-72.

Briggs, D.G., Fight, R.D., 1992. Assessing the effects of silvicultural practices on product quality and value of coast douglas-fir trees. Forest Prod. J. 42, 40-46.

Cameron, A.D., 2002. Importance of early thinning in the development of long-term stand stability and improved log quality : a review. Forestry 75, 25-35.

Castéra, P., Faye, C., El Ouadrani, A., 1996. Prevision of the bending strength of timber with a multivariate statistical approach. Ann. For. Sci. 53, 885-898.

Dixon, R.K., Brown, S., Houghton, R.A., Solomon, A.M., Trexler, M.C., Wisniewski, J., 1994. Carbon Pools and Flux of Global Forest Ecosystems. Science 263, 185-190.

Downes, G.M., Wimmer, R., Evans, R., 2002. Understanding wood formation: gains to commercial forestry through tree-ring research. Dendrochronologia 20, 37-51.

Dutilleul, P., Herman, M., Avella-Shaw, T., 1998. Growth rate effects on correlations among ring width, wood density, and mean tracheid length in Norway spruce (*Picea abies*). Can. J. For. Res. 28, 56-68.

Echols, R.M., 1972. Products suitability of wood determined by density gradients across growth rings. In, Research Note PSW-273. USDA Forest Service, Berkeley, CA.

Environment Canada, 2008. Canadian Daily Climate Data (CDCD). In. National Climate Data and Information Archive.

Kang, K.Y., Zhang, S.Y., Mansfield, S.D., 2004. The effects of initial spacing on wood density, fibre and pulp properties in jack pine (*Pinus banksiana* Lamb.). Holzforschung 58, 455-463.

Kellogg, R.M., Warren, W.G., 1984. Evaluating Western Hemlock Stem Characteristics in Terms of Lumber Value. Wood Fiber Sci. 16, 583-597.

Koga, S., Zhang, S.Y., 2002. Relationships between wood density and annual growth rate components in balsam fir (Abies balsamea). Wood Fiber Sci. 34, 146-157.

Koga, S., Zhang, S.Y., Bégin, J., 2002. Effects of precommercial thinning on annual radial growth and wood density in balsam fir (*Abies balsamea*). Wood Fiber Sci. 34, 625-642.

Koubaa, A., Zhang, S.Y., Isabel, N., Beaulieu, J., 2000. Phenotypic correlations between juvenile-mature wood density and growth in black spruce. Wood Fiber Sci. 32, 61-71.

Koubaa, A., Zhang, S.Y.T., Makni, S., 2002. Defining the transition from early wood to late wood in black spruce based on intra-ring wood density profiles from X-ray densitometry. Ann. For. Sci. 59, 511-518.

Larson, D., Mirth, R., Wolfe, R., 2004. Evaluation of small-diameter ponderosa pine logs in bending. Forest Prod. J. 54, 52-58.

Melillo, J.M., McGuire, A.D., Kicklighter, D.W., Moore, B., Vorosmarty, C.J., Schloss, A.L., 1993. Global Climate-Change and Terrestrial Net Primary Production. Nature 363, 234-240.

Morin, H., Krause, C., Jardon, Y., Parent, S., Deslauriers, A., Gionest, F., Simard, I., Levasseur, V., Desjardins, O., 2000. Dynamique spatio-temporelle des épidémies de la tordeuse des bourgeons de l'épinette (tbe) dans la zone boréale de l'est de l'Amérique du Nord (Ont., Qué., T.N. et N.B.). In: forêts, R.s.l.g.d.d. (Ed.), Project report 2000-38. Réseau sur la gestion durable des forêts, Project report 2000-38, Final project report, Omntario, p. 30 p.

Parent, B., Fortin, C., 2008. Ressources et Industries Forestières — Portrait Statistique Édition 2008. In: MRNF (Ed.). Ministère des Ressources Naturelles et de la Faune-Direction du développement de l'industrie des produits forestiers, Québec, p. 513.

Petrás, R., 2002. Age and diameter classes or growth stages as criteria for the implementation of thinning. J. For. Sci. 48, 8-15.

Pnevmaticos, S.M., Corneau, Y., Kerr, R.C., 1979. Yield and productivity in processing treelength softwoods in Quebec. Can. Forest Ind. 99, 37-51.

Pothier, D., 2002. Twenty-year results of precommercial thinning in a balsam fir stand. Forest Ecol. Manag. 168, 177-186.

Pothier, D., Savard, F., 1998. Actualisation des tables de production pour les principales espèces forestières du Québec. Direction des inventaires forestiers, Ministère des Ressources naturelles, Sainte-Foy 1-183.

Prégent, G., 1998. L'éclaircie des plantations In: Québec, G.d. (Ed.), Mémoire de recherche forestière no 133. Gouvernement du Québec, Ministère des Ressources Naturelles, Forêt Québec, Direction de la recherche forestière, Sainte-Foy (Québec), p. 38.

Shi, J.L., Riedl, B., Deng, J., Cloutier, A., Zhang, S.Y., 2007. Impact of log position in the tree on mechanical and physical properties of black spruce medium-density fibreboard panels. Can. J. For. Res. 37, 866-873.

St-Germain, J., Krause, C., 2008. Latitudinal variation in tree ring and wood cell characteristics of *Picea mariana* acroos the continuous boreal forest in Quebec. Can. J. For. Res. 38, 1397-1405.

Thibodeau, L., Raymond, P., Camiré, C., Munson, A.D., 2000. Impact of precommercial thinning in balsam fir stands on soil nitrogen dynamics, microbial biomass, decomposition, and foliar nutrition. Can. J. Forest Res. 30, 229-238.

Tong, Q.J., Fleming, R.L., Tanguay, F., Zhang, S.Y., 2009. Wood and lumber properties from unthinned and precommercially thinned black spruce plantations. Wood Fiber Sci. 41, 168-179.

Tong, Q.J., Zhang, S.Y., 2005. Impact of initial spacing and precommercial thinning on jack pine tree growth and stem quality. Forest. Chron. 81, 418-428.

Vincent, M., Krause, C., Koubaa, A., 2009a. How does commercial thinning influence profile shape on Picea mariana: A case-study in Québec's boreal forest. Département des sciences fondamentales, UQAC, Québec, p. 26.

Vincent, M., Krause, C., Zhang, S., 2009b. Radial growth response of black spruce roots and stems to commercial thinning in Boreal forest. Forestry 82, 557-571.

Yang, K.C., Hazenberg, G., 1994. Impact of spacing on tracheid length, relative density, and growth rate of juvenile wood and mature wood in *Picea mariana*. Can. J. For. Res. 24, 996-1007.

Yang, R.C., Wang, E.I.C., Micko, M.M., 1988. Effects of fertilization on wood density and tracheid length of 70-year-old lodgepole pine in west-central Alberta. Can. J. For. Res. 18, 954-956.

Zhang, S.Y., Chauret, G., Ren, H.Q.Q., Desjardins, R., 2002. Impact of initial spacing on plantation black spruce lumber grade yield, bending properties, and MSR yield. Wood Fiber Sci. 34, 460-475.

Zhang, S.Y., Chauret, G., Swift, E., Duchesne, I., 2006. Effects of precommercial thinning on tree growth and lumber quality in a jack pine stand in New Brunswick, Canada. Can. J. For. Res. 36, 945-952.

Zhang, S.Y., Koubaa, A., 2009. Les résineux de l'Est du Canada: Écologie forestière, caractéristiques, transformation et usages. In: FPInnovations (Ed.), Publication spéciale — SP-526E. FPInnovations-Forintek-division, Québec, pp. 1-28.

Zhang, S.Y., Simpson, D., Morgenstern, E.K., 1996. Variation in the relationship of wood density with growth in 40 black spruce (Picea mariana) families grown in New Brunswick. Wood Fiber Sci. 28, 91-99.

Zhang, T., Chauret, G., Duchesne, I., Schneider, R., 2005. Maximizing Jack pine value. In, Fact sheet - knowledge transfert.

Rapport-Gratuit.com

Zobel, B., Van Buijtenen, J., 1989. Wood variation; its causes and control. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin Heidelberg New York 363.

**Table 5.1:** Stand characteristics.

| Block | Site | Location | Annual precipitation (mm) | Temperature (average min/average max, °C) | TY | Stand age at TY | Dbh at TY (cm) | G at TY (RES+THI m²/ha) | Merchantable thinning intensity (%) | $Dbh_{RES}$ at TY / $Dbh_{THI}$ at TY | Site index at 50 years |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | HEB95 | N47.887 W71.464 | 992.9 | -12.1/17.9 | 1995 | 48.4 ±10 | 13.0 | 29.2 | 19.6 | 1.4 | 12–15 |
| | HEB96-1 | N48.315 W71.679 | 992.9 | -12.1/17.9 | 1996 | 58.7 ±9 | 15.4 | 23.8 | 9.7 | 1.0 | 15–18 |
| | HEB96-2 | N48.279 W71.683 | 992.9 | -12.1/17.9 | 1996 | 53.2 ±8 | 16.6 | 41 | 31.8 | 1.0 | 15–18 |
| | HEBC | N48.145 W71.589 | 992.9 | -12.1/17.9 | | 51.3 ±9 | 15.8 | 48.3 | | | 15–18 |
| 2 | LB95 | N48.033 W72.33 | 1012.7 | -16.8/17.3 | 1995 | 81.9 ±27 | 14.7 | 33.1 | 13.1 | 1.4 | 15–18 |
| | LBC | N48.032 W72.334 | 1012.7 | -16.8/17.3 | | 67.1 ±23 | 14.6 | 17.2 | | | 15–18 |
| 3 | LC96 | N48.143 W71.879 | 1036.7 | -11.7/19.3 | 1996 | 56.3 ±6 | 15.1 | 44.8 | 39.6 | 1.3 | 18–21 |
| | LCC | N48.143 W71.878 | 1036.7 | -11.7/19.3 | | 54.9 ±13 | 20.7 | 35.2 | | | 18–21 |
| 4 | LJ96 | N48.983 W72.738 | 919.8 | -18.4/17.6 | 1996 | 46.8 ±6 | 13.6 | 42.3 | 52.5 | 0.8 | 15–18 |
| | LJC | N48.983 W72.741 | 919.8 | -18.4/17.6 | | 53.1 ±7 | 12.3 | 48.9 | | | 12–15 |
| 5 | MV95 | N48.794 W70.544 | 1187.3 | -16.1/17.5 | 1995 | 60.9 ±11 | 14.1 | 40 | 39.7 | 1.3 | 12–15 |
| | MV96 | N48.76 W70.551 | 1187.3 | -16.1/17.5 | 1996 | 59.5 ±8 | 12.9 | 33 | 30.8 | 1.3 | 12–15 |
| | MVC | N48.764 W70.55 | 1187.3 | -16.1/17.5 | | 52.8 ±12 | 14.6 | 49.8 | | | 15–18 |
| 6 | SL97 | N48.874 W71.747 | 1061.4 | -11.7/18.2 | 1997 | 57.1 ±7 | 17.1 | 40 | 37.4 | 1.3 | 18–21 |
| | SLC | N48.874 W71.475 | 1061.4 | -11.7/18.2 | | 50.2 ±7 | 15.5 | 34 | | | 18–21 |
| | Mean for CT stand | | | | | 58 | 14.7 | 38.5 | 30.5 | 1.2 | |
| | Mean for C stands | | | | | 55 | 15.6 | 38.9 | | | |

TY = thinning year, Dbh = diameter at breast high, G = basal area, RES = residual stem, THI = thinned stem.

Grey line = control, ± = standard deviation.

Rapport-gratuit.com
LE NUMERO 1 MONDIAL DU MÉMOIRES

**Table 5.2:** Rings characteristics and MOE variation by stand.

| Site | RW variation (%) | Variation in EWW proportion (%) | Variation in LWW proportion (%) | RD variation (%) | MOE variation (%) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| HEB95 | 97.6 | 101.9 | 95.6 | 98.6 | 115.8 |
| HEB96-1 | 113.0 | 90.0 | 112.0 | 94.6 | 107.1 |
| HEB96-2 | 105.7 | 99.8 | 96.0 | 102.3 | 106.3 |
| HEBC | 96.8 | 96.7 | 105.2 | 101.6 | 103.8 |
| LB95 | 109.0 | 101.4 | 90.6 | 95.2 | 110.8 |
| LBC | 91.4 | 94.1 | 115.3 | 96.5 | 94.9 |
| LC96 | 96.9 | 94.9 | 107.8 | 97.3 | 101.7 |
| LCC | 123.1 | 94.4 | 95.4 | 97.7 | 108.2 |
| LJ96 | 110.3 | 99.4 | 103.0 | 100.1 | 116.2 |
| LJC | 86.7 | 93.3 | 115.7 | 98.0 | 108.0 |
| MV95 | 99.5 | 199.2 | 125.8 | 97.0 | 112.6 |
| MV96 | 175.6 | 54.2 | 62.3 | 98.2 | 113.6 |
| MVC | 79.3 | 110.6 | 114.8 | 102.4 | 94.0 |
| SL97 | 97.8 | 105.4 | 101.2 | 95.0 | 118.7 |
| SLC | 107.4 | 86.6 | 148.5 | 100.6 | 107.7 |
| Mean for CT stand | 111.7 | 105.1 | 99.3 | 97.6 | 111.4 |
| Mean for C stands | 97.5 | 95.9 | 115.8 | 99.5 | 102.8 |

RW = ring width

EWW = early-wood width, LWW = late-wood width, RD = ring density, MOE = modulus of elasticity.

CT = commercial thinning, C = controls.

**Table 5.3:** ANOVA for treatment and temporal effects on a) ring width (RW), b) early-wood width (EWW), c) latewood width (LWW), d) ring density (RD), e) average ring density (average RD) and f) modulus of elasticity (MOE).

| Test | | Source | DF | DFDen | *F* ratio | Prob. > *F* |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| a) | RW | Block | 5 | 8.053 | 1.1338 | 0.4150 |
| | | Time | 19 | 111.2 | 1.4952 | 0.1008 |
| | | Treatment | 1 | 8.007 | 0.1730 | 0.6884 |
| | | Treatment*Time | 19 | 5218 | 4.3948 | <.0001* |
| b) | EWW | Block | 5 | 8.016 | 0.7728 | 0.5951 |
| | | Time | 19 | 163.4 | 1.1319 | 0.3240 |
| | | Treatment | 1 | 8.021 | 0.1359 | 0.7220 |
| | | Treatment*Time | 19 | 4571 | 3.4621 | <.0001* |
| c) | LWW | Block | 5 | 7.966 | 3.1198 | 0.0746 |
| | | Time | 19 | 125.4 | 4.3980 | <.0001* |
| | | Treatment | 1 | 7.949 | 0.5350 | 0.4855 |
| | | Treatment*Time | 19 | 4302 | 2.7691 | <.0001* |
| d) | RD | Block | 5 | 8.037 | 5.3825 | 0.0182* |
| | | Time | 19 | 150.5 | 2.5324 | 0.0009* |
| | | Treatment | 1 | 8.08 | 0.5050 | 0.4973 |
| | | Treatment*Time | 19 | 5108 | 1.2618 | 0.1977 |
| e) | Average RD | Block | 5 | 8.095 | 3.3857 | 0.0606 |
| | | Time | 1 | 6.304 | 2.7135 | 0.1482 |
| | | Treatment | 1 | 8.197 | 0.9046 | 0.3688 |
| | | Treatment*Time | 1 | 5.692 | 1.2326 | 0.3116 |
| f) | MOE | Block | 5 | 9.352 | 1.5920 | 0.2533 |
| | | Time | 1 | 5.308 | 18.9200 | 0.0064* |
| | | Treatment | 1 | 8.301 | 11.2674 | 0.0095* |
| | | Treatment*Time | 1 | 6.246 | 5.1138 | 0.0627 |

**Table 5.4**: Effect from analysis of variance (ANOVA) for a) average ring density (Average RD) and b) modulus of elasticity (MOE) before and after thinning: analysis with tree height.

| Test | | Source | DF | DFDen | *F* ratio | Prob. > *F* |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| a) | Average RD | Block | 5 | 8.582 | 2.9700 | 0.0777 |
| | | Time | 1 | 6.392 | 0.2651 | 0.6239 |
| | | Height | 3 | 52.07 | 8.1499 | 0.0002* |
| | | Height*Time | 3 | 51.67 | 0.1598 | 0.9229 |
| | | Treatment | 1 | 8.348 | 1.1791 | 0.3079 |
| | | Treatment*Height | 3 | 57.46 | 0.9396 | 0.4275 |
| | | Treatment*Time | 1 | 61.41 | 1.7286 | 0.1935 |
| | | Treatment*Time*Height | 3 | 57.03 | 0.1548 | 0.9262 |
| b) | MOE | Block | 5 | 9.752 | 1.7427 | 0.2145 |
| | | Time | 1 | 5.459 | 15.0628 | 0.0098* |
| | | Height | 3 | 40.63 | 5.0721 | 0.0045* |
| | | Height*Time | 3 | 40.51 | 0.1414 | 0.9346 |
| | | Treatment | 1 | 9.833 | 11.4396 | 0.0071* |
| | | Treatment*Height | 3 | 42.95 | 0.4213 | 0.7387 |
| | | Treatment*Time | 1 | 44.73 | 2.6997 | 0.1074 |
| | | Treatment*Time*Height | 3 | 42.81 | 0.2410 | 0.8673 |




**Figure 5.1**: Change before and after thinning year (time = 0) in A) ring width (RW, mm) B) early-wood width (EWW, mm) and C) latewood width (LWW, mm) for both thinned (CT, black circle) and control (C, white triangle) stands.




**Figure 5.2**: Change before and after thinning year (time = 0) in A) ring density (RD, kg/m³) and B) average ring density (Average RD, kg/m³) for both thinned (CT, black circle) and control (C, white triangle) stands.




**Figure 5.3**: Variation in modulus of elasticity (MOE, GPa) for both thinned (CT, black circle) and control (C, white triangle) stands.




**Figure 5.4**: Change along the first four metres of stem in A) average ring density (Average RD) for thinned stands, B) Average RD for control stands, C) modulus of elasticity (MOE) for thinned stands and D) MOE for control stands, before (black square) and after (white square) thinning year.

# CHAPITRE 6

# DOES TIMING MAKE A DIFFERENCE IN THE PROFITABILITY OF COMMERCIAL THINNING IN THE BOREAL FOREST?

Manon VINCENT*, Jean Martin LUSSIER, Cornelia KRAUSE.

*Département des sciences fondamentales, Université du Québec à Chicoutimi, 555 boul. de l'Université, Chicoutimi (Québec), CANADA, G7H 2B1.*

**Keywords:** *black spruce; commercial thinning; products recovery; Net Present Value (NPV).*

Rapport-Gratuit.com

# CHAPITRE 6

# DOES TIMING MAKE THE DIFFERENCE ON THE PROFITABILITY OF COMMERCIAL THINNING IN THE BOREAL FOREST?

## 6.1. ABSTRACT

The profitability of commercial thinning in low-productivity boreal black spruce stands is often questioned. This article evaluates the hypothesis that commercial thinning can be used in periods of favorable market conditions to increase the profitability of the stand for future total harvest, when the market conditions are less favorable. Based on nine thinned and six untreated stands, this research tests the effect of the timing of thinning and market conditions on the Net Present Value (*NPV*) of commercial thinning (CT) and final felling. Type, number and grade of timber products from both thinned and residual stems were assessed using simulations from the Optitek sawing simulator. Two multivariate regression models built with lumber market conditions during CT and final harvest and thinned and control stands characteristics explained about 58% of the *NPV* variations of thinned stand variations ($p < 0.0001$) and about 73% of the *NPV* variations for controls stands. Compared with *NPV* variations for control stands, it appears that CT in natural black spruce stands 10 years before final harvest may be profitable when the lumber market was high during CT and low or lower during final harvest. A retrospective analysis based on historical lumber prices series from 1981 to 2009 demonstrated that for a 10-year lag period between CT and final harvest, CT could have been a profitable operation in 42% of cases. Despite the fact that this percentage may decrease when an

increasing time horizon is considered, these results may be very relevant in the context of a critical timber supply.

## 6.2. INTRODUCTION

Black spruce (*Picea mariana* (Mill.) B.) is the most important commercial and reforested species in Eastern Canada (Parent and Fortin, 2008; Zhang and Koubaa, 2009). The current silviculture systems used in black spruce stands is the clear-cutting system (with plantation), or the natural shelterwood system, using careful logging around advance growth (CLAAG) (Groot *et al.*, 2005). In Quebec, the reduced land base for fiber production (Coulombe *et al.*, 2004) coupled with increasing global competition in the forestry industry has led to the development of new strategies to increase wood productivity. Partial cutting such as commercial thinning (CT) could be a sound choice to attain sustainable development within global market constraints while still achieving maximum value (Zhang *et al.*, 2006; Thorpe and Thomas, 2007; Thorpe *et al.,* 2007).

Usually CT is performed preferably in plantations but it also can be done in natural, unmanaged stands (OMNR, 1997; Gouvernement du Québec, 2003). In the Canadian boreal forest, thinning from below is usually practiced in order to 1) salvage dead or low-vigor trees that could be lost by self-thinning before final harvest (Thiffault *et al.*, 2003); 2) provide an early financial return from managed stands (Kellog *et al.*, 1996; Brazee and Bulte, 2000), and 3) increase stand value while eliminating lower quality stems and keeping larger trees with improved growth (Johnstone, 1997). When diameter growth increment of residual stems is significant, CT leads to a decrease in processing costs and a diversification of available wood products (Bulley, 1999; Duchesne and Swift, 2009).

Rapport-gratuit.com
LE NUMERO 1 MONDIAL DU MÉMOIRES

However, in boreal black spruce, these advantages are often questioned: Lussier *et al.* (2002) showed that self-thinning in black spruce affected mostly sapling and small pole-size trees without any economic value, so there is no significant salvage in the economic sense. Moreover, despite that radial growth increment can double in some stands 10 years after CT (Soucy, 2003; Vincent *et al.*, 2009), increment is very low in absolute terms as stem diameter only increases at best by one or two centimeters after treatment.

Short-term profitability of CT is sometimes questioned because immediate harvest costs surpass timber value (Brazee and Bulte, 2000; Cameron, 2002), in particular with thinning from below in pole stands.

Thinning could be a profitable investment if the increase of residual stand value at the time of final felling exceeded net CT costs. Because stem wood volume value depends on tree size and market price fluctuations (Brazee and Bulte, 2000), we hypothesize that CT could be profitable in boreal black spruce by restricting the application of thinning to high price conditions, while the future final felling is done during a low price condition. We assume here that during low prices periods, only the largest trees in a typical black spruce stand have a positive merchantable value. In this case, thinning from below could be a method to remove trees with a marginal or negative value at time of final felling.

The objectives were 1) to estimate *NPV* of thinned and control stands based on recovery products available after CT and final harvest (FINAL); 2) build a multivariate linear regression model to estimate *NPV* based on stands characteristics and market price

index; 3) Evaluate market and stand conditions where thinned stands present a higher *NPV* value than unthinned stands.

## 6.3. STUDY AREA

The study was based on nine commercially thinned stands and their associated controls in the boreal forest of Quebec, Canada. Latitudes ranged from 47.9° N to 49° N, longitudes from 70.5° W to 72.7° W and altitudes from 210 to 671 m (Table 6.1). The region is characterized by cold winter temperatures and short growing seasons. Over the past 30 years, the average minimum temperature was −18.4 °C during the coldest month and the average maximum was 17.9 °C during the warmest month. Average annual precipitation varies from 920 to 1187 cm in the studied stands (Environment Canada, 2008). Stand selection was based on two main criteria: (i) thinning had to be done 10 – 12 years before sampling in unmanaged natural stands and (ii) stands had to have similar characteristics. Stands had to be located close to a road to be accessible by truck. Typically, commercial thinnings in boreal black spruce for that region and this period were thinning from below with a 30-40% removal of basal area, with an increase of at least 5% of the average diameter at breast high (Dbh) (Gouvernement du Québec, 2003).

Essentially pure unmanaged natural black spruce stands were selected according to Quebec Ministry of Natural Resources and Wildlife data. The mean age of the stands at thinning year varied from 48 to 82 years. Merchantable stand density, evaluated within a 20 × 20 m quadrat, was between 950 and 3 900 trees $ha^{-1}$, with a basal area of 17-50 $m^2$/ha (Table 6.1). The herbaceous and moss layers are mainly composed of *Pleurozium schreberi* (Brid.) Mitt., *polytrichum sp.*, *Ptilium crista-castrensis* (Hedw.) De Not.,

*Ledum groenlandicum* Oeder, *Vaccinium angustifolium* Ait. and *Kalmia angustifolia* L. All study stands are mesic black spruce sites, imperfectly to well drained.

## 6.4. DATA

In each stand a 20 × 20 quadrat was selected comprising at least 35 black spruces (Dbh > 9 cm). The stand characteristics of each quadrat, such as vegetation composition, topography and depth to mineral soil, were determined in order to check homogeneity among stands. Each tree within the quadrat was measured for height (H), Dbh, diameter at stump height (Dsh, ≈10 cm), and stem height at the lowest living branch (Table 6.1). Stump circumferences of cut trees and their positions within the quadrat were also determined. An increment core was taken from each living tree at 25 cm above the ground along the north-south direction to determine tree age and radial growth variations after thinning (Vincent *et al.*, 2009). Stem volume was calculated with volume tables for black spruce trees as a function of stem Dbh and H (Perron, 1985). The average stem volume (AVS) and volume by hectare (V) were calculated at year of thinning ($AVS_{CT}$, $V_{CT}$, $m^3$) and at the final harvest year ($AVS_{FINAL}$, $V_{FINAL}$, $m^3$) for both thinned and control stands (Table 6.2).

Because the studied stands are even-aged, harvested tree height was assessed with stumps of residual stems of similar diameter within and close to the quadrat.

In the rest of the text, thinned stems (appearing as stumps inside the quadrat) are referred to THI whereas residual stems, to be harvested in due course as RES.

## 6.5. METHODS

### *6.5.1. Prediction of product recovery*

The Optitek database (Goulet, 2009) was used to assess type, number and grade of products that could be produced from both RES and THI stems. This database is the result of simulations performed with the Optitek sawing simulator on a sample of 1312 softwoods stems, (FPInnovation, Forintek division, Quebec), which was adjusted to simulate the typical sawmill processes used in Eastern Canada (Goulet, 2009).

Each stem (RES and THI) of the studied stands was matched (according to Dbh, Dsh, H) to a corresponding tree sample from the Optitek database. Product recovery from the studied stems was assumed to be equal to that simulated by Optitek for the associated stem.

*6.5.2. Economic analysis*

A financial analysis was performed with the Net Present Value at the time of the final harvest (*NPV*, CAN2009$, profitability analysis) (Gélinas *et al.*, 2009) as indicator of profitability on a per-hectare basis. For the purpose of the analysis, stands were considered ready for final felling at the year of sampling. The analysis was done at the scale of the whole value chain, from the woodlot owner to the markets, including the wood processing business units. In short, the net value per ha is equal to the income from the sale of the products of the primary processing, minus the cost of silviculture and wood transformation. Distribution of net profit among the business units of the value chain is not considered. The value chain is composed of five business units: a forest owner, a sawmill, a pulpmill (processing residual chips from the sawmill), a pulp market and a timber market. To optimize available data, we assumed that: (i) the time horizon was 10 years (ie. CT is followed by a clearcut 10 years later) and (ii) the net actualization rate (nominal rate –inflation rate) was chosen to be equal to 4% (Natural Resources of

Canada, Gélinas *et al.*, 2009). Because studied stands were unmanaged before CT, no stand regeneration or tending investments were considered.

Transportation costs from the forest to the mill and from the mill to the markets were not considered in the *NPV* calculation. We assume the perfect scenario when sawmill is located really close to the thinned stands.

Thinning costs ($C_{CT}$) were calculated according to Meek (2000) equation (1):

$$C_{CT} = 1.529V^{-0.9211} \qquad (1),$$

where $C_{CT}$ is the cost of CT ($CAN/m³) and $V$ the mean of total thinned volume by stand (m³/stem harvested). Hauling (8$CAN/m³), supervision and general fees (~20%) were added to $C_{CT}$ according to Meek's information on typical CT operations (Meek, personal communication). The cost of final harvest ($C_{FINAL}$) essentially depends on volume harvested (20$CAN/m³), since variations of final harvest costs in relation to tree size are negligible. Table 2 presents stand characteristics used to calculate $C_{CT}$ and $C_{FINAL}$. Transformation costs such as sawing (15 $CAN/m³), planing (25 $CAN/1000FBM) and drying costs (8 $CAN /1000FBM), were added in *NPV* calculation according to Goulet (2009) information. Every price was reduced by a constant $CAN2009.

The incomes $I$ at the year $y$, $I_y$, depend on product recovery determined with the Optitek database and can be expressed as follows (equation 2):

$$I_y = \sum_{j=1}^{z} \sum_{k=1}^{n} xP_{k,j}(y) \qquad (2),$$

where $j$ is the number of residual or thinned stems, $k$ the number of different product types, $x$ the number of product types $k$ by tree $j$, $P$ the price (value) of the product types $k$ at year $y$. It was noticed by Tong *et al.* (2005), that virtual trees produce more

lumber recovery than a real one of the same Dbh and H, but because we compare thinned with controls stands we did not correct this overestimation.

With the above assumptions *NPV* was calculated by equation 3:

$$NPV_y = I_{CT} + \frac{I_{FINAL}}{(1+i)^{10}} - C_{CT} - \frac{C_{FINAL}}{(1+i)^{10}} \qquad (3)$$

where $I_{CT}$ are the incomes from THI stems, $I_{FINAL}$ the incomes from RES stems (or final harvested stems), $C_{CT}$ the costs of CT, and $C_{FINAL}$, final harvest costs.

*6.5.3. Influence of lumber price fluctuations*

The Pribec composite lumber price market (Pribec) was used to measure historical lumber price fluctuation from 1981 to 2009. Composite prices were converted in 2009 dollars, considering an average annual inflation rate of 3.08% (from 1981 to 2009, Bank of Canada, 2010). Working with detrended composite price series, five percentiles ($10^{th}$, $25^{th}$, $50^{th}$, $75^{th}$ and $90^{th}$) were determined with residual values of detrended series to represent different market conditions (from low to high market values, Figure 6.2). To evaluate the influence of market price fluctuations on final incomes, *NPV* ($CAN2009) was calculated with CT and FINAL realized for each possible combination of market condition, ($PP_{CT}$ =percentile of price for CT; $PP_{FINAL}$= percentile of price for final harvest). For each percentile of the composite price, corresponding prices of single lumber products were used to estimate the value of the product basket.

Eventually, *NPV* estimated for CT stands according to their characteristics was compared with the mean of *NPV* calculated for controls stands for the different percentiles of price values in order to determine the percentile of price combinations when $NPV_{CT}$ was higher than $NPV_C$ defined as "winning conditions".

*6.5.4. Retrospective analysis*

The last part of the study is a retrospective analysis based on composite price series. Occurrence of percentile price combinations has been computed considering a 10-year lag between thinning and the final harvest, using the historical Pribec series.

*6.5.5. Statistical analyses*

Multiple regression analysis was performed between $NPV_{CT}$ and four independent variables that could be correlated with $NPV_{CT}$ fluctuations and their interactions: $V_{CT}$, $V_{FINAL}$, $AVS_{CT}$ and $AVS_{FINAL}$. To assess the influence of lumber market conditions, in improving $NPV_{CT}$ value $PP_{CT}$ and $PP_{FINAL}$ were also added to the multiple regression model (equation 4). A complementary multiple regression analysis was also performed between $NPV_C$ and $V_{FINAL}$, $AVS_{FINAL}$ and $PP_{FINAL}$ (equation 5).

$$NPV_{CT} = a_0 + a_1(PP_{CT}) + a_2(PP_{FINAL}) + a_3(V_{CT}) + a_4(V_{FINAL}) + a_5(AVS_{CT}) + a_6(AVS_{FINAL}) + a_7(V_{CT} * PP_{CT}) + a_8(V_{FINAL} * PP_{FINAL}) + a_9(V_{FINAL} * V_{CT}) + a_{10}(AVS_{CT} * V_{CT}) + a_{11}(AVS_{CT} * V_{FINAL}) + a_{12}(AVS_{FINAL} * AVS_{CT}) \quad (4)$$

$$NPV_C = b_0 + b_1(PP_{FINAL}) + b_2(V_{FINAL}) + b_3(AVS_{FINAL}) + b_4(V_{FINAL} * PP_{FINAL}) \quad (5)$$

The best model was automated selected with the glmulti procedure on R. Glmulti provides a general wrapper for glm and related functions (Calcagno and Mazancourt, 2010).

## 6.6. Results

### 6.6.1. *Lumber Products recovery*

Figure 6.1 presents individual stem value according to their classes for the five studied percentiles of prices. It appears that for low market conditions (PP = 10 and PP = 25 and PP = 50 at final harvest), harvesting stems in Dbh classes 10 to 12 cm is wasteful.

Regarding types and number of products available from both THI and RES stems, most products belonged to the "studs" category, for about 65% of total products produced. The "Studs" category also represented about 65% of the products issued from controls stands. On a per hectare basis, the number of lumber pieces obtained from RES stems was about twice the one from THI stems for the three categories "boards", "studs" and "utility #3", whereas "specified length 1&2" and "economy" pieces were four times more numerous for RES than THI stems. The average lumber recovery by THI stem was about 5.7 lumber units per tree, whereas it was about 9.2 by RES stem. A mean of 8.7 products was produced per stem in unthinned stands at the time of final felling.

*6.6.2. NPV prediction*

The historical average for each cost and income and *NPV* is presented in Table 6.3.

Fitting Equation 4 using glmulti procedure resulted in a model that explained approximately 88% of the $NPV_{CT}$ variations (Table 6.4).

Fitting Equation 5 using glmulti procedure resulted in a model that explained approximately 85% of $NPV_C$ variations (Table 6.5).

Figure 6.3 illustrates *NPV* evolution for both thinned and control stands ($NPV_{CT}$, $NPV_C$). $NPV_{CT}$ was calculated according to equations defined in the multiple regression model with $V_{FINAL}$, $AVS_{FINAL}$, $V_{CT}$ and $AVS_{CT}$ set as the mean for each variable of all thinned stands. $NPV_C$ was calculated from equation 5.

According to Figure 6.3, when the price index is above the 90$^{th}$ percentile at the time of thinning and when it is lower than the 50$^{th}$ percentiles it is more profitable to thin. In these conditions, the benefit of thinning increases as a proportion to the difference in the price index between thinning and final harvest.

Rapport-Gratuit.com

Moreover, in comparison to unthinned stands, thinning appears to temper the fluctuation of NPV of stands in response to variations in the price index (Figure 6.3).

### *6.6.3. Retrospective analysis*

Frequencies of combined observations of price index with a 10-year lag between 1981 and 2009 are presented in Table 6.5. "Winning conditions" (eg. when NPV of thinned stands is larger than unthinned ones) occurred in 26% out of the 19 possible year pairs (last 30 years).

## 6.7. Discussion

### *6.7.1. Product recovery*

Of all the products available from black spruce stands after CT, some were widely available from both THI and RES stems (such as "studs", "boards" and "utility #3"), whereas other products were scarcer from THI stems, ("economy" and "specified length"). Moreover, belongs the tree stands presenting a lowest historical mean of *NPV* (HEB95, HEB96-1 and MV96) (Table 6.3), HEB95 and MV96 presented the lowest V harvested during both CT and final harvest and the smallest $AVS_{CT}$ (Table 6.3) and HEB96-1 presented a thinning intensity very low. Stem volume may influence the number of product recovery but also the type of volume recovery. Logically, the biggest stems may produce some products with larger dimensions and thus higher value but also, products of lower quality that increase final profitability. Aubry *et al.* (1998) working on coastal Douglas fir (*Pseudotsuga menziessii* (Mirb.) Franco) reported that the size of stems determined the number of boards per log (diameter effect) and the number of logs per tree (height effect). When CT increases the proportion of big trees within CT stands, it also increases final profitability of the stand. On the other hand, the profitability of

thinning is less important than only one final harvest and the way to enhance this profitability is to manage with market fluctuations.

### *6.7.2. Thinning Profitability*

According to the multiple regression models developed in this paper, CT may enhance stand profitability when specific lumber market conditions are met for both CT and final harvests. To increase stand productivity it seems that CT should be conducted during periods of high market prices (Figure 6.3). A further study demonstrated the importance of stumpage price fluctuations in harvesting decisions (Brazee and Bulte, 2000) but no study to our knowledge dealt with the importance of lumber market fluctuations on thinning profitability. This study also demonstrated that CT regularizes annual fluctuations of *NPV* in comparison with a scenario with only one final harvest. CT appears to temper NPV fluctuations in response to variations in the price index.

Regarding retrospective data, it appears that "winning conditions" were met about 26% of the studied years (Table 6.5). However, if market price evolution keeps following the same negative trend, the probabilities to meet "winning conditions" for final harvest may increase over the next years.

### *6.7.3. Limits analysis*

Many assumptions were made to develop this analysis. The method used to determine CT profitability over time is questionable because it compared real financial data over time (lumber value) with data adjusted only with a constant inflation rate (treatment costs). In reality, $C_{CT}$ and $C_{CLAAG}$ also follow annual variations, such as wood industry activity, gas costs etc. and so the relative influence of the costs on the final

Rapport-gratuit.com
LE NUMERO 1 MONDIAL DU MÉMOIRES

income may vary. Nevertheless, we may assume that these variations are somehow linked with lumber price fluctuations.

Some important costs and incomes were not considered in this study: carriage costs, chips and sawdust incomes and others. To enhance model efficiency, it will be important to integrate these income sources (chips and sawdust) to calculate final profitability given the increasing use of these materials in the wood industry (Ericsson *et al.*, 2005; Zerbe, 2006). These costs and incomes were not included to simplify the analysis.

The transportation costs essentially depend on the distance between stands and sawmills, such that sawmills nearest to the stands are usually chosen to limit these costs. According to Tong *et al.* (2005) who set it as a constant, it is about 12.83 $/m$^3$ in Quebec. According to stands data about 69.2m$^3$/ha were harvested at the thinning year and about 153m$^3$/ha during final harvest whereas 243m$^2$/ha were harvest from control stands (Table 6.2). Taking into account net actualization rate, transportation cost was around 3100 $ on a hectare basis for both CT and control stands. However, carriage costs may have an indirect impact on CT profitability by determining the available sawmill technology and thus types of products.

To take advantage of the available data, the time horizon was set at 10 years. Although this choice may appear short, to our knowledge, no financial analyses based on longer periods are currently available in the literature for naturally regenerated stands. However, it may amplify and/or understate the relative importance of RES and THI incomes. Specifically, the major disadvantage of using *NPV* is the difficulty in choosing

appropriate discount rate (Smith and Oerlemans, 1988). Impact of discount rate on *NPV* will be even more important on a longer time horizon.

The model developed in this study presented a questionable result while $AVS_{CT}$ and $AVS_{FINAL}$ were negatively correlated with *NPV* calculation for thinned stands (Table 6.4). This result is inconsistent with the method used to calculate $C_{CT}$ (equation 1) and with the lumber recovery resulting from the Optitek simulation. The studied stands characteristics may explain this result. Indeed, according to Tables 6.2 and 6.3, some stands (HEB96-1, LB95 and SL97) presented a low historical *NPV* while $AVS_{CT}$ and above all $AVS_{FINAL}$ were quite high compared to other stands with higher historical *NPV*. In the same way, these three stands presented a low $V_{CT}$ (especially HEB96-1 and LB95) that may explain the *NPV* observed. In these cases it seems that total volume thinned by stand influenced more CT profitability than $AVS_{CT}$. A transformation of the $AVS_{CT}$ factor to better assess its effect on *NPV* before including it in the stepwise procedure may be necessary to enhance model predictability.

This study is based on the assumption that THI stems were harvested for lumber use and thus assumed that pulpwood value was constant. It is obvious that profitability would change under other use of thinned stems such as pulp production. Nevertheless, to increase CT profitability it is important that THI stems are considered as much as possible as lumber resources.

## 6.8. Conclusion

Although thinning of even-age single species stands in order to increase volume increment in individual stems and the final stand value is a standard silvicultural practice, there have been few treatments of thinning to optimize forest management with

fluctuating lumber prices. In this article we present new results after conducting thinning to take advantage of the lumber market. These results may be useful for informing decisions during critical periods of supply.

## 6.9. Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank François Bélanger for technical advice and helpful discussions; Philippe Meek for helpful discussions; Mireille Boulianne for lab assistance; and Maxime Blackburn, Charles-Augustin Déry-Bouchard, Audrey Lemay, Boris Luszcynski, Émilie Pamerleau, Pierre-Yves Plourde, Germain Savard and Marc-André Valiquette for field assistance.

## 6.10. Literature

Aubry, C.A., Adams, W.T., Fahey, T.D., 1998. Determination of relative economic weights for multitrait selection in coastal Douglas-fir. Can. J. For. Res. 28, 1164-1170.

Bank of Canada, 2010. Feuille de calcul de l'inflation. In: Banque du Canada (Ed.), Taux et statistiques. Banque du Canada, Ottawa.

Brazee, R.J., Bulte, E., 2000. Optimal harvesting and thinning with stochastic prices. For. Sci. 46, 23-31.

Bulley, B., 1999. Effect of tree size and stand density on harvester and forwarder productivity in commercial thinning. Feric, 11pp.

Calcagno, V., de Mazancourt, C., glmulti: An R Package for Easy Automated Model Selection with (Generalized) Linear Models. Journal of Statistical Software 34, 1-29.

Cameron, A.D., 2002. Importance of early selective thinning in the development of long-term stand stability and improved log quality: a review. Forestry 75, 25-35.

Coulombe, G., Huot, J., Arsenault, J., Bauce, E., Bernard, J.-T., Bouchard, B., Liboiron, M.-A., Szaraz, G., 2004. Commission d'étude sur la gestion de la forêt publique québécquoise. In: CEFPQ (Ed.), Québec, p. 314.

Duchesne, I., Swift, D.E., 2009. L'effet de l'éclaircie commerciale sur la qualité du bois de pin gris. In: Service Canadien des Forêts — Centre de Foresterie des Laurentide, Q. (Ed.), Les Colloques du SCF-CFL le 22 janvier 2009 partenariat.qc.ca, Centre de foresterie des Laurentides du Service canadien des forêts à Québec (Québec) Canada.

Environment Canada, 2008. Canadian Daily Climate Data (CDCD). In. National Climate Data and Information Archive.

Ericsson, K., Huttunen, S., Nilsson, L.J., Svenningson, P., 2005. Bioenergy policy and market development in Finland and Sweden? Energy Policy 33, 129-129.

Gélinas, N., Laliberté, F., Lapointe, M.-A., Martel, J.-M., Nadeau, J.-P., Poulin, H., Roy, J., 2009. Économie forestière. In: OIFQ (Ed.), Manuel de foresterie. Ouvrage collectif, Québec, pp. 895-936.

Goulet, P., 2009. Average product and by-product recovery and value for SPF trees In: Excel-spreadsheet (Ed.). Québec, FPInnovations, Forintek Division, Data prepared for exclusive use by the Canadian Forest Service within the Optimization of partial cutting strategies and practices project.

Gouvernement du Québec, 2003. Manuel d'aménagement forestier. Ministère des Ressources naturelles, de la Faune et des Parcs, Québec, 245pp.

Groot, A., Lussier, J.M., Mitchell, A.K., Macsaac, D.A., 2005. A silvicultural systems perspective on changing Canadian forestry practices. Forest. Chron. 81, 50-55.

Johnstone, W.D., 1997. The effect of commercial thinning on the growth and yield of lodgepole pine. In, Proceedings of a commercial thinning workshop, Whitecourt, Alberta, pp. 13-23.

Kellogg, L.D., Milota, G.V., Miller, M., 1996. A comparison of skyline Harvesting costs for alternative commercial thinning prescriptions. Journal of Forest Engineering 7, 7-23.

Lussier, J.M., Morin, H., Gagnon, R., 2002. Mortality in black spruce stands of fire or clear-cut origin. Can. J. For. Res. 32, 539-547.

Meek, P., 2000. Productivité et coûts de l'abattage-façonnage mécanisé en éclaircie commerciale : rapport synthèse. Avantage 1, 1-4.

OMNR, 1997. Silvicultural guide to managing for black spruce, jack pine and aspen on boreal forest ecosites in Ontario. Version 1.1. Ont. Min. Nat. Resour., Queen's Printer for Ontario, Toronto. 3 books, 822pp.

Parent, B., Fortin, C., 2008. Ressources et Industries Forestières — Portrait Statistique Édition 2008. In: MRNF (Ed.). Ministère des Ressources Naturelles et de la Faune-Direction du développement de l'industrie des produits forestiers, Québec, p. 513.

Perron, J.Y., 1985. Tarif de cubage general, volume marchand brut. In: Gouvernement-du-Québec (Ed.). Ministère de l'Energie et des Ressources, p. 55.

Smith, C.R., Oerlemans, W.J.A.M., 1988. Ten-year growth response and financial evaluation of commercial strip thinning of jack pine: a case study. In: Canadian-Forest-Services (Ed.), Information Report: 0-X-396. Can.For.Serv. Gt.Lakes For.Cent., Sault Ste. Marie p. 25.

Soucy, M., 2003. Éclaircie et fertilisation d'un peuplement d'épinette noire: effets à long terme sur la croissance des tiges, la production et la dynamique de peuplement. In, Faculté de Foresterie. Université de Moncton, Moncton p. 105.

Thiffault, N., Roy, V., Prégent, G., Cyr, G., Jobidon, R., Ménétrier, J., 2003. La sylviculture des plantations résineuses au Québec. Nat. Can. 127, 63-80.

Thorpe, H.C., Thomas, S.C., 2007. Partial harvesting in the Canadian boreal: Success will depend on stand dynamic responses. Forest. Chron. 83, 319-325.

Thorpe, H.C., Thomas, S.C., Caspersen, J.P., 2007. Residual-tree growth responses to partial stand harvest in the black spruce (*Picea mariana*) boreal forest. Can. J. For. Res. 37, 1563-1571.

Tong, Q.J., Zhang, S., Thomson, M., 2005. Evaluation of growth response, stand value and financial return for pre-commercially thinned jack pine stands in Northwestern Ontario. For. Ecol. Manag. 209, 225-235.

Vincent, M., Krause, C., Zhang, S., 2009. Radial growth response of black spruce roots and stems to commercial thinning in Boreal forest. Forestry 82, 557-571.

Zerbe, J.-I., 2006. Thermal energy, electricity and transportation fuels from wood. Forest Product Journal 58, 6-14.

Zhang, S.Y., Chauret, G., Swift, E., Duchesne, I., 2006. Effects of precommercial thinning on tree growth and lumber quality in a jack pine stand in New Brunswick, Canada. Can. J. For. Res. 36, 945-952.

Zhang, S.Y., Koubaa, A., 2009. Les résineux de l'Est du Canada: Écologie forestière, caractéristiques, transformation et usages. In: FPInnovations (Ed.), Publication spéciale — SP-526E. FPInnovations-Forintek-division, Québec, pp. 1-28.

**Table 6.1:** Stand characteristics

| Site | Location | Annual precipitation (mm) | Temperature (average min/average max, °C) | TY | Stand Age at TY | Dbh at TY (cm) | G at TY (RES+THI m²/ha) | Merchantable Thinning intensity (%) | $Dbh_{RES}$ at TY / $Dbh_{THI}$ at TY |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| HEB95 | N47.887 W71.464 | 992.9 | -12.1/17.9 | 1995 | 48.4 ±10 | 13.0 | 29.2 | 19.6 | 1,4 |
| HEB96-1 | N48.315 W71.679 | 992.9 | -12.1/17.9 | 1996 | 58.7 ±9 | 15.4 | 23.8 | 9.7 | 1,0 |
| HEB96-2 | N48.279 W71.683 | 992.9 | -12.1/17.9 | 1996 | 53.2 ±8 | 16.6 | 41 | 31.8 | 1,0 |
| LB95 | N48.033 W72.33 | 1012.7 | -16.8/17.3 | 1995 | 81.9 ±27 | 14.7 | 33.1 | 13.1 | 1,4 |
| LC96 | N48.143 W71.879 | 1036.7 | -11.7/19.3 | 1996 | 56.3 ±6 | 15.1 | 44.8 | 39.6 | 1,3 |
| LJ96 | N48.983 W72.738 | 919.8 | -18.4/17.6 | 1996 | 46.8 ±6 | 13.6 | 42.3 | 52.5 | 0,8 |
| MV95 | N48.794 W70.544 | 1187.3 | -16.1/17.5 | 1995 | 60.9 ±11 | 14.1 | 40 | 39.7 | 1,3 |
| MV96 | N48.76 W70.551 | 1187.3 | -16.1/17.5 | 1996 | 59.5 ±8 | 12.9 | 33 | 30.8 | 1,3 |
| SL97 | N48.874 W71.747 | 1061.4 | -11.7/18.2 | 1997 | 57.1 ±7 | 17.1 | 40 | 37.4 | 1,3 |
| HEBC | N48.145 W71.589 | 992.9 | -12.1/17.9 | | 51.3 ±9 | 15.8 | 48.3 | | |
| LBC | N48.032 W72.334 | 1012.7 | -16.8/17.3 | | 67.1 ±23 | 14.6 | 17.2 | | |
| LCC | N48.143 W71.878 | 1036.7 | -11.7/19.3 | | 54.9 ±13 | 20.7 | 35.2 | | |
| LJC | N48.983 W72.741 | 919.8 | -18.4/17.6 | | 53.1 ±7 | 12.3 | 48.9 | | |
| MVC | N48.764 W70.55 | 1187.3 | -16.1/17.5 | | 52.8 ±12 | 14.6 | 49.8 | | |
| SLC | N48.874 W71.475 | 1061.4 | -11.7/18.2 | | 50.2 ±7 | 15.5 | 34 | | |
| Mean for CT stand | | | | | 58 | 14,7 | 38.5 | 30.5 | 1.2 |
| Mean for C stands | | | | | 55 | 15.6 | 38.9 | | |

TY = Thinning Year, CT = Commercial Thinning, C = Controls

G = basal area

RES = residual stems, THI = thinned stems

Rapport-Gratuit.com

**Table 6.2:** Stand characteristics and parameters, used to calculate commercial thinning (CT) and final harvest (FINAL) costs and incomes.

| Stands | $V_{CT}$ ($m^3$/ha) | $V_{FINAL}$ ($m^3$/ha) | $AVS_{CT}$ ($m^3$) | $AVS_{FINAL}$ ($m^3$) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| HEB95 | 19.3 | 69.5 | 0.04 | 0.104 |
| HEB96-1 | 21.2 | 204.3 | 0.121 | 0.215 |
| HEB96-2 | 81.5 | 182.2 | 0.142 | 0.152 |
| LB95 | 29.1 | 187.8 | 0.078 | 0.163 |
| LC96 | 114.2 | 180.2 | 0.095 | 0.185 |
| LJ96 | 134.1 | 125.0 | 0.112 | 0.076 |
| MV95 | 76.7 | 124.8 | 0.139 | 0.111 |
| MV96 | 51.7 | 120.4 | 0.045 | 0.102 |
| SL97 | 94.7 | 183.8 | 0.118 | 0.223 |
| HEBC | 0 | 306.0 | 0 | 0.136 |
| LBC | 0 | 110.4 | 0 | 0.116 |
| LCC | 0 | 237.4 | 0 | 0.264 |
| LJC | 0 | 298.1 | 0 | 0.076 |
| MVC | 0 | 280.4 | 0 | 0.102 |
| SLC | 0 | 225.4 | 0 | 0.145 |
| Mean for CT stands | 69.17 | 153.1 | 0.10 | 0.15 |
| Mean for C stands | 0 | 243.0 | 0 | 0.14 |

V = stand volume

AVS = Average volume per stem

C = control, CT = Commercial thinning

**Table 6.3** : Mean of historical prices from 1981 to 2009 for commercial thinning (CT) and final harvest (FINAL) costs ($C_{CT}$, $C_{FINAL}$), stumpage fees, transformation costs, incomes ($I_{CT}$, $I_{FINAL}$) and Net Present Value (*NPV*) in $CAN2009, CT data are discounted to fit in *NPV* calculation. Costs and Incomes are given by hectare for each stand.

| Stands | $I_{CT}$ | $I_{FINAL}$ | $C_{CT}$ | $C_{FINAL}$ | Transformation costs for CT | Transformation costs for FINAL | NPV |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| HEB95 | 3832 | 13783 | 1346 | 2203 | 4126 | 1537 | 8403 |
| HEB96-1 | 2205 | 19360 | 236 | 2881 | 5157 | 772 | 12519 |
| HEB96-2 | 14488 | 22986 | 1180 | 3854 | 7036 | 4598 | 20806 |
| LB95 | 4910 | 22780 | 734 | 3974 | 7150 | 1617 | 14216 |
| LC96 | 18760 | 22371 | 2386 | 3811 | 6849 | 6238 | 21848 |
| LJ96 | 24165 | 13207 | 2416 | 2644 | 4746 | 7490 | 20075 |
| MV95 | 12737 | 27831 | 2489 | 2639 | 4808 | 4389 | 26243 |
| MV96 | 12737 | 27831 | 2489 | 2639 | 4808 | 4389 | 26243 |
| SL97 | 15428 | 19801 | 1618 | 3888 | 6656 | 5241 | 17826 |
| HEBC | 0 | 36699 | 0 | 6474 | 0 | 11558 | 18668 |
| LBC | 0 | 12998 | 0 | 2336 | 0 | 4179 | 6483 |
| LCC | 0 | 29685 | 0 | 5022 | 0 | 8695 | 15969 |
| LJC | 0 | 32685 | 0 | 6295 | 0 | 11466 | 14924 |
| MVC | 0 | 32813 | 0 | 5932 | 0 | 10690 | 16190 |
| SLC | 0 | 19913 | 0 | 4768 | 0 | 7196 | 7949 |
| Mean for CT stands | 12140 | 21106 | 1655 | 3170 | 4030 | 5704 | 18687 |
| Mean for C stands | 0 | 27466 | 0 | 5138 | 0 | 8964 | 13364 |

C = control stands

Rapport-gratuit.com
LE NUMERO 1 MONDIAL DU MÉMOIRES

**Table 6.4 :** A) $NPV_{CT}$ model of the multivariate regression resulting from the glmulti procedure and B) independent variables.

**A) WHOLE MODEL**

| Source | DF | Sum of squares | Mean square | *F* ratio |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Model | 11 | 8.09e+9 | 7.35e+8 | 139.9750 |
| Error | 213 | 1.12e+9 | 5.25e+7 | **Prob. > F** |
| C. Total | 224 | 9.2e+9 | | <.0001* |

**B) INDEPENDENT VARIABLES**

| Term | Estimate | SE | *t* ratio | **Prob. > F** | Standard β |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Constante | 84132,6 | 508 | 16,5 | 4,7448e-40 | 0 |
| $PP_{FINAL}$ | 15,2 | 1,4 | 10,8 | 4,3672e-22 | 0,3 |
| $PP_{CT}$ | 10,7 | 1,4 | 7,6 | 8,8124e-13 | 0,2 |
| $V_{FINAL}$ | -539,1 | 36,2 | -14,9 | 6,7713e-35 | -3,6 |
| $V_{CT}$ | -296,1 | 23,8 | -12,4 | 5,3366e-27 | -1,8 |
| $VMT_{FINAL}$ | -10073,1 | 9146,4 | -1,1 | 0,27200335 | -0,1 |
| $VMT_{CT}$ | 554851,91 | 32467,5 | 17,1 | 8,3571e-42 | 3 |
| $(PP_{CT}$ -531,217)*($V_{CT}$ -69,1677) | 0,1 | 0,04 | 3,8 | 0,00018455 | 0,1 |
| $(PP_{FINAL}$ -531,217)*($V_{FINAL}$ -153,097) | 0,04 | 0,03 | 1,3 | 0,21100793 | 0,03 |
| ($V_{FINAL}$ -153,097)*($V_{CT}$ -69,1677) | 10,81 | 0,6 | 19,2 | 2,1979e-48 | 3,1 |
| ($V_{CT}$ -69,1677)*($AVS_{CT}$ -0,08997) | -15009 | 691,9 | -21,7 | 7,6604e-56 | -2,4 |
| ($V_{FINAL}$ -153,097)*($AVS_{CT}$ -0,08997) | -17659,4 | 1012,9 | -17,4 | 6,9017e-43 | -3,7 |

V = Volume harvested (thinned or final harvest) on a per hectare basis, CT = Commercial Thinning, FINAL = final harvest; AVS = average volume per stem, PP = Percentile of Price.

**Table 6.5 :** A) $NPV_C$ model of the multivariate regression resulting from glmulti procedure and B) independent variables.

**A) WHOLE MODEL**

| Source | DF | Sum of squares | Mean square | *F* ratio |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Model | 4 | 4.25e+9 | 1.06e+9 | 211.42 |
| Error | 145 | 7.29e+8 | 5.03e+6 | **Prob. > F** |
| C. Total | 149 | 4.98e+9 | | <.0001* |

**B) INDEPENDENT VARIABLES**

| Term | Estimate | SE | *t* ratio | **Prob. > F** | Standard β |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Constant | -36907.039 | 1874.583 | -19.688 | <.0001* | 0 |
| $PP_{FINAL}$ | 24.375 | 1.684 | 14.459 | <.0001* | 0.459384 |
| $V_{FINAL}$ | 125.127 | 5.0556 | 24.750 | <.0001* | 0.920813 |
| $AVS_{FINAL}$ | 39880,480 | 3618.713 | 11.021 | <.0001* | 0.139624 |

Results from stepwise regression using the forward procedure with AIC as indicator, ΔAIC (ΔAIC = AIC before enter – AIC after enter) has to be positive to enter.

V = Volume by stand at thinning year, FINAL = final harvest; AVS = average volume per stem, PP = Percentile of Price.

**Table 6.6 :** Percentiles of price combinations observed during the last 30 years for a 10-year lag period between CT and final harvest (FINAL). Grey cases correspond to "winning conditions" as defined in the text ($NPV_{CT} > NPV_{C}$)

| $PP_{CT}$ \ $PP_{FINAL}$ | 10 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 10 | 0 % | 0 % | 0 % | 5 % | 0 % |
| 25 | 0 % | 0 % | 5 % | 11 % | 5 % |
| 50 | 0 % | 0 % | 5 % | 5 % | 26 % |
| 75 | 0 % | 0 % | 5 % | 0 % | 0 % |
| 90 | 16 % | 5 % | 5 % | 0 % | 5 % |




**Figure 6.1 :** Individual stem value according to Dbh classes for the five percentiles of prices (PP). A) At final harvest, B) when thinned. Thinned values were discounted.




**Figure 6.2**: PRIBEC series ($CAN2009) from 1981 to 2009 embraced with percentile of prices. The five horizontal lines correspond to the five selected percentiles from the 10th (bottom solid line) to the 90th (upper dash line) and including 25th, 50th and 75th percentile of prices.




**Figure 6.3** : Net Present Value (*NPV*, left-hand side) estimated for CT ($NPV_{CT}$ solid line) and control stands ($NPV_C$, dash line) for different percentile of prices combinations.

# CHAPITRE 7
# CONCLUSION GENERALE

Cette thèse présente plusieurs avancées vers une meilleure compréhension de l'éclaircie commerciale (EC) et de son influence sur la croissance des tiges d'épinettes noires (*Picea mariana* (Mill.) BSP.) (Epn) en forêt boréale. Cette recherche est à notre connaissance la première traitant des effets d'un tel traitement dans les peuplements naturels non éduqués d'Epn. Bien que cette pratique sylvicole soit peu utilisée à l'heure actuelle dans ces peuplements, nous avons démontré plusieurs résultats intéressants pouvant se regrouper sous quatre thèmes : croissance des peuplements, rôle des racines, qualité de la tige et des produits bois et premières retombées économiques.

## 7.1. IMPLICATIONS SYLVICOLES

### *7.1.1. Éclaircie commerciale et croissance des peuplements*

De façon générale, les individus répondent positivement au traitement, car la croissance radiale des arbres augmente significativement après l'EC. Cet accroissement relatif des arbres résiduels postérieur au traitement d'EC se correspond à celui observé pour d'autres essences de résineux (Chapitre 2). Ainsi, chez le pin gris (Bella et de Francesci, 1974), le sapin Baumier (Pape, 1999) ou encore le sapin Douglas (Aussenac et Granier, 1988) l'accroissement radial augmente de 30 à 100 % après l'EC. Il est néanmoins important de mentionner qu'il s'agit de croissance relative, ainsi les résultats en termes de croissance absolue sont plus mitigés. En effet, lorsque de petits arbres présentent un fort accroissement relatif après le traitement, la quantité de bois produite reste faible (Morris et Forslund, 1992). En l'occurrence, dans les peuplements étudiés, les petits arbres répondent mieux au traitement d'EC que les gros arbres (Chapitre 2). Les

résultats de notre étude suggèreraient donc de modifier cette façon de faire en intégrant une certaine portion d'arbre de belle dimension et de belle qualité, contrairement aux pratiques en vigueur au Québec où les EC sont essentiellement réalisées par le bas, c'est-à-dire en supprimant les petits arbres.

Le rôle des racines abordé au chapitre 2 mériterait être développé d'avantage, mais une corrélation positive entre les arbres présentant une bonne réponse au traitement et leurs racines a été mise en évidence (Chapitre 2). Il a été démontré que le développement proche de la tige assurait le rôle de soutien tandis que le développement des racines éloignées impliquait un développement de la nutrition et de la conduction de l'eau (Ruel *et al.*, 2003; Pothier et Margolis, 1991). Dans notre cas les racines proches semblent se développer mieux que les racines loin et joueraient donc un rôle de stabilisation. Cependant une comparaison entre la croissance des tiges et celle des racines proches et loin serait importante pour appuyer cette hypothèse.

En termes de volume de bois produit, les résultats obtenus corroborent les différentes études sur le sujet (e.g. Bella and De Franceschi, 1974; Curtis and Marshall, 2002). Le volume total du peuplement n'augmente pas après le traitement, seul le volume par tige est affecté (Chapitre 3). Finalement le volume marchand des peuplements éclaircis n'est pas supérieur à celui des peuplements témoin 10 ans après le traitement.

*7.1.2. Éclaircie commerciale et qualité du bois*

L'accroissement radial après l'EC est localisé sur les deux tiers inférieurs de la tige alors que les témoins présentent une croissance radiale plus importante au sommet de l'arbre (chapitre 4). Cet accroissement localisé n'influence cependant pas le défilement et la forme de la tige puisqu'aucune variation significative de ces deux paramètres n'a été

Rapport-Gratuit.com

observée 10 ans après le traitement. En ce qui concerne les propriétés physiques et mécaniques que sont la densité et le module d'élasticité du bois des tiges résiduelles, celles-ci ne sont pas modifiées avec le traitement (Chapitre 5). Les échantillons testés présentaient un MOE supérieur à celui relevé par d'autres études (Zhang and Koubaa, 2009) au Québec. Cette particularité qui peut être perçue comme une caractéristique des Epn de la forêt boréale du nord du Québec, inhérente à leurs conditions de croissance (St-Germain et Krause, 2008) et au type de peuplement étudié, pourrait servir l'argumentation en faveur de l'EC. Ce résultat appuie donc l'utilisation de l'EC dans les peuplements d'épinettes noires d'origine naturelle. Une diminution significative du MOE a été observée après la réalisation d'éclaircies précommerciales (Koga *et al.*, 2002) qui pourraient s'expliquer par la présence de bois juvénile, aux propriétés particulières dans les tiges des peuplements traités. Ces résultats suggèrent d'éclaircir commercialement les peuplements d'origine naturelle d'Epn sans que ceux-ci aient subi au préalable une ou des éclaircies précommerciales.

Finalement l'étude démontre que contrairement la densité initiale de peuplement qui influence positivement le module d'élasticité des tiges (Zhang *et al.*, 2002), la diminution de la densité de peuplement par l'éclaircie commerciale n'entraine pas de diminution du MOE (Chapitre 5). Cela suggère qu'un traitement sylvicole tel que l'EC pourrait être préférable pour les propriétés mécaniques des billes qu'une faible densité initiale imposée lors de la plantation d'épinettes noires.

### *7.1.3. Implication économique*

«Bien que les forêts soient avant tout des entités biologiques complexes qui n'évoluent pas en fonction de critères financiers, elles constituent également des

ressources économiques parce qu'elles sont utilisées pour produire des biens et des services. Le principal objectif de la foresterie est d'aménager la ressource pour combler les besoins de la société» (Gélinas *et al.,* 2009). S'il a déjà été démontré que l'accroissement du volume des tiges d'un peuplement pouvait influencer le panier de produits et les coûts associés à la transformation des billes (Aubry *et al.*, 1998 ; Briggs et Fight, 1992), l'influence du marché du sciage sur la rentabilité du traitement d'éclaircie est encore peu étudié. Notre étude a permis de démontrer que sous certaines conditions de marché, l'EC pouvait augmenter la valeur des peuplements traités par rapport aux peuplements témoins (Chapitre 6). Le type de produits créés influence ainsi le rendement du traitement. Le nombre et surtout la possibilité de produire des planches de meilleure et de moins bonnes qualités à partir des tiges éclaircies améliorent la rentabilité du traitement. Cependant, bien que l'éclaircie commerciale puisse être plus rentable qu'une seule récolte finale sous certaines conditions de marché, le cas contraire est rencontré dans la majorité des cas (Chapitre 6). La possibilité de déterminer les conditions gagnantes pour que l'EC soit un traitement rentable offre plusieurs avantages sylvicoles. À l'échelle de la forêt, cela permet d'utiliser stratégiquement l'EC pour répondre à court terme aux besoins d'approvisionnement en bois (Jamnick *et al.*, 1994).

## 7.2. Contribution scientifique et originalité de l'étude

Bien que l'EC soit une méthode éprouvée depuis de nombreuses années dans les forêts européennes et nord-américaines (Worthington et Staebler, 1961; Bella et De Franceschi, 1974), son utilisation en forêt boréale québécoise est plus récente, notamment dans les pessières noires (Lussier, 2001). La particularité de cette étude est qu'elle porte sur la réalisation d'EC dans des peuplements d'épinettes noires non éduqués (avant EC),

Rapport-gratuit.com
LE NUMERO 1 MONDIAL DU MÉMOIRES

une première à notre connaissance. Le plan expérimental de l'étude original a permis d'étudier à la fois les variations avant/après traitement — donc l'effet direct de l'EC sur le peuplement — mais aussi de vérifier que cet effet n'était pas spécifique d'un peuplement donné par comparaison avec des témoins.

Dans ce sens, cette étude propose un regard nouveau sur l'utilisation de ce traitement sylvicole comme une opportunité pour répondre aux attentes sociétales d'aujourd'hui.

L'étude des racines au chapitre 2, comme élément déterminant de la réaction à l'EC apporte des informations pertinentes sur le sujet. En effet, l'EC est parfois critiquée parce qu'elle peut rendre les peuplements traités susceptibles aux chablis (Cameron, 2002), les racines sont donc un facteur important de la réussite du traitement puisqu'elles assurent la stabilité des individus. Notre étude s'est penchée sur l'influence des racines sur la croissance radiale de la tige suite à l'EC et sur la relation tige/racine. Cette approche est une étape dans l'explication des mécanismes de réaction à l'ouverture de la canopée notamment pour une espèce tolérante à l'ombre comme l'Epn.

Si le gain en volume reste une priorité, la variation de la résistance mécanique du bois de résineux possible après une augmentation de croissance doit aussi être considérée. Cette étude est la première à notre connaissance à quantifier cette variation au niveau de la densité annuelle de cernes et du MOE pour l'Epn. Le chapitre 5 est d'autant plus important que l'Epn est une essence très utilisée comme bois d'œuvre et donc ses propriétés mécaniques sont essentielles.

Finalement, l'approche économique est novatrice, car rarement associée à ce type de projet. L'analyse temporelle de rentabilité du traitement n'avait pas été présentée

antérieurement. Elle permet d'ouvrir l'étude vers d'autres perspectives et de créer un lien entre la recherche fondamentale et la recherche appliquée. Elle peut par la suite servir l'argumentaire des décisionnaires.

### 7.3. Perspectives de recherches

L'étude présente la particularité de regrouper un grand nombre de sites exclusivement localisés dans la région du Saguenay-Lac-Saint-Jean. Ce choix logistique et méthodologique assure une homogénéité des sites tout en étant représentatif du domaine de la pessière noire caractéristique de la forêt boréale canadienne. Une étude plus restreinte au niveau du nombre de sites mais avec plus d'arbres récoltés par site, aurait par contre permis un design expérimental équilibré et plus représentatif de chaque site. La recherche est limitée 10 ans après le traitement, mais une analyse à long terme apporterait des informations complémentaires et permettrait de réaliser des tests mécaniques plus réalistes. Il est en effet difficile de limiter les cernes avant et après traitement dans des échantillons de petite taille (chapitre 5). De plus, quel que soit le traitement, la croissance de l'Epn en forêt boréale est très lente et donc la quantité de bois produit en 10 ans est très faible et insuffisante pour fabriquer une planche aux dimensions du marché. Une analyse supplémentaire à long terme apporterait des informations complémentaires pour ces premiers résultats. De plus, une étude basée sur des produits aux dimensions industrielles permettrait d'une part de connaitre le panier de produits disponibles à partir de nos peuplements et d'autre part, d'effectuer des tests MSR et un classement MSR de ces produits.

Le cas de chablis dans les peuplements éclaircis n'a pas été abordé dans l'étude. Ors c'est un élément important à prendre en compte que ce soit pour déterminer le

volume du peuplement, l'influence réelle des compétiteurs, le rôle et le développement des racines suite au traitement. Une étude plus spécifique sur le sujet serait pertinente pour préciser les résultats obtenus.

Finalement de nouveaux enjeux émergent aujourd'hui qui pourraient contribuer à l'intensification de l'EC dans les peuplements naturels et créer de la valeur ajoutée au traitement d'EC. De récentes études ont par exemple démontré que les peuplements d'Epn productifs éclaircis séquestraient plus de carbone que les peuplements témoins (Keyser, 2010). La pression grandissante exercée sur les entreprises pour l'utilisation de la biomasse forestière pourrait aussi contribuer à l'intensification de l'EC et améliorer sa rentabilité suite à la prise de valeur des copeaux et des sciures (Zerbe, 2006).

## 7.4. Références

Aubry, C.A., Adams, W.T., Fahey, T.D., 1998. Determination of relative economic weights for multitrait selection in coastal Douglas-fir. Can. J. For. Res. 28, 1164-1170.

Aussenac, G., Granier, A., 1988. Effects of thinning on water stress and growth in Douglas-fir. Can. J. For. Res. 18, 100-105.

Bella, I.E., De Franceschi, J.P., 1974. Commercial thinning improves growth of jack pine. In: Northern-Forest-Research-Centre (Ed.), Information Report NOR-X-112. Canadian Forestry Service Edmonton, p. 23.

Briggs, D.G., Fight, R.D., 1992. Assessing the effects of silvicultural practices on product quality and value of coast douglas-fir trees. Forest Prod. J. 42, 40-46.

Cameron, A.D., 2002. Importance of early selective thinning in the development of long-term stand stability and improved log quality: a review. Forestry 75, 25-35.

Curtis, R.O., Marshall, D.D., 2002. Levels-of-growing-stock cooperative study in Douglas-fir: report no. 14 - Stampede Creek: 30-year results. Research-Paper-Pacific-Northwest-Research-Station, USDA-Forest-Service 543, 77.

Gélinas, N., Laliberté, F., Lapointe, M.-A., Martel, J.-M., Nadeau, J.-P., Poulin, H., Roy, J., 2009. Économie forestière. In: OIFQ (Ed.), *Manuel de foresterie*, 2e éd. Ouvrage collectif, Editions Multimondes, Québec, pp. 895-936.

Jamnick, M., Needham, T., Bateman, M., 1994. Commercial thinning to provide harvest stability to forests with an unbalanced age class distribution. Forest. Chron. 70, 299-303.

Keyser, T.L., 2010. Thinning and site quality influence aboveground tree carbon stocks in yellow-poplar forests of the southern Appalachians. Canadian Journal of Forest Research-Revue Canadienne De Recherche Forestiere 40, 659-667.

Koga, S., Zhang, S.Y., Bégin, J., 2002. Effects of precommercial thinning on annual radial growth and wood density in balsam fir (Abies balsamea). Wood Fiber Sci. 34, 625-642.

Lussier, J.-M., 2001. Effects of commercial thinning on stand yield and value in eastern Canada: what have we learned so far? , 14 p.

Morris, D.M., Forslund, R.R., 1992. The relative importance of competition, microsite, and climate in controlling the stem taper and profile shape in jack pine. Can. J. For. Res. 22, 1999-2003.

Pape, R., 1999. Effects of Thinning Regime on the Wood Properties and Stem Quality of Picea abies. Scand. J. For. Res. 14, 38-50.

Pothier, D., Margolis, A., 1991. Analysis of Growth and Light Interception of Balsam Fir and White Birch Saplings Following Precommercial Thinning. Ann. Sci. Forest. 48, 123-132.

Ruel, J.-C., Larouche, C., Achim, A., 2003. Changes in root morphology after precommercial thinning in balsam fir stands. Can. J. For. Res. 33, 2452-2459.

St-Germain, J., Krause, C., 2008. Latitudinal variation in tree ring and wood cell characteristics of *Picea mariana* acroos the continuous boreal forest in Quebec. Can. J. For. Res. 38, 1397-1405.

Worthington, N.P., Staebler, G.R., 1961. Commercial thinning of Douglas-fir in the Pacific Northwest. In: U-S-D-A-Forest-Service (Ed.), Technical Bulletin n°1230. Pacific Northwest Forest and range Experiment Station, Washington, p. 119.

Zerbe, J.-I., 2006. Thermal energy, electricity and transportation fuels from wood. Forest Product Journal 58, 6-14.

Zhang, S.Y., Chauret, G., Ren, H.Q.Q., Desjardins, R., 2002. Impact of initial spacing on plantation black spruce lumber grade yield, bending properties, and MSR yield. Wood Fiber Sci. 34, 460-475.

Zhang, S.Y., Koubaa, A., 2009. Les résineux de l'Est du Canada: Écologie forestière, caractéristiques, transformation et usages. In: FPInnovations (Ed.), Publication spéciale — SP-526E. FPInnovations-Forintek division, Quebec.