On a relation between the turning point locus of a meromorphic connection and its irregularity sheaf

Sommaire

13 The protagonists and some preleminary results 13.1 The Irregularity sheaf 13.2 The Euler-Poincaré characteristic of $Irr_Z(\mathcal{M})$ 13.3 The notion of good semi-stable points	57 57 61 62
14 The proof of 12.2.7	63
15 Some thoughts about the converse of 12.2.815.1 André's criterion and micro-caractericity15.2 Reduction to the two-dimensional case15.3 An unconditional consequence of 15.0.515.4 A simple case	64 65 66 66
Références	68

Let us consider a smooth complex algebraic variety X, a smooth hypersurface $i : Z \hookrightarrow X$ and let \mathcal{M} be a meromorphic connection on X with poles along Z. The aim of this part is to prove the following

Theorem 12.2.7. The set of good semi-stable points of \mathcal{M} is a subset of the smooth locus of $\operatorname{Irr}_{Z}^{*}(\mathcal{M})$.

The strategy can be described as follows: we first prove 12.2.7 in the case where \mathcal{M} is a sum of modules appearing in the right hand side of (0.0.1). Since by 13.2.1 the local Euler-Poincaré characteristic $\chi(\operatorname{Irr}_Z^*(\mathcal{M}))$ of $\operatorname{Irr}_Z^*(\mathcal{M})$ only depends on the formalization of \mathcal{M} along Z, we deduce that $\chi(\operatorname{Irr}_Z^*(\mathcal{M}))$ is constant in the neighbourhood of a good semi-stable point. To conclude, we combine the perversity of $\operatorname{Irr}_Z^*(\mathcal{M})$ with a general theorem 13.1.6 stating that on a smooth variety, the perverse sheaves with constant local Euler-Poincaré characteristic are smooth.

As an immediate corollary of 12.2.7, we get the following

Theorem 12.2.8. The stable point locus of \mathcal{M} is included in the intersection of the smooth locus of $\operatorname{Irr}_{Z}^{*}(\mathcal{M})$ and $\operatorname{Irr}_{Z}^{*}(\operatorname{End} \mathcal{M})$.

The converse of 12.2.8 will be discussed in 15.1. After a reduction 15.2 to the two dimensional case resting upon André's criterion [5, 3.4.1] for stable points, we will prove it in 15.4 in the special case where only one ϕ occurs in (0.0.1).

13 The protagonists and some preleminary results

13.1 The Irregularity sheaf

The irregularity sheaf has been introduced in [39]. For a detailed treatment of its fundamental properties, one can refer to [40]. As for useful references concerning perverse sheaves, one can mention [21], [41], [11].

In this subsection, X will be a complex manifold, $i : Z \hookrightarrow X$ a closed analytic subvariety of X, \mathcal{I}_Z its defining ideal, $\mathcal{M} \in D^b_h(\mathcal{D}_X)$ a complex of \mathcal{D}_X -modules with bounded holonomic cohomology and $\operatorname{Char}(\mathcal{M})$ its characteristic cycle. $D^b_c(\mathbb{C}_X)$ will denote the derived category of complex of sheaves of \mathbb{C} -vector spaces with bounded constructible cohomology and if $\mathcal{F} \in D^b_c(\mathbb{C}_X)$, we will denote by

$$\chi(\mathcal{F}): x \longrightarrow \sum_{k} (-1)^k \operatorname{rk} \mathcal{H}^k \mathcal{F}_x$$

the Euler-Poincaré characteristic of \mathcal{F} .

The local algebraic cohomology functor

$$\operatorname{alg} \Gamma_Z(\mathcal{M}) := \varinjlim \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}_Z^k, \mathcal{M})$$

and the localization functor

$$\mathcal{M}(*Z) := \lim \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{I}_Z^k, \mathcal{M})$$

give rise to the following distinguished triangle of $D^b(\mathcal{D}_X)$

$$\mathbf{R} \operatorname{alg} \Gamma_Z(\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}\mathcal{M}(*Z) \xrightarrow{+1}$$
(13.1.1)

and one can prove [19] by using Bernstein-Sato polynomial that (13.1.1) lies in $D_h^b(\mathcal{D}_X)$. By applying the solution functor $\mathbf{S} = R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(-,\mathcal{O}_X)$, Kashiwara's constructibility theorem [17] asserts that the distinguished triangle of bounded complex of sheaves

 $i^{-1}\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{R}\mathcal{M}(*Z)) \longrightarrow i^{-1}\mathbf{S}(\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{R} \operatorname{alg} \Gamma_Z(\mathcal{M})) \xrightarrow{+1}$ (13.1.2)

lies in $D_c^b(\mathbb{C}_X)$. Let us define ¹⁴

$$\operatorname{Irr}_{Z}^{*}(\mathcal{M}) := i^{-1} \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{R}\mathcal{M}(*Z))[1]$$
(13.1.3)

Hence $\operatorname{Irr}_{Z}^{*}(\mathcal{M})$ is the cone of

$$i^{-1}\mathbf{S}(\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{R} \operatorname{alg} \Gamma_Z(\mathcal{M}))$$
 (13.1.4)

Of fundamental importance about the irregularity sheaf is the following theorem of Mebkhout [39, 2.1.6]

Theorem 13.1.5. If Z is an hypersurface, $\operatorname{Irr}_{Z}^{*}(\mathcal{M})$ is a perverse sheaf on Z.

This result will have a crucial role, due to the following general

Theorem 13.1.6. Let Z be a smooth complex manifold, and let \mathcal{F} be a perverse sheaf on Z with constant Euler-Poincaré characteristic. Then \mathcal{F} is a local system concentrated in degree 0.

Proof. For the sake of this proof, let us denote by n the dimension of Z. Since \mathcal{F} is perverse, it is generically smooth and the constancy of $\chi(\mathcal{F})$ reads

$$\dim \mathcal{H}^0 \mathcal{F}_z - \dim \mathcal{H}^1 \mathcal{F}_z + \dots + (-1)^n \dim \mathcal{H}^n \mathcal{F}_z = \dim \mathcal{H}^0 \mathcal{F}_\eta$$
(13.1.7)

for every $z \in Z$, with η denoting the generic point of Z. Let us argue on the dimension of Z.

If Z is one dimensional, (13.1.7) simplifies for a given $z \in Z$ into the following equality

$$\dim \mathcal{H}^0 \mathcal{F}_z = \dim \mathcal{H}^1 \mathcal{F}_z + \dim \mathcal{H}^0 \mathcal{F}_n$$

Since the perversity condition on \mathcal{F} implies that $\mathcal{H}^0\mathcal{F}$ has no section punctually supported at z [21, 10.3.3], we have

$$\dim \mathcal{H}^0 \mathcal{F}_z \leq \mathcal{H}^0 \mathcal{F}_\eta,$$

from which we deduce the vanishing of $\mathcal{H}^1 \mathcal{F}$, and 13.1.6 follows from the constructibility of $\mathcal{H}^0 \mathcal{F}$.

Let us suppose that $n \ge 2$, and take $z_0 \in Z$. According to [39, 2.2.1.5], one can

^{14.} We could either work with $\operatorname{Irr}_{Z}(\mathcal{M})$ or with its dual $\operatorname{Irr}_{Z}^{*}(\mathcal{M})$. This is harmless for our purpose since by combining Verdier biduality theorem [53] and the hypercohomology spectral sequence, one can see that a bounded constructible complex of sheaves is smooth if and only if its dual is smooth.

choose a neighbourhood U of z_0 with the property that for every $z \in U$ different from z_0 , there exists a smooth hypersurface $i : Z' \longrightarrow Z$ passing through z and such that $i^{-1}\mathcal{F}$ is perverse. From the induction hypothesis applied to $(Z', i^{-1}\mathcal{F})$, one gets that $\mathcal{H}^0\mathcal{F}_{|U}$ is a local system away from z_0 , and for every $k \in [\![1, n]\!]$, the sheaf $\mathcal{H}^k\mathcal{F}_{|U}$ has support included in $\{z_0\}$. Since the statement 13.1.7 is local, we will abuse notation by using Z for U in the sequel. Let us prove the vanishing of $\mathcal{H}^k\mathcal{F}_{z_0}$ for $k \in [\![1, n-1]\!]^{15}$.

From the following canonical identification in $D^b_c(Z, \mathbb{C})$

$$R\mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{C}_{z_0},\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}_{z_0}[-2n],$$
 (13.1.8)

we deduce that the a priori non-zero terms of the hypercohomology spectral sequence¹⁶

$$E_2^{pq} = R^p \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{H}^{-q}\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{C}) \Longrightarrow R^{p+q} \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{C})$$
(13.1.9)

are the terms E_2^{pq} with (p = 0, ..., 2n and q = 0) or (p = 2n and q = 0, ..., -n).

Let us prove the degeneracy at sheet 2 of (13.1.9). We start with the following

Lemma 13.1.10. The sheaves $E_2^{p,0}$ are 0 for p = 1, ..., 2n - 2.

Proof. By choosing V a small enough ball centered at z_0 , one can suppose that the cohomology sheaves of $R\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{H}^0\mathcal{F},\mathbb{C})$ are acyclic for $\Gamma(V, -)$. Thus we have

$$\Gamma(V, E_2^{2n-k,0}) \simeq \operatorname{Ext}^{2n-k}(\mathcal{H}^0\mathcal{F}_{|V}, \mathbb{C}),$$

for every k. Hence, Poincaré duality gives a canonical isomorphism

$$\Gamma(V, E_2^{2n-k,0}) \simeq H_c^k(V, \mathcal{H}^0 \mathcal{F}_{|V})^{\vee}$$
(13.1.11)

Let us denote by $j: V \longrightarrow \overline{V}, i: \partial \overline{V} \longrightarrow \overline{V}$ the canonical immersions. By applying the functor $R\Gamma(\overline{V}, -)$ to the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow j_! \mathcal{H}^0 \mathcal{F}_{|V} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^0 \mathcal{F}_{|\overline{V}} \longrightarrow i_* \mathcal{H}^0 \mathcal{F}_{|\partial \overline{V}} \longrightarrow 0$$

we end up with an exact triangle

$$R\Gamma(\overline{V}, j_!\mathcal{H}^0\mathcal{F}_{|V}) \longrightarrow R\Gamma(\overline{V}, \mathcal{H}^0\mathcal{F}_{|\overline{V}}) \longrightarrow R\Gamma(\partial\overline{V}, \mathcal{H}^0\mathcal{F}_{|\partial\overline{V}}) \stackrel{+1}{\longrightarrow}$$

The associated long exact sequence reads

$$\cdots \to H^{k-1}(\partial \overline{V}, \mathcal{H}^0 \mathcal{F}_{|\partial \overline{V}}) \to H^k_c(V, \mathcal{H}^0 \mathcal{F}_{|V}) \to H^k(\overline{V}, \mathcal{H}^0 \mathcal{F}_{|\overline{V}}) \to H^k(\partial \overline{V}, \mathcal{H}^0 \mathcal{F}_{|\partial \overline{V}}) \to \cdots$$

Since $\partial \overline{V}$ is a sphere of dimension 2n-1 and $\mathcal{H}^0\mathcal{F}_{|\partial \overline{V}}$ is constant on it, we have

$$H^{k-1}(\partial \overline{V}, \mathcal{H}^0 \mathcal{F}_{|\partial \overline{V}}) \simeq 0$$

^{15.} This does not follow immediately from the perversity condition [21, (10.3.3)], since this condition implies the vanishing of $H^j_{\{z_0\}}(\mathcal{F})_{z_0}$ for j < n, but says a priori nothing about the $H^j_{\{z_0\}}(\mathcal{H}^k\mathcal{F})_{z_0}$ unless j = 0 and k = 0.

^{16.} Let us recall that this spectral sequence is designed for left exact functors. To turn $RHom(, \mathbb{C})$ into such a functor, one has to consider $Hom(, \mathbb{C})$ as a functor from the opposite category of sheaves on Z to the category of sheaves on Z. From this viewpoint, \mathcal{F} is cohomologically concentrated in degrees ranging from -n to 0.

for k = 2, ..., 2n - 1. As a result, $H_c^k(V, \mathcal{H}^0 \mathcal{F}_{|V})$ injects in $H^k(\overline{V}, \mathcal{H}^0 \mathcal{F}_{|\overline{V}})$ if k = 2, ..., 2n - 1. By the constructibility property of $\mathcal{H}^0 \mathcal{F}$, the cohomology $H^k(\overline{V}, \mathcal{H}^0 \mathcal{F}_{|\overline{V}})$ vanishes for k > 0 for V chosen small enough. So from (13.1.11), we deduce that for V small enough, we have

$$\Gamma(V, E_2^{p,0}) \simeq 0$$

for $p = 1, \ldots, 2n - 2$.

Hence the stalk of $E_2^{p,0}$ at z_0 is 0 for k = 1, ..., 2n - 2. Since $\mathcal{H}^0 \mathcal{F}$ is constant away from z_0 , the sheaf $E_2^{p,0} = R^p \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{H}^0 \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{C})$ is also 0 away from z_0 , and lemma 13.1.10 is proved.

From 13.1.10, we deduce that the only terms $E_2^{p,q}$ which could be a priori non zero in (13.1.9) are $E_2^{0,0}, E_2^{2n-1,0}$ and the $E_2^{2n,q}$ for $q = 0, \ldots, -n$. We deduce from this that the only differential of (13.1.9) which could be a priori non zero is $d_k : E_k^{0,0} \longrightarrow E_k^{k,-k+1}$ with k = 2n. But -k + 1 = -2n + 1 < -n because n > 1, so $E_k^{k,-k+1} \simeq 0$ and then $d_k : E_k^{0,0} \longrightarrow E_k^{k,-k+1}$ has to be 0. Thus, the spectral sequence (13.1.9) degenerates at sheet 2.

We deduce that for q = 2, ..., n - 1, the only contribution to $R^{2n-q}\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{C})$ on the sheet at infinity is $R^{2n}\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{H}^q\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{C})$. Then for q = 2, ..., n - 1, we have

$$R^{2n}\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{H}^q\mathcal{F},\mathbb{C})\simeq R^{2n-q}\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F},\mathbb{C})\simeq 0$$

Since the $\mathcal{H}^q \mathcal{F}$ are skyscraper sheaves for q > 0, we deduce $\mathcal{H}^q \mathcal{F} \simeq 0$ for $q = 2, \ldots, n-1$.

On the other hand, the only contribution to $R^{2n-1}\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F},\mathbb{C})$ on the sheet at infinity are $R^{2n}\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{H}^1\mathcal{F},\mathbb{C})$ and $R^{2n-1}\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{H}^0\mathcal{F},\mathbb{C})$. From

$$R^{2n-1}\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F},\mathbb{C})\simeq 0$$

we deduce

$$R^{2n}\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{H}^1\mathcal{F},\mathbb{C})\simeq 0$$

so we also have $\mathcal{H}^1 \mathcal{F} \simeq 0$.

Then we have proved that $\mathcal{H}^k \mathcal{F} \simeq 0$ for $k = 1, \ldots, n-1$, so to conclude the proof of 13.1.6, we are left to prove the vanishing of the germ of $\mathcal{H}^n \mathcal{F}$ at z_0 . Since we have

$$\dim \mathcal{H}^0 \mathcal{F}_{z_0} = (-1)^{n+1} \dim \mathcal{H}^n \mathcal{F}_{z_0} + \dim \mathcal{H}^0 \mathcal{F}_{\eta}$$

it is enough to show that $\mathcal{H}^0 \mathcal{F}$ is a local system. For this, we remind [11, 5.1.19] that \mathcal{F} can always be supposed to satisfy $\mathcal{F}^k = 0$ for k < 0 and k > n. Let us then consider the truncation

$$\tau_n(\mathcal{F}) := 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}^0 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}^{n-1} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Im} d_{\mathcal{F},n-1} \longrightarrow 0$$

It is the kernel of an exact sequence of complexes

$$0 \longrightarrow \tau_n(\mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^n \mathcal{F}[-n] \longrightarrow 0$$
(13.1.12)

and the canonical inclusion of complexes $\mathcal{H}^0 \mathcal{F}[0] \longrightarrow \tau_n(\mathcal{F})$ is a quasi-isomorphism. Then, (13.1.12) gives rise to a distinguished triangle in $D_c^b(Z, \mathbb{C})$

$$\mathcal{H}^{0}\mathcal{F}[0] \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^{n}\mathcal{F}[-n] \xrightarrow{+1}$$
(13.1.13)

where the second and last complex are perverse. Hence $\mathcal{H}^0\mathcal{F}[0]$ is perverse and we are reduced to prove the following

Lemme 13.1.14. Let \mathcal{F} be a constructible sheaf on a polydisc D of \mathbb{C}^n centered at the origine, with $n \geq 2$. Suppose that \mathcal{F} is perverse and restricts to a local system on the complementary $j: D^* \hookrightarrow D$ of the origin. Then \mathcal{F} is constant.

Proof. Since $n \geq 2$, $\mathcal{F}_{|D^*}$ is constant. If we denote by m its rank, we have $j_*j^{-1}\mathcal{F} \simeq \mathbb{C}_D^m$. Since \mathcal{F} is perverse, it has no sections supported at 0 and we deduce the following short exact sequence of sheaves

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}_{D}^{m} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow 0$$
(13.1.15)

with \mathcal{G} supported at 0. From the perversity of \mathcal{F} and \mathbb{C}_D^m , we get that \mathcal{G} is perverse. Thus it has no non-zero sections supported at the origine, so it is the 0 sheaf, and 13.1.14 is proved.

Remark 13.1.16. Theorem 13.1.6 can be easily obtained with the use of the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence [16, 7.2.1] combined with Kashiwara-Schapira's identification [21, 11.3.3] of the characteristic variety of an holonomic \mathcal{D} -module \mathcal{M} with the micro-support of $\mathbf{S}(\mathcal{M})$. Both of these results are highly non-trivial, while the proof given here only relies on standard facts about perverse sheaves and is purely topological.

13.2 The Euler-Poincaré characteristic of $Irr_Z(\mathcal{M})$

In this subsection, X, Z and \mathcal{M} are supposed to be algebraic. As noted in the introduction, $\operatorname{Irr}_{Z}^{*}(\mathcal{M}) := \operatorname{Irr}_{Z^{\operatorname{an}}}^{*}(\mathcal{M}^{\operatorname{an}})$ is an analytic invariant. However, we have the following

Theorem 13.2.1. The value of $\chi(\operatorname{Irr}_Z^*(\mathcal{M}))$ at $x \in Z^{\operatorname{an}}$ only depends on the formalization $\widehat{\mathcal{M}_x} := \widehat{\mathcal{O}_{X,x}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X,x}} \mathcal{M}_x$.

Proof. By induction on the number of equation defining Z, the Mayer-Vietoris distinguished triangle [40, 4.2-1]

$$\operatorname{Irr}_{Z_1 \cap Z_2}^*(\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Irr}_{Z_1}^*(\mathcal{M}) \oplus \operatorname{Irr}_{Z_2}^*(\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Irr}_{Z_1 \cup Z_2}^*(\mathcal{M})$$

allows us to suppose that Z is an hypersurface. In that case, the localization functor is exact and is simply $\mathcal{O}_X(*Z)\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X}$. Thus, one can suppose that $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(*Z)$.

From the hypercohomology spectral sequence

$$E_2^{pq} = \mathcal{H}^p \mathbf{S}(\mathcal{H}^q \mathcal{M}) \Longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^{p+q} \mathbf{S}(\mathcal{M}),$$

we get

$$\chi(\operatorname{Irr}_{Z}^{*}(\mathcal{M})) = \sum (-1)^{k} \chi(\operatorname{Irr}_{Z}^{*}(\mathcal{H}^{k}\mathcal{M})).$$

so it is enough to prove 13.2.1 in the case where \mathcal{M} is an actual holonomic \mathcal{D}_X -module. Let us write

$$\operatorname{Char}(\mathcal{M}) = \sum m_{\alpha}(\mathcal{M}) \overline{T^*_{X_{\alpha, \operatorname{reg}}} X},$$

where $m_{\alpha}(\mathcal{M})$ is a positive integer, X_{α} is a closed irreducible subvariety of X and $X_{\alpha,\text{reg}}$ its regular part. Then the local index theorem [18], [20], [35] asserts that

$$\chi(i^{-1}\mathbf{S}(\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{an}}))(x) = \sum (-1)^{d_{\alpha}} e(x, X_{\alpha}) m_{\alpha}(\mathcal{M}),$$

where d_{α} denotes the codimension of X_{α} in X and $e(x, X_{\alpha})$ stands for the Euler obstruction defined by Mac-Pherson [32]. This latter invariant is of topological nature and is equal to 0 in case $x \notin X_{\alpha}$. Then, if X_{α} is a closed irreducible subvariety of X containing x, we need to show the following

Lemma 13.2.2. The integer $m_{\alpha}(\mathcal{M})$ only depends on $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{x}$.

Let us first recall the definition of $m_{\alpha}(\mathcal{M})$. Let $F_{\mathcal{M}}$ be a good filtration for \mathcal{M} defined in a neighbourhood of x and consider its graded module $\operatorname{Gr}_{F_{\mathcal{M}}}(\mathcal{M})$. If $p: T^*X \longrightarrow X$ denotes the canonical projection, $\operatorname{Gr}_{F_{\mathcal{M}}}(\mathcal{M})$ is a coherent sheaf of $p_*\mathcal{O}_{T^*X}$ -modules on X. Thus, using the adjunction $\mathcal{O}_{T^*X} \longrightarrow p^*p_*\mathcal{O}_{T^*X}$, $p^*\operatorname{Gr}_{F_{\mathcal{M}}}(\mathcal{M})$ canonically defines a coherent sheaf on T^*X . Then if η_{α} denotes the generic point of $T^*_{X_{\alpha,\operatorname{reg}}}X$, $m_{\alpha}(\mathcal{M})$ is the length of the restriction of $p^*\operatorname{Gr}_{F_{\mathcal{M}}}(\mathcal{M})$ to $\mathcal{O}_{T^*X,\eta_{\alpha}}$.

By formally following this construction, one could define a notion of characteristic variety for coherent $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{X,x}$ -modules as a cycle in the formalization $\widehat{T^*X}$ of T^*X along T^*_xX in such a way that $\widehat{F}_{\mathcal{M},x}$ defines a good $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{X,x}$ -filtration for $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_x$. Hence, $\operatorname{Char}(\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_x)$ is the cycle associated to $\widehat{p}^*\operatorname{Gr}_{\widehat{F}_{\mathcal{M},x}}(\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_x)$, with \widehat{p} defined by the following cartesian diagram



By faithfullness of $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{X,x}}$ over $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$, this is also the cycle associated to $\widehat{p}^* \operatorname{Gr}_{F_{\mathcal{M}}}(\mathcal{M})_{\underline{x}}$.

Coming back to the proof of 13.2.2, if \mathcal{N} is a holonomic \mathcal{D}_X -module satisfying $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_x \simeq \widehat{\mathcal{N}}_x$, we deduce from the previous discussion that

$$\operatorname{Char}(\widehat{p^*}\operatorname{Gr}_{F_{\mathcal{M}}}(\mathcal{M})_x) = \operatorname{Char}(\widehat{p^*}\operatorname{Gr}_{F_{\mathcal{N}}}(\mathcal{N})_x).$$
(13.2.3)

Since X_{α} contains x, the going-down theorem [38, 9.5] applied in an affine neighbourhood of x for $(\overline{T^*_{X_{\alpha, reg}}X}, x)$ asserts that one can find an irreducible variety Y_{α} of $\widehat{T^*X}$ dominating $\overline{T^*_{X_{\alpha, reg}}X}$. If one denotes by $\eta_{Y_{\alpha}}$ its generic point, the flatness of the morphism of local rings $\mathcal{O}_{T^*X, \eta_{\alpha}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{T^*X}, \eta_{Y_{\alpha}}}$ implies the following equality ¹⁷

$$m_{lpha}(\mathcal{M}) = ext{length}_{\eta_{lpha}}(p^* \operatorname{Gr}_{F_{\mathcal{M}}}(\mathcal{M})) = ext{length}_{\eta_{Y_{lpha}}}(\widehat{p}^* \operatorname{Gr}_{F_{\mathcal{M}}}(\mathcal{M})_{x})$$

and 13.2.2 results from the equality of multiplicies along Y_{α} coming from (13.2.3).

13.3 The notion of good semi-stable points

In this section X is algebraic, Z is a smooth hypersurface of X with function field K and \mathcal{M} is a connection on X with meromorphic poles along Z. Since the notion of semi-stable point is local, take X to be affine, Z to be given by a regular section z, and denote by A the function ring of Z. Let us take K' and e as in (0.0.1).

^{17.} If A is a commutative ring, M a finitely generated A-module and if $P \in \operatorname{Spec} A$, we denote $\operatorname{length}_{P}(M)$ for $\operatorname{length}(A_{P} \otimes_{A} M)$.

Definition 13.3.1. One says that a closed point P of Z is a good semi-stable point if it satisfies the following conditions:

- 1. the normalization A' of A in K' is etale above P.
- 2. the coefficients of lowest degree of the non zero ϕ 's occuring in (1.0.3) are units in the semi-local ring A'_P .
- 3. the decomposition (0.0.1) descends on $A'_P((z^{1/e}))$.

Remark 13.3.2. The notion of semi-stable point appears in [5, 3.2.4]. The terminology good here is meant to express the unit condition, with reference to the notion of good formal decomposition appearing in [46]. As for the etaleness condition, it is automatic at a stable point [5, 3.4.1 2)].

As a preleminary step to the proof of 12.2.7, let us consider the simplest situation

Lemma 13.3.3. Let $P \in Z$, z = 0 a local defining equation for Z and ϕ a regular function defined in a neighbourhood of P which does not vanish at P. Let \mathcal{R} be an algebraic connexion on X with regular singularities along Z. For every $k \geq 0$, one defines $\mathcal{M}_{k,\phi,\mathcal{R}} = \mathcal{E}^{\phi/z^k} \otimes \mathcal{R}$. Then $\operatorname{Irr}_Z^*(\mathcal{M}_{k,\phi,\mathcal{R}})$ is a local system of rank k on Z^{an} in a neighbourhood of P.

Proof. Since ϕ does not vanish at P, by the change of variable $z' = z/\sqrt[k]{\phi}$, one can suppose that $\phi = 1$. Since every regular singular connexion is locally a successive extension of rank one regular singular connexion [9], the exactness of Irr_Z^* allows us to reduce the problem to the case where \mathcal{R} has rank 1. In that case, one can see that the characteristic cycle of $\mathcal{M}_{k,1,\mathcal{R}}$ is contained in the union of T_Z^*X and T_X^*X , so every curve passing through a point Q closed enough to P and transversed to Z is non-characteristic for $\mathcal{M}_{k,1,\mathcal{R}}$. Let $f: C \hookrightarrow X$ be such a curve. By Cauchy-Kovalevska theorem [16, 4.3.2], the canonical morphism $f^{-1}\mathbf{S}(\mathcal{M}_{k,1,\mathcal{R}}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{S}(f^+\mathcal{M}_{k,1,\mathcal{R}})$ is an isomorphism. Hence, the germ of $\operatorname{Irr}_Z^*(\mathcal{M}_{k,1,\mathcal{R}})$ at Q is that of $\operatorname{Irr}_Z^*(f^+\mathcal{M}_{k,1,\mathcal{R}})$ at Q, so the complex $\operatorname{Irr}_Z^*(\mathcal{M}_{k,1,\mathcal{R}})_Q$ is concentrated in degree 0, and from [6, 3.3.6], we obtain that its 0th-cohomology has dimension k.

14 The proof of 12.2.7

Consider X, Z, \mathcal{M} as in the introduction. Let $P \in Z$ be a good semi-stable point for \mathcal{M} . We start with the following reduction

Lemma 14.0.4. If the theorem 12.2.7 is true in the case where K' = K and e = 1, then it is true in general.

Proof. Choose a local analytic chart $U = V \times D(0,1)$ of X^{an} with coordinates (t,z) centered at P into which Z^{an} is locally given by z = 0. Denote by $p : V' \longrightarrow V$ the normalization of V in K'. By the very definition of good semi stable point, we can suppose by shrinking V enough that p is etale trivial with group G. The map

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \pi: V' \times D(0,1) & \longrightarrow & U \\ (t,z') & \longrightarrow & (p(t),z'^e) \end{array}$$

is proper. Since the canonical morphism

$$\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \pi_+ \pi^+ \mathcal{M}(*Z^{\mathrm{an}})$$

induced by the adjunction map identifies \mathcal{M} with a direct factor of $\pi_+\pi^+\mathcal{M}(*Z^{\mathrm{an}})$, namely the invariants under $G \times \mathbb{Z}/e\mathbb{Z}$, it is enough to prove that

$$\operatorname{Irr}_{Z}(\pi_{+}\pi^{+}\mathcal{M}(*Z^{\operatorname{an}})) = \operatorname{Irr}_{Z}(\pi_{+}\pi^{+}\mathcal{M})$$

is a local system. The compatibility of Irr_Z with proper morphisms [40, 3.6-6] gives (in a neighbourhood of P) a canonical isomorphism

$$\operatorname{Irr}_{Z}(\pi_{+}\pi^{+}\mathcal{M}) \xrightarrow{\sim} R\pi_{*}\operatorname{Irr}_{(z'=0)}(\pi^{+}\mathcal{M}).$$

Since π is finite, the functor π_* is exact. Then,

$$\mathcal{H}^k \operatorname{Irr}_Z(\pi_+ \pi^+ \mathcal{M}) \simeq \pi_* \mathcal{H}^k \operatorname{Irr}_{(z'=0)}(\pi^+ \mathcal{M})$$

is the zero sheaf for $k \ge 1$, and is a local system for k = 0.

This reduction being done, theorem 13.2.1 implies that in a neighbourhood of P, the function $\chi(\operatorname{Irr}_Z^*(\mathcal{M}))$ is a sum of Euler-Poincaré characteristic coming from modules of the form appearing in 13.3.3. Hence, it is constant in a neighbourhood of P. Since $\operatorname{Irr}_Z^*(\mathcal{M})$ is perverse, the theorem 12.2.7 follows from 13.1.6.

15 Some thoughts about the converse of 12.2.8

The goal of this subsection is to discuss the following

Conjecture 15.0.5. The intersection of the smooth locus of $\operatorname{Irr}_{Z}^{*}(\mathcal{M})$ and $\operatorname{Irr}_{Z}^{*}(\operatorname{End} \mathcal{M})$ is a subset of the stable point locus of \mathcal{M} .

In the sequel, let us fix once for all a point P in the smooth locus of $\operatorname{Irr}_{Z}^{*}(\mathcal{M})$ and $\operatorname{Irr}_{Z}^{*}(\operatorname{End} \mathcal{M})$. We recall that η stands for the generic point of Z and that $\operatorname{Irr}_{Z}^{*}(\mathcal{M})$ is endowed with a locally finite increasing $\mathbb{Q}_{\geq 1}$ -filtration by perverse sheaves $\operatorname{Irr}_{Z}^{*}(\mathcal{M})\{r\}$ [39, 6.3.3]. We will denote by $\operatorname{Gr}^{r} \operatorname{Irr}_{Z}^{*}(\mathcal{M})$ its r^{th} -graded piece. Since we have

 $\operatorname{Char}(\operatorname{Irr}_{Z}^{*}(\mathcal{M})) = \sum \operatorname{Char}(\operatorname{Gr}^{r}\operatorname{Irr}_{Z}^{*}(\mathcal{M})),$

the characteristic cycle of $\operatorname{Gr}^r \operatorname{Irr}_Z^*(\mathcal{M})$ is a multiple of $T_{Z^{\operatorname{an}}}Z^{\operatorname{an}}$ in a neighbourhood of P. By 13.1.6, $\operatorname{Gr}^r \operatorname{Irr}_Z^*(\mathcal{M})$ is a local system in a neighbourhood of P. The same holds for $\operatorname{Gr}^r \operatorname{Irr}_Z^*(\operatorname{End} \mathcal{M})$.

15.1 André's criterion and micro-caractericity

To establish 15.0.5, one could try to apply André's criterion [5, 3.4.1] for stable points. As a consequence of *loc. cit.*, $P \in Z$ is stable if for every germ of analytic

curve $i: C \hookrightarrow X$ cutting Z transversally at P, the Newton polygons ¹⁸ NP(\mathcal{M}_C) and NP(End(\mathcal{M}_C)) of $i^+\mathcal{M}$ and i^+ End(\mathcal{M}) are respectively NP(\mathcal{M}_η) and NP(End(\mathcal{M}_η)).

By the work of Ramis [45], the height of the segment of slope 1/(r-1) of NP(\mathcal{M}_C) is equal to the dimension of the r^{th} -graded piece of the irregularity space $\text{Irr}_P^*(\mathcal{M}_C)$. In the same way, the height of the segment of slope 1/(r-1) of NP(\mathcal{M}_η) is equal to the dimension of the r^{th} -graded piece of the irregularity space $\text{Irr}_{C_{\text{gen}}\cap Z}^*(\mathcal{M}_{C_{\text{gen}}})$ where C_{gen} is a sufficiently generic germ of curve transverse to Z.

Since in a neighbourhood of P, $\operatorname{Gr}^r \operatorname{Irr}^z_Z(\mathcal{M})$ and $\operatorname{Gr}^r \operatorname{Irr}^z_Z(\operatorname{End} \mathcal{M})$ are local systems, one can apply André's criterion if one proves that the formation of $\operatorname{Irr}^z_Z(\mathcal{M})$ and $\operatorname{Irr}^z_Z(\operatorname{End} \mathcal{M})$ as $\mathbb{Q}_{\geq 1}$ -filtered perverse sheaves commutes with the restriction to hypersurfaces transverse to Z and passing through P, that is to say that in a neighbourhood of P, we are in a non (r)-micro characteristic situation for every $r \geq 1$ in the sense of [31].

As pointed out to me by C. Sabbah, this last condition does not follow from the smoothness of the graded pieces of $\operatorname{Irr}_Z^*(\mathcal{M})$ and $\operatorname{Irr}_Z^*(\operatorname{End} \mathcal{M})$ in a neighbourhood of P. Indeed, the work of Laurent and Mebkhout [30] expresses $\operatorname{Char}(\operatorname{Gr}^r \operatorname{Irr}_Z^*(\mathcal{M}))$ as an alternate sum of cycles $C_1^+(r), C_2^+(r), C_1^-(r), C_2^-(r)$ of T^*Z canonically defined from the r-micro characteristic cycle $\operatorname{Char}_r(\mathcal{M})$ of \mathcal{M} . The (r)-micro characteristic condition has to do with the union of the support of the $C_{1,2}^{\pm}(r)$. This support can be a priori obscenely complicated, and still the $C_{1,2}^{\pm}(r)$ can give rise through various miraculous cancellations to the most simple cycle for $\operatorname{Gr}^r \operatorname{Irr}_Z^*(\mathcal{M})$.

15.2 Reduction to the two-dimensional case

Let us suppose that Z is given by the equation z = 0, and let us denote by $\kappa(P)$ the residue field of P. Then $\kappa(P)[\![z]\!]$ is the ring of functions of a formal curve C_P on X passing through P and transverse to Z. We will note \mathcal{M}_P for \mathcal{M}_{C_P} and following André [5, 3.4.1], we recall that to get the stability of P, it is enough to check the preservation of the generic Newton Polygons of \mathcal{M} and End(\mathcal{M}) by specialization to C_P .

Let us reduce the proof of 15.0.5 to the case where X is two-dimensional¹⁹. We proceed by induction on the dimension of X and take X to be of dimension ≥ 3 while supposing that 15.0.5 is true in dimension $< \dim X$.

The smoothness assumption on $\operatorname{Irr}_{Z}^{*}(\mathcal{M})$ implies that the characteristic variety of \mathcal{M} in a neighbourhood of P is contained in the union of $T_{Z}^{*}X$ and $T_{X}^{*}X$. Then, any algebraic hypersurface $i: X' \hookrightarrow X$ passing through P and transverse to Z is non-characteristic for \mathcal{M} in a neighbourhood of P. Thus by Cauchy-Kovalevska theorem [16, 4.3.2], the canonical morphism $i^{-1}\mathbf{S}(\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{S}(i^{+}\mathcal{M})$ is an isomorphism and the same holds for End \mathcal{M} , so $\operatorname{Irr}_{Z}^{*}(i^{+}\mathcal{M})$ and $\operatorname{Irr}_{Z}^{*}(i^{+}\operatorname{End}\mathcal{M})$ are smooth in a neighbourhood of P. From the induction hypothesis applied to $(X', Z', i^{+}\mathcal{M})$ at P with $Z' := X' \cap Z$, we deduce that P is a stable point of Z' for $i^{+}\mathcal{M}$. Then, if η' denotes the generic point of Z', we have

$$NP(\mathcal{M}_P) = NP(\mathcal{M}_{\eta'}) \quad \text{and} \quad NP(End(\mathcal{M}_P)) = NP(End(\mathcal{M}_{\eta'})). \tag{15.2.1}$$

^{18.} We will follow André's convention according to which the Newton polygon of a differential module M has $(\operatorname{rk} M, 0)$ for higher vertice.

^{19.} In that case, one may hope that the multiplicity of T_P^*Z in $C_1^+(r) + C_2^+(r) + C_1^-(r) + C_2^-(r)$ is computable.

Since dim $X' \ge 2$, we have dim $Z' \ge 1$ and so one can deform X' if necessary so that η' is stable for \mathcal{M} . In that case, we have

$$NP(\mathcal{M}_{\eta'}) = NP(\mathcal{M}_{\eta})$$
 and $NP(End(\mathcal{M}_{\eta'})) = NP(End(\mathcal{M}_{\eta}))$ (15.2.2)

From André's criterion [5, 3.4.1], the combination of (15.2.1) and (15.2.2) implies the stability of P for \mathcal{M} .

15.3 An unconditional consequence of 15.0.5

If 15.0.5 was to hold, we would have $\operatorname{Gr}^r \operatorname{Irr}_Z^*(\mathcal{M}) \simeq 0$ in a neighbourhood of P for every rationnal r such that 1/(r-1) is not a slope for $\operatorname{NP}(\mathcal{M}_\eta)$. This can be proved unconditionally. Suppose that 1/(r-1) is not a slope for $\operatorname{NP}(\mathcal{M}_\eta)$. Then $\operatorname{Gr}^r \operatorname{Irr}_Z^*(\mathcal{M})$ is generically 0 and since it is perverse, it is concentrated in degrees ranging from 1 to dim Z. Since in a neighbourhood of P, it is a subsheaf of an actual sheaf placed in degree 0, it has no other choice than to be the 0 sheaf.

15.4 A simple case

Let us suppose here that only one ϕ occurs in (0.0.1) and let us prove that P is a stable point. This situation is easier to handle, and this for two reasons:

- 1. In the one slope case, Cauchy-Kovalevska compatibility theorem between the solution functor and non-characteristic immersions will be enough for our purpose. We will not have to check if the (r)-micro characteristic condition is fulfilled.
- 2. The meromorphic connection End \mathcal{M} is generically regular singular along Z, so by a theorem of Deligne [9, 4.1], it is regular singular along Z and then $\operatorname{Irr}_{Z}^{*}(\operatorname{End} \mathcal{M}) \simeq 0$. Thus, End \mathcal{M} plays no role in that case.

The smoothness assumption on $\operatorname{Irr}_Z^*(\mathcal{M})$ implies that the characteristic variety of \mathcal{M} in a neighbourhood of P is contained in the union of T_Z^*X and T_X^*X , so every curve passing through P and transversed to Z is non-characteristic for \mathcal{M} . Let $f: C \hookrightarrow X$ be such a curve. By Cauchy-Kovalevska theorem [16, 4.3.2], the canonical morphism $f^{-1}\mathbf{S}(\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{S}(f^+\mathcal{M})$ is an isomorphism. As a result, the generic irregularity of \mathcal{M} is that of \mathcal{M}_C . Hence by a theorem of Malgrange [36], NP(\mathcal{M}_η) and NP(\mathcal{M}_C) have the same height. By a general result of André [5, A.1], we have the following inclusion

$$\operatorname{NP}(\mathcal{M}_C) \subset \operatorname{NP}(\mathcal{M}_\eta).$$
 (15.4.1)

Since NP(\mathcal{M}_{η}) has only one edge, (15.4.1) is an equality and André's theorem [5, 3.4.1] applies.